

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI



ARTS LIBRARY

D.U.P. 956-2-98-40,000

ARTS LIBRARY
(LHI UNIVERSITY LIBRARY SYSTEM)

Cl. No. O-1K31-9

Ac. No. 15322

This book should be returned on or before the date last stamped below. A late due charge of Rupee one will be charged for each day the book is kept overtime.

(Aut. Crity : E.C. Res. 200 dated 27th August 1996).

LECTURES ON DRYDEN

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
London: FETTER LANE, E.C.
C. F. CLAY, MANAGER



Edinburgh: 100, PRINCES STREET
Berlin: A. ASHER AND CO
Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS
New York: G P PUTNAM'S SONS
Bombay and Calcutta: MACMILLAN AND CO, LTD
Toronto: J M DENT AND SONS, LTD
Tokyo: THE MARUZEN-KABUSHIKI-KAISHA

All rights reserved

LECTURES ON DRYDEN

DELIVERED BY

A. W. VERRALL, LITT.D.

KING EDWARD VII PROFESSOR OF ENGLISH LITERATURE
AND FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

EDITED BY

MARGARET DE G. VERRALL

Cambridge:

at the University Press

1914

Cambridge:
PRINTED BY JOHN CLAY, M A.
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

PREFACE

IN October and November 1911, Dr Verrall, as King Edward VII Professor of English Literature, delivered a course of twelve lectures on Dryden, thus carrying out the intention which he formed as soon as he was appointed to the English Chair. The reason for the selection of this subject was not only his own long-standing admiration for Dryden, but the importance of his work in the development of English prose and verse, and its comparative neglect among the younger lovers of literature at the present day. He had hoped to recast and publish in other forms—partly as essays on particular points, partly in a contemplated edition of *Absalom and Achitophel*—the material gathered for these lectures. The present volume reproduces strictly the original manuscript notes as arranged for delivery. These notes, written by himself or dictated to our daughter and to me, were unusually full, so that it has hardly been necessary to do more than give grammatical completeness to the abbreviated sentences, to alter a word here and there, and to verify or supply references.

Besides the draft used in the lecture-room, I have had before me pencilled notes made in the spring and summer of 1911, when Dr Verrall was re-reading Dryden's writings for the purposes of this course. These notes, like the full lecture-notes, were compiled solely for the lecturer's own use, and to that must be attributed some unconventionalities of expression which would not have found place in his published work. Nor would he have admitted into a work intended for readers digressions and a certain looseness of structure appropriate in the lecture-room.

Those who heard the lectures will find here some comments and suggestions the delivery of which time did not permit, in particular, I have included a lecture on *All for Love*, which was to have completed the course but which had to be omitted, as two lectures on Dryden's Religious Poems were found necessary, instead of the one originally planned. On the other hand, it is probable that many points, compressed in the notes, were more amply treated in the lectures, and there has been unavoidable curtailment where the lecturer dealt with metrical questions, for effects easily explained and illustrated by recitation to an audience do not lend themselves to reproduction in print. The proportions of the scheme have in consequence been somewhat altered; the lectures on Dryden's Odes and his verse generally

fill a relatively smaller space in print than in the lecture-room, and no place has been here given to the *Secular Masque*, a reading of which, with attention to metrical effects but without other comment, concluded the course.

The general intention and purpose is apparent from the lectures themselves they were essentially addressed to students and more particularly to Cambridge students. It was, I know, a special pleasure to my husband to have this opportunity of speaking to a Cambridge audience about a great Cambridge poet—‘John Dryden of Trinity College.’

In preparing this book for publication I have received valuable assistance from friends · my thanks are due to Mr M. A. Bayfield, Mr E. H. Marsh, and more especially to Mr G. C Macaulay, Lecturer in English in this University.

MARGARET DE G. VERRALL.

CAMBRIDGE,

December 1913.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. DRYDEN'S WORK, CHARACTER AND INFLUENCE	I
II. THE <i>Epistles</i>	26
III. <i>Absalom and Achitophel</i> ✓	47
IV. THE QUATRAIN POEMS, <i>Stanzas on Cromwell</i> AND <i>Annus Mirabilis</i>	92
V. LITERARY CRITICISM IN THE AGE OF DRYDEN, ✓ THE 'UNITIES', THE <i>Essay of Dramatic Poesy</i>	117
-VI. THE RELIGIOUS POEMS, <i>Religio Laici</i> AND <i>The Hind and the Panther</i>	147
VII. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ENGLISH ODE, DRYDEN'S INFLUENCE ON LYRIC POETRY ✓	176
VIII. <i>The State of Innocence</i> . DRYDEN AND MILTON	217
IX. <i>All for Love, or the World Well Lost</i>	238
INDEX	267

I

DRYDEN'S WORK, CHARACTER AND INFLUENCE

SCOTT, in the 'Advertisement' prefixed to his complete edition of Dryden's works, writes that Dryden 'may claim at least the third place' in the list of English classics, and Gray in his *Progress of Poesy*, when he comes to English poets, celebrates Shakespeare, Milton, and Dryden. It is needless to discuss the order of merit, especially as new competitors have arisen in the nineteenth century. These have not yet been sifted, even if the two great Poets Laureate of last century, Wordsworth and Tennyson, obtain two hundred years—and perhaps they will not obtain more—of permanent interest, their work can hardly be of higher value than that of Dryden.

Gray's words in the *Progress of Poesy* are carefully chosen. Passing on from Milton, he writes of Dryden's 'less presumptuous car' borne 'wide o'er the fields of glory.' 'Wide' serves to remind us of Dryden's success in almost every class of literature. In drama he was the principal figure from the Restoration to the Revolution, prolific both in

comedy and tragedy, the heroic play, of which his writings are the leading examples, was at one time supposed to have rivalled the works of the Jacobean playwrights.

Then he became the voice of the nation in a supreme political crisis, and created for this purpose almost a new type of poem, akin to satire but essentially different—the First Part of *Absalom and Achitophel*, published in November, 1681.

From this there was a double development, first in the direction of personal satire, where the result was *The Medal* (March, 1682), *Mac Flecknoe* (October, 1682), and the satire on Settle and Shadwell, as Doeg and Og, in the Second Part of *Absalom and Achitophel*, published in November, 1682. These poems were not original in form, but unprecedented in smartness, in energy, and in eloquence.

The second development lay in the direction of arguments on religion, then a part of politics. Here Dryden represented the national voice in a form practically new and characterised by dexterity and apparent simplicity. He takes the tone of the reasonable man, who tries to see his way amid the difficulties of the subject; he commands an extraordinary range of feeling, from the highest passion to mere conversation, but the transitions from one mood to another are perfectly natural and the whole is written in almost faultless verse. The two poems, *Religio Laici* (November, 1682) and *The Hind and the Panther* (April, 1687) represent opposite sides,

the latter poem having been published after his conversion in the reign of James II.

Then again take his narrative poetry. Here lay his ambition ; the English epic, then and still wanting,—for Milton's *Paradise Lost* is not a national epic—he never achieved, but he won notable successes in this line. In his early career, during the interruption of his dramatic work occasioned by his absence from London at the time of the Great Plague, he wrote *Annus Mirabilis*, 1666. This poem, which describes the Dutch wars and the Fire of London, is not an epic but is an essay in that direction, as Dryden himself clearly recognised, this he shows by his judgements upon the Latin epic poets, especially Lucan and Virgil, in the *Letter to Sir R Howard* prefixed to the poem. The work is not really Virgilian, but Dryden's comparison of it with the Roman national epic is all the more significant, as showing that he conceived the object as similar. Dryden's poem contains much admirable work and is still interesting. He was led back to narrative poetry in his closing years by his straitened circumstances after the Revolution. He turned then to translation and adaptation of other poets ; with assistance, he translated the whole of Virgil, a work which was almost a national monument. But its success has been overrated ; Pope, for instance, called it 'the most noble and spirited translation which he knew in any language,' a judgement which we cannot endorse. He also translated or adapted parts of Chaucer and of Ovid and made

poetical adaptations from the prose of Boccaccio. His Chaucerian translations were admirable and new to the public ; specially to be recommended to readers are his versions of *Palamon and Arcite* and *The Cock and the Fox*. The stories, fables as he calls them, based on Boccaccio are virtually original. Take for instance *Theodore and Honoria*: Boccaccio's tale is neither a poem nor a poetic story ; Dryden's version could hardly be improved. (✓This is a great effect to be produced by an old man near seventy, already at the height of his fame and not expected to succeed in this way.

Then, to turn to lyric poetry : the songs in the plays and a few other pieces show his admirable ear ; he wrote simple rhythms with a new perfection. But here again there was a surprise at the end. The complicated lyric with irregular metre—the ode—had been practised by Dryden to a small extent, it is true, but beyond the common standard ; then, happily using casual occasions—the meetings of a musical society—he gave to the ode and to lyric verse generally an entirely new standard of technical execution. The two *Odes for St Cecilia's Day*, 1687 and 1697, are immensely important both technically and historically. from them derives the work in this line of Gray, Collins, Coleridge, Wordsworth, and Tennyson. The second ode, *Alexander's Feast, or the Power of Music*, with its characteristic combination of simplicity and science is almost unrivalled in popularity :—‘None but the brave deserves the fair,’ and ‘like another Helen

fired another Troy,' are familiar to many who have never opened a volume containing Dryden's 'Works.' This ode was written when Dryden was in his sixty-seventh year.

Beyond this, there is much work not easily classed, but taking the form of complimentary pieces, addresses, epistles, etc. The poem conveying to Lady Castlemaine Dryden's thanks for her patronage of an unsuccessful play in 1663 is important as giving perhaps the first decisive proof of his special power. This series of poems also ends only with his life and rises to the last, in the domestic and autobiographical Epistle to his cousin John Driden, a rural magistrate and member of Parliament. This Epistle was included in the last of Dryden's published volumes, which contained among other matter the translations from Chaucer; the Epistle was very popular at the time and is still pleasing. It is Horatian, more so than the Epistles of Pope, for like the Roman poet's writing it excites a friendly feeling in the reader.

Further, there is a large mass of prose, mostly connected with the poetry, in the form of critical prefaces—very characteristic of Dryden, who was a conscious artist—but some similar work was independently published. (Most worth study are perhaps the *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*, written in 1668, and the *Preface to the Fables* in 1700. His style is pleasant and gentlemanly, and his work takes an important place in the development of practicable prose.) In the period in which he wrote England

saw the first formation of a large literary society in London, and this is reflected in his dedications ; the courtly profusion of compliment is characteristic of the age but is managed by Dryden with singular dignity.

More might be added, but this is sufficient to prove the accuracy of Gray's descriptive 'wide.' Even if we make all possible deductions, such for instance as the whole of the dramatic work—an exception which is, however, not fair, for the play of *All for Love* certainly has merit—the permanent part still remains very large, when the lapse of time is considered. The indestructible part of Dryden's work is sufficient for a first-rate reputation ; the First Part of *Absalom and Achitophel*, *Theodore and Honoria*, and the two *Odes for St Cecilia's Day*, are more than enough in themselves.

The historical interest of Dryden to a student of English literature is immense. He was the principal figure and agent in the formation of permanent standard English, the conscious work of the age. In this his action was perfectly deliberate ; he intended to improve the literature and especially the poetry of his time, to improve it generally, that is to say, and to raise the normal standard. In his *Threnodria Augustalis*, a lament on the death of Charles II, he gives us a literary retrospect describing how the muses return with the King :—

And such a plenteous crop they bore
Of *purest* and *well-winnow'd* grain
As Britain never saw before ,

and the same feeling is expressed in the *Epistle to Sir Robert Howard*. To understand the precise meaning of this claim on behalf of the Post-Restoration poetry we had better take an instance.

Cowley, a predecessor of Dryden, writing in the reign of Charles I, was a man of thorough academic training—he had been educated here at Trinity College—a poet of high reputation and ambitious of style. He was the creator of the ‘Pindaric Art’ and in his *Ode in Praise of Pindar*, where sublimity is contrasted with simplicity of style, there are fine lines. He describes Pindar’s poetry which ‘Like a swoln flood from some steep mountain pours along’; and writes that ‘The grave can but the dross of him devour.’ And yet Cowley can admit the following.—

Whether some brave young man’s untimely fate
In words worth dying for he celebrate,
Such mournful and such pleasing words
As joy to his mother’s and his mistress’ grief affords;
He bids him live and grow in fame,
Among the stars he sticks his name

The verse ‘as joy to his mother’s and his mistress’ grief affords’ has almost as many mistakes as words: the forced grammar of ‘affords’ is especially offensive in the rhyming word, the emphasis of the rhyme falls upon a weak word, and that word the wrong word, for ‘gives’ or ‘brings,’ not ‘affords,’ represents the meaning, there is a faulty omission of the second ‘to’ in ‘to his mother’s and his mistress’ so that it would be supposed that only one person was

indicated ; the word 'his' is lopped, 'to 's mother's and 's mistress' ; there is a clumsy inversion of the whole sentence, the word 'joy' being apparently the subject whereas it is found ultimately to be the object of the verb. So again in the last line quoted the word 'sticks' is at least unlucky, even if we suppose it to have been unobjectionable at the time.

This case is typical , there is a very small amount of composition earlier than the Restoration which is not open to like objections. This was the chaff which Dryden justly desired to 'winnow,' and this object he achieved , such lapses as Cowley's are hardly possible in a poet of reputation since Dryden's time. .

The deliberate attempt to improve English literary style was part of a movement natural in the circumstances of the time. The increase of wealth and the diffusion of culture, together with the growth of London, brought together a large but yet coherent society. There was also the example of France, where the Academy had been founded in 1635, and where the Court of Louis XIV was the centre of French classical literature. England was much in arrear ; there was no authorised grammar, no dictionary. Dryden himself wanted an Academy ; but the whole movement of the age was academic.

(The appearance of Dryden at this time was fortunate. His practical command of English is immense , so too is his vigour, of which the main principle is that there is no waste, no 'chaff' There is hardly an idle word , the metrical emphasis is

correctly adapted to the meaning, there are no 'fetches' for rhyme, and his instinct for vocabulary, for the permanent, accounts for the astonishing freshness of his composition, there is almost nothing in his writing which marks a date.) No doubt this is partly the consequence of subsequent imitation, and of the adoption of Dryden by later writers as a standard, Charles Fox, for instance, told Lord Holland that he would admit no word into his book, his projected *History of James II*, for which he had not the authority of Dryden. But this is not sufficient explanation, and does not extend to his grammar or to the turning of his phrases. The point is best illustrated by examples from his writing.

Let us take first the *Song* from the play *An Evening's Love*, published in 1668:—

Danon. Celimena, of my heart
 None shall e'er bereave you
 If, with your good leave, I may
 Quarrel with you once a day,
 I will never leave you.

Celimena. Passion's but an empty name,
 Where respect is wanting:
 Damon, you mistake your aim,
 Hang your heart, and burn your flame,
 If you must be ranting.

Danon. Love as dull and muddy is
 As decaying liquor:
 Anger sets it on the lees,
 And refines it by degrees,
 Till it works the quicker.

- Celimena.* Love by quarrels to beget
 Wisely you endeavour;
 With a grave physician's wit,
 Who, to cure an ague fit,
 Put me in a fever.
- . *Damon.* Anger rouses love to fight,
 And his only bait is,
 'Tis the spur to dull delight,
 And is but an eager bite,
 When desire at height is.

Celimena. If such drops of heat can fall
 In our woong weather,
 If such drops of heat can fall,
 We shall have the devil and all
 When we come together.

Or again take the Jacobites' Song, *The Beautiful Lady of the May*, written probably in 1691, and published after Dryden's death.—

A quire of bright beauties in spring did appear,
 To choose a May-lady to govern the year,
 All the nymphs were in white, and the shepherds in green,
 The garland was given, and Phillis was queen,
 But Phillis refus'd it, and sighing did say,
 'I'll not wear a garland while Pan is away.'

While Pan and fair Syrinx are fled from our shore,
 The Graces are banish'd, and Love is no more.
 The soft god of pleasure that warm'd our desires
 Has broken his bow, and extinguish'd his fires,
 And vows that himself and his mother will mourn,
 Till Pan and fair Syrinx in triumph return.

Forbear your addresses, and court us no more,
 For we will perform what the Deity swore.
 But, if you dare think of deserving our charms,
 Away with your sheephooks, and take to your arms;

Then laurels and myrtles your brows shall adorn,
When Pan and his son and fair Syrinx return.

Instances might be multiplied indefinitely. Let us take as an example in prose a passage from the *Epistle to the Whigs*, the introduction to the satire *The Medal*. The Epistle is throughout a furious invective, the most dangerous of moods and the most rapidly out of date, yet Dryden both achieves his force without exceeding in point of language the range of normal speech, and also by some instinct keeps so close to the genius of English that he might almost have written yesterday. The language is much more normal and modern, for instance, than that of Junius, some ninety years later —

But I would ask you one civil question. What right has any man among you, or any association of men (to come nearer to you) who out of parliament cannot be considered in a public capacity, to meet, as you daily do, in factious clubs, to vilify the government in your discourses, and to libel it in all your writings? Who made you judges in Israel? Or how is it consistent with your zeal of the public welfare to promote sedition? Does your definition of loyal, which is to serve the King according to the laws, allow you the license of traducing the executive power with which you own he is invested? You complain that his Majesty has lost the love and confidence of his people, and by your very urging it you endeavour what in you lies to make him lose them. All good subjects abhor the thought of arbitrary power, whether it be in one or many. If you were the patriots you would seem, you would not at this rate incense the multitude to assume it, for no sober man can fear it, either from the King's disposition or his practice, or, even where you would odiously lay it, from his Ministers. Give us leave to enjoy the government and the benefit of laws

under which we were born, and which we desire to transmit to our posterity. You are not the trustees of the public liberty; and if you have not right to petition in a crowd, much less have you to intermeddle in the management of affairs, or to arraign what you do not like, which in effect is everything that is done by the King and Council. Can you imagine that any reasonable man will believe you respect the person of his Majesty, when 'tis apparent that your seditious pamphlets are stuffed with particular reflections on him? If you have the confidence to deny this, 'tis easy to be evinced from a thousand passages, which I only forbear to quote, because I desire they should die and be forgotten.

Or take the following passage from *The Medal* itself (123 ff.). In this there is one archaism, 'brave' for 'bravo,' a point instantly noted by the reader of the present day—and this in the case of a poet more than 200 years old.—

Too happy England, if our good we knew,
 Would we possess the freedom we pursue!
 The lavish government can give no more,
 Yet we repine, and plenty makes us poor
 God tried us once, our rebel fathers fought,
 He glutted them with all the power they sought,
 Till, master'd by their own usurping brave,
 The free-born subject sunk into a slave.
 We loathe our manna, and we long for quails;
 Ah! what is man, when his own wish prevails!
 How rash, how swift to plunge himself in ill,
 Proud of his power and boundless in his will!

The life of Dryden is easily accessible, in Scott's complete edition of Dryden's works, in Professor Saintsbury's *Life* in the series of *English Men of Letters* (edited by Lord Morley), or in Christie's

Memoir in the Globe Edition of Dryden's *Poetical Works*. Here therefore I note only some aspects of importance.

Dryden's native rank was neither too high nor too low; he was essentially a gentleman in the proper sense of the word—born, that is, and bred and placed with natural access to the more polished part of society. He was the son of a country gentleman of good family, in succession to a baronetcy; Dryden's own son succeeded to the title after his father's death, and there was another baronetcy on the side of Dryden's mother, Mary Pickering. John Dryden was a King's Scholar at Westminster, and a Westminster Scholar at Trinity College, Cambridge. The important part of his school days coincided with the Civil War, and his University course with the Usurpation, for he stayed at Cambridge from 1650 to 1657. One poem, *Upon the Death of the Lord Hastings*, written while he was at Westminster, is among his published works, but it is not good. Of his University course we do not know much; there is a record that he was punished in his second year for contumacy to the Vice-Master, but as he took his B.A. degree at the usual date he apparently did not waste his time here. He stayed in Cambridge seven years, that being then the usual length of the course for Orders in the Church of England, but he did not take Orders, nor proceed to his M.A. degree. There is a grateful allusion to Trinity College in his *Life of Plutarch*: 'I particularly observed [this passage] when I read

Plutarch in the library of Trinity College, in Cambridge, to which foundation I gratefully acknowledge a great part of my education.' This allusion, written in 1683, is better evidence of Dryden's real feeling than the often quoted passage from the *Prologue to the University of Oxford*, printed in 1684 and probably delivered at Oxford in 1681 —

Oxford to him a dearer name shall be
Than his own mother-university
Thebes did his green unknowing youth engage,
He chooses Athens in his riper age.

For it must never be forgotten, in judging of Dryden's sentiments, that he wrote strictly for the occasion, this Prologue was directly addressed to the University of Oxford, and in a private letter we find him saying, in connexion with another Prologue and Epilogue to be spoken at Oxford: 'how easy 'tis to pass anything upon an university, and how gross flattery the learned will endure.'

Dryden's family politics were Parliamentarian; there was little fortune, barely a subsistence for the eldest son. John Dryden apparently settled in London about the year 1657 and worked there for a bookseller. There is no suggestion of a severe struggle for livelihood, and he does not seem to have lost touch with society, if we may judge by his rapid success after the Restoration. Not long after his coming to London we find him on intimate terms with a son of the Earl of Berkshire, Sir Robert Howard, a poet and dramatist Dryden's enemies

brought against him a charge of sponging on Howard, and we have Dryden's own statement in the letter prefixed to *Annus Mirabilis* that he was 'many ways obliged to' Sir Robert, who had 'not only been careful of' his fortune, but solicitous for his reputation. There is no sufficient evidence on the point to make judgement possible.

In 1663 he married Sir Robert's sister, Lady Elizabeth Howard, and his enemies accounted for the marriage by bringing charges of indiscretion against the lady. No special explanation, however, seems necessary. Dryden was already in some favour at Court, as is shown by the patronage of Lady Castlemaine and the tone of his address to her. We have a later picture of himself and his associates about the year 1667, in his *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*, and there is also evidence of good relations with his wife's family, for, during his absence from London at the time of the plague, he resided at Charlton in Wiltshire, the property of the Earl of Berkshire. His family consisted of three sons. There was much contemporary gossip about his family relations but nothing is really known; there was no known breach with his wife, and his own letters, among other things, show evidence of strong affection for his children. The argument that his marriage was unhappy, drawn from uncomplimentary allusions to marriage in his works, is futile; the insanity, in old age, of Lady Elizabeth is nothing to the purpose; some evidence of his actual views on marriage may be derived from his *Epistle to John*.

Driden, himself a bachelor. Here, after strong language on the perils of marriage, he adds :—

Not that my verse would blemish all the fair,
 But yet, if some be bad, 'tis wisdom to beware,
 And better shun the bait than struggle in the snare.
 Thus have you shunn'd and shun the married state,
 Trusting as little as you can to Fate.

These lines, written after some thirty-five years of marriage, may surely in fairness be considered to include his own case as at least tolerable, and being contained in the Epistle to his cousin John Driden, which is largely autobiographical and entirely personal, they outweigh many gibes in the comedies, in which there is no reason to see a personal intention. The allusion to marriage in this Epistle is not quoted, so far as I am aware, by any of Dryden's biographers, but seems to deserve attention from those who are interested in the question of his personal relations.

Dryden's connexion with the Court after the Restoration has been attributed to abandonment of principle, and in support of this view his accusers quote the stanzas *To the Memory of Oliver Cromwell*. But, as we shall see when we discuss this poem¹, there is no evidence there for his approval of military despotism. At the Restoration no doubt, as on other occasions, Dryden went with the stream. He was poor for his position. The Poet Laureateship, conferred on him in 1670, brought him £200 a year, and to this was added later another pension of £100

¹ See below, p. 92

a year. But his salary was ill paid, and remunerative work was necessary to him. This the theatre provided, and his main work during twenty years was for the stage. This was unfortunate, as he knew himself, for he had hardly any real dramatic power ; he could make situations but not characters, and the characters that he describes can never be really pictured. Further, the coarseness of the stage encouraged Dryden's worst tendency, and infected other work, such as his songs, and even the opening lines of *Absalom and Achitophel*. (This subject I shall ignore as far as possible) Other work also he did for money 'Poets subsist not but by favour of sovereign princes and great men'; hence his dedications, his complimentary addresses, puffs, and prologues. What is extant in this kind is not voluminous and the rate of profit is uncertain , he is said, for instance, but not on unimpeachable authority, to have received five hundred guineas for *Eleonora*, 'a panegyrical poem dedicated to the memory of the late Countess of Abingdon,' a lady with whom he was not personally acquainted.

Even with all allowance for the convention and feeling of the day, Dryden's was not a dignified position, but Macaulay's words, 'a life of mendicancy and adulation,' are much too strong. Dryden dispenses his compliments like a patron, and of mendicancy there is no evidence whatever. It is true that he pressed the Government for a further endowment to set him free for the epic he wished to write, and that he specially urged this after *Absalom*

and Achitophel had given him some claim upon the Government; but this is not 'mendicancy.'

After the Revolution Dryden was in great straits of poverty, from which he was rescued by friends and in particular by the Earl of Dorset. To Dorset, to whom the Essay on Satire preceding the translations from Juvenal was addressed in 1693, strong expressions of gratitude are employed both in that Essay and in private letters 'May the Almighty God return it for me, both in blessing you here, and rewarding you hereafter,' but there is no proof of unwarranted solicitation on Dryden's part. He was no hero in his pecuniary relations, or indeed in any others. Milton might have written his epic on the income that Dryden had, perhaps even on the diminished income which he had after the Revolution; but no one is bound to be Milton. And, whatever our judgement on the ethical point, at all events we must recognise that Dryden's position between a literary Court and the literary class was ~~was~~ eminently favourable for a polisher of language.

As to his opinions, he had no profound or coherent theory in politics, in religion, or even in criticism. He has been praised for his arguments, and justly praised; in a certain sense it is true that, as he wrote himself, 'they cannot be good poets who are not accustomed to argue well.' His logic made him clear and his controversial tone increased his vigour, but the logic is of the moment; arguments for a given position are readily found and well put, but there is no system, not even in the matter of literary criticism.

In politics Dryden was a 'King's man') the word Tory did not come into use till after 1679. He held that the history of the past usurpation had proved the practical necessity of monarchy, of a limited monarchy, to the preservation of a free constitution, and he was determined not at any price to repeat the story of 1642-1659. But this is quite different from the Tory as he is apt to be painted by Whig historians. Dryden has no superstition about hereditary monarchy. He finds himself, not upon 'divine right' but upon the action of 'our fathers' and on practical experience. Thus, in *The Medal*, he writes of 'our fathers'. —

Who, to destroy the seeds of civil war,
Inherent right in monarchs did declare,
And, that a lawful power might never cease,
Secur'd succession to secure our peace

Nor had he any religious feeling he cannot be called an Anglican, except perhaps for a few years after 1681, but at no time had he any superstition about non-resistance.) His language in referring to the Civil War is easily misapprehended if we do not note his reticences and allow for the difficulty of his position, he condemns the Civil War generally in terms which, inconsiderately construed, might seem to cover approval of submission to the Star Chamber and the imposition of Ship Money. But no such approval nor any word in favour of arbitrary power, whether in Charles I or Cromwell, will be found in his writings, and on the contrary his pride in his ancestors' resistance to arbitrary taxation comes

out strongly in his Epistle to his cousin John Driden :—

Patriots in peace assert the people's right,
With noble stubbornness resisting might
No lawless mandates from the court receive,
Nor lend by force, but in a body give
Such was your generous grandsire, free to grant
In parliaments that weigh'd their Prince's want.
But so tenacious of the common cause
As not to lend the king against his laws,
And, in a loathsome dungeon doom'd to lie,
In bonds retain'd his birth-right liberty,
And sham'd oppression, till it set him free.

There is no fair ground for doubt that such were always his sentiments, even in the poems of the early Restoration, *Astraea Redux* and the *Poem on the Coronation of Charles II*, we note the remarkable absence of any praise of Charles I. It is so almost everywhere, the introduction of the phrase 'King Charles the Martyr' in the preface to *Religio Laici* startles an accustomed reader of Dryden, and is obviously adopted for the special occasion in order to give the Anglican tone. Nor indeed does the description of Charles I as a 'martyr' import a general political approval of his conduct.

The destruction of the monarchy was passionately disapproved by Dryden, and he regarded the exile of Charles II as a fatal injustice. How it should have been avoided he does not say, and did not know, but this does not make his theoretical position untenable, it was doubtless that of thousands of his contemporaries. As a critic of statesmen and policies

he was not consistent. He was indeed no politician, except as a poet at the time of the singular conjunction of the year 1681. Generally speaking he was inclined to think the King's policy right, and therefore approved of the Triple Alliance in 1668, and of the Dutch War of 1672. In his subsequent criticism of Shaftesbury and others, he forgets or ignores his own fluctuations—not an uncommon or an unpardonable sin.

Absalom and Achitophel was the natural and singularly happy outcome of his creed and habits. A King's man had his opportunity at this crisis, for undoubtedly in 1680 the King and his supporters averted a ghastly catastrophe. Dryden was at this moment prepared, spiritually and technically, and the result was the finest political poem that exists. Christie, it should be noted, attempts in his *Memoir of Dryden*, prefixed to the Globe Edition of Dryden's Poems, to show that *Absalom and Achitophel* is merely one turn in a course without principles, and that Dryden's opposition to Shaftesbury and the Exclusionists was a sudden and arbitrary move to recover ground with the King after supposed slackness or disaffection. For this view I can see no evidence at all; caprice, dictated by partiality for Shaftesbury, would seem to account for much in Christie's *Memoir*. The political situation of 1681 and Dryden's sentiments are best considered when we come to discuss *Absalom and Achitophel* in detail¹.

¹ See below, p. 49.

So too Dryden's religious opinions will better be discussed in connexion with his religious poems, or rather his poems of religious politics, *Religio Laici* and *The Hind and the Panther*. Was his conversion to Roman Catholicism, between the dates of these two poems, sincere? This is a chief point of controversy for his biographers, and is not without literary interest; for if there is no reality in his conversion, there is none in his religion. Both poems are then grossly hypocritical and such a view must affect our taste of them.] Macaulay said of Dryden that he 'knew little and cared little about religion', 'knew little' is true, but 'cared little' is not quite true, at least not after the year 1680, when he was approaching his fiftieth year. To a careful observer, Dryden's conversion, whether genuine or not, should be no surprise.

To turn to his critical opinions. he had a sound instinct and taste for good things, for Shakespeare, for instance, and for Milton. Expressions of his admiration for Shakespeare are frequent in his critical writings, the best known is perhaps that in the *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*.—

Shakespeare was the man who of all modern, and perhaps ancient poets, had the largest and most comprehensive soul. All the images of Nature were still present to him, and he drew them, not laboriously, but luckily, when he describes anything, you more than see it, you feel it too. Those who accuse him to have wanted learning, give him the greater commendation. he was naturally learned, he needed not the spectacles of books to read Nature, he looked inwards, and found her there

Dryden had an early and enthusiastic admiration for *Paradise Lost* and there is evidence of his close study of Milton's early works, not only indirectly in his own *Song for St Cecilia's Day*¹ but also directly in his criticism of Milton's rhymed work in the *Discourse concerning the Original and Progress of Satire* :-

Rhyme was not his talent, he had neither the ease of doing it, nor the graces of it, which is manifest in his *Juvenilia*, or verses written in his youth, where his rhyme is always constrained and forced, and comes hardly from him, at an age when the soul is most phant, and the passion of love makes almost every man a thymer, though not a poet.

Modern criticism will not adopt all that Dryden says in the above passage. He was offended by the laxness of structure in the early poems and also by the not infrequent false emphasis on the rhyming word produced by an otherwise purposeless inversion, as, for instance, the 'mantle blue' in *Lycidas*. He differed from Milton on the desirability of using rhyme in epic poetry, but neither this disagreement nor his disapproval of Milton as a rhymer checked his admiration, any more than did their differences in politics or in religion. For Chaucer he had a thorough appreciation, and a natural affinity with him, as he himself recognised, writing, in the *Preface to the Fables*, of his translation of Chaucer's work, he says · 'I found (if I may be permitted to say it of myself) I had a soul congenial to his, and that I had been conversant in the same studies.'

But in his literary views, as in other matters, Dryden had no system. He sometimes changed sides, as on the question of the use of rhyme in drama, and was always eager for the opinion which for the moment he held. Throughout these variations he is always characterised by strong common sense. He felt his way to improvements of practice, making rules and theory twist as they must.

He was a good Latinist, well read in the Latin orators and poets, from whom he learnt compactness and force. His history of literature, on the other hand, is second-hand and not trustworthy, and he had not much Greek, then a speciality. He translated the first book of the *Iliad*, it is true, and wrote a Life of Plutarch; but this proves nothing as to his scholarship in Greek. He seldom touches on Greek literature and never shows more than a second-hand acquaintance with it. His curious struggles over the 'Unities' I propose to discuss at length later on¹, the struggles and errors are of interest as illustrating the general position of criticism at the time.

In estimating Dryden it cannot be forgotten that he sacrificed, at least on one occasion, judgement to convenience his horrible treatment of Shakespeare's play of *The Tempest* cannot represent his better mind.

(His character was kindly; he was fond of society, but diffident with his superiors, and not a pushing man.) The description of him given by Congreve,

Character and

the friend of his old age, is much less
this aspect of his character than any that
produced on the other side, and Congreve's account
is confirmed by the general tone of his dedications,
addresses, and so on. As regards his private con-
duct, he was certainly no model, but he gave no
scandal, and even in that age, when scandal was not
easily given, to avoid it meant something. Bishop
Burnet called him 'a monster of immodesty and
impurity of all sorts,' but Burnet was no fair wit-
ness and even this phrase may be explained—and
was so explained by Burnet's son—as referring not
to Dryden's private character but to his plays. Even
the contemporary lampooners alleged nothing notable
against him. We may accept with confidence Con-
greve's words: 'Indeed Mr Dryden had personal
qualities to challenge both love and esteem from all
who were truly acquainted with him.'

II

EPISTLES

THE interest of Dryden's so-called *Epistles* lies in the consideration of them as a series showing the development of his literary skill. They cover a period of nearly fifty years, from the Epistle to John Hoddesdon, written in 1650, to the Epistle to the poet's cousin, John Driden, written at the end of 1699.

The name 'Epistle' is taken from Horace, but there is no agreement except in name between the Epistles of Horace and of Dryden, the form of a letter, regular in Horace, is rare with Dryden. Only one of Dryden's, the letter to Sir George Etherege, minister at Ratisbon, has the strict epistolary form; the others are Addresses in verse on a particular, often a literary, occasion. A similar use of the word 'Epistle' to describe an address or dedication is found in Dryden's prose *Epistle to the Whigs*, accompanying his satire *The Medal*. These addresses in fact, generally in praise of a book and published with it, correspond with our modern press-notices, and some of them have a strong resemblance to 'log-rolling.' Thus Dryden's

Epistle to Lee on his play of *The Rival Queens*, published in 1677, was a reply to Lee's complimentary verses to Dryden prefixed to *The State of Innocence*, and the situation is fully recognised in Dryden's opening lines. (To put the matter briefly, we may say that many of these 'Epistles' were in fact an advertisement of the volumes to which they were prefixed.) ✓

Not all deserve comment, but an examination in chronological sequence is not without value to the student of Dryden.

'The first is addressed 'To John Hoddesdon, On his Divine Epigrams,' and was prefixed to a volume of religious verse, called *Sion and Parnassus*, written by a young man of eighteen, the 'Epigrams' being on various texts of the Bible. The book was published in 1650, when Dryden himself was about eighteen, and he is described in it as J. Dryden of Trinity College. In criticising the metrical technique of his verses it is interesting to remember that at a later period Dryden declared that metrical technique was not possible for the young. In some lines to the memory of Oldham, who died in 1683, aged about 30, Dryden writes —

O early ripe! to thy abundant store
What could advancing age have added more?
It might (what nature never gives the young)
Have taught the numbers of thy native tongue.

as if early work were generally deficient in metre. This is in fact not true, but Dryden, we must not forget, is always apt to speak for the occasion, and

'his sentiments however strongly expressed often represent but a momentary feeling. Pope will readily occur to us as an instance of early metrical skill, and much of Shakespeare's work was written before he was thirty. Not only therefore is Dryden's opinion not true of youthful poets in general, but skill in manipulation of verse is really characteristic of the period at which he was then writing, when there was a general diffusion of metrical knowledge and training of the ear. Even Dryden's statement, in the *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*, that 'the sweetness of English verse was never understood or practised' before the time of Waller, Denham, and their contemporaries is false, unless we interpret his words in the sense of 'not generally appreciated.' Dryden himself at the age of eighteen was thoroughly trained, and, as this poem shows, wrote, not as a boy does, but as men generally write

There is however plenty to criticise in the poem: take the first 16 lines.—

Thou hast inspir'd me with thy soul, and I,
Who ne'er before could ken of poetry,
Am grown so good proficient I can lend
A line in commendation of my friend.
Yet 'tis but of the second hand, if aught
There be in this, 'tis from thy fancy brought.
'Good thief who darest, Prometheus-like, aspire,
And fill thy poems with celestial fire,
Enliven'd by these sparks divine, their rays
Add a bright lustre to thy crown of bays
Young eaglet, who thy nest thus soon forsook,
So lofty and divine a course hast took

As all admire, before the down begin
To peep as yet upon thy smoother chin,
And, making Heaven thy aim, hast had the grace
To look the Sun of Righteousness in the face

The general defects may be thus summarised :

(1) ✓ There is no correspondence between the form and the sense.✓ Thus the sense of the first four lines is, ‘after reading your epigrams, I, who could not even form an opinion on poetry, can now actually state my opinion in poetical shape,’ whereas the verse-form throws the emphasis upon ‘*lend*’—‘lend A line in commendation of my friend.’

(2) ✓ The rhyme is often forced, a danger later recognised by Dryden, both in the Preface to *Annus Mirabilis*, where he says that verses made for the sake of rhyme were practised by ‘our fathers,’ and again in the *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*, where he tells us that ‘some writers yet living...first taught us...to make our rhyme so properly a part of the verse, that it should never mislead the sense, but itself be led and governed by it’ Obviously in the last line but one of the above-quoted passage ‘the grace’ falls under Dryden’s own condemnation.

(3) There is a neglect of ‘quantity,’ that is of the weight of syllables.✓ In the *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*, written in 1668, nearly twenty years after the lines to Hoddesdon, Dryden expressly declares this neglect of syllabic weight legitimate, any dissyllable, he says, makes a good foot of ordinary modern verse. But his later practice does not conform with this theory, and we do not long continue to find

in his work such neglect of syllabic weight as in the following :—

*Enhven'd by these sparks divine, their rays,
—'thus soon,'—'hast took,'—*

May learn to make like in just praise of thee.

(4) There is a loose accumulation of images—
'or 'conceits', 'rays' add lustre to 'bays,' and Hoddesdon is not only 'Prometheus-like,' but he is an 'eaglet,' with as yet no 'down' upon his 'chin.'

—'On the other hand we have a good line in v. 10:—

Add a bright lustre to thy crown of bays.

The sentiment of the poem is characteristic of Dryden; there is no real religion in it, no awe. How else could he write :—

Thou hast commenc'd betimes a saint, go on,
Mingling diviner streams with Helicon,
That they who view what Epigrams here be,
May learn to make like, in just praise of thee

Clearly Dryden's interest was in 'Helicon' and not in the 'diviner streams.'

The next Epistle, written in 1660, and addressed to Sir Robert Howard, who three years afterwards became Dryden's brother-in-law, was prefixed to a volume of Howard's poems, containing among other things translations of the *Achilleis* of Statius, and of the Fourth Book of the *Aeneid*. The praise is indiscriminate; Howard has improved both Statius and Virgil! But the figures are managed better—

Howard himself is praised for his moderation in metaphor—and the quantity and rhyme are in places correct, according to the standard of Dryden's later versification.—

Or is it Fortune's work, that in your head
The curious net that is for fancies spread
Lets through its meshes every meaner thought,
While rich ideas there are only caught?
Sure that's not all, this is a piece too fair
To be the child of chance, and not of care
No atoms casually together hurl'd
Could e'er produce so beautiful a world,
Nor dare I such a doctrine here admit
As would destroy the providence of wit

These lines are characteristic both of Dryden's interest in the science of his day—*rete mirabile* was the name of the network of blood-vessels at the base of the brain—and of his irreverent handling of theology.

At the end of 1662, or early in 1663, Dryden prefixed a poem to a book in which Dr Charlton propounded a theory about the building of Stonehenge, which he attributed to the Danes, in opposition to Inigo Jones, who thought the work Roman. Dryden's general praise of the new science, dating from Bacon, is interesting as reflecting something of the effect of his seven years' stay at Cambridge, from 1650 to 1657. Of the four men of science whom he praises, as successors of Bacon, all but Boyle are Cambridge men. Two of them, Harvey and Ent, were his own contemporaries, and Harvey's book on the circulation of the blood was actually

published at Cambridge during Dryden's undergraduate days.

The introductory reference to Aristotle is Dryden's first application of the couplet to satire:¹

The longest tyranny that ever sway'd
Was that wherein our ancestors betray'd
Their free-born reason to the Stagirite,
And made his torch their universal light
So truth, while only one supplied the state,
Grew scarce and dear, and yet sophisticate,
Until 'twas bought, like empiric wares or charms,
Hard words seal'd up with Aristotle's arms

In the following verses note the musical effect produced by the repetition in three successive lines of the same metrical pause—after the fourth syllable—followed in each case by a similar clause. The last two lines are a characteristic allusion to the contemporary dream of the ‘noble savage’.—

Columbus was the first that shook his throne,
And found a temperate in a torrid zone,
The feverish air ^v fann'd by a cooling breeze,
The fruitful vales ^v set round with shady trees,
And guiltless men, ^v that danc'd away their time,
Fresh as their groves and happy as their clime.

The musical effect may be partly unconscious, at any rate there are some poor verses in the poem, such, for instance, as the last of the lines in which, again showing his interest in contemporary science, he compares Charleton's cosmopolitan reputation to the travels of light.—

Whose fame, not circumscrib'd with English ground,
Flies like the nimble journeys of the light,
And is, like that, unspent too in its flight.

Then we come to a much finer piece of work, Lady Castlemaine, Barbara Palmer, afterwards Duchess of Cleveland—her character is not material in this connexion—had patronised and rescued Dryden's first play *The Wild Gallant* at the time of its unsuccessful production on the stage early in 1663, and to her an Epistle was addressed in gratitude by the poet. There is evidence that, Dryden himself claimed merit for this poem of his. Thus a contemporary satirist (in the *Session of the Poets*, published 1670) writes.—

Dryden, who one would have thought had more wit,
The censure of every man did disdain,
Pleading some pitiful rhymes he had writ
In praise of the Countess of Castlemaine

Scott's explanation of this allusion is not satisfactory; he seeks in the Epistle itself authority for Dryden's 'pleading,' and finds it in the lines.—

True poets empty fame and praise despise,
Fame is the trumpet, but your smile the prize.

But this does not show that he 'pleaded' these lines as a sufficient answer to universal censure, indeed Lady Castlemaine's opinion on *The Wild Gallant*, a prose work, could not prove its author's poetical merit. What the lines in the *Session* show is that Dryden himself approved what the satirist pleases to call the 'pitiful rhymes' addressed to Lady Castlemaine. The explanation of Dryden's high

opinion of this Epistle is perhaps that it is his first masterpiece, the first poem, that is to say, without technical flaw which he had produced. There is, for instance, no case of false emphasis upon the rhyme, such as occurs in the immediately preceding Epistle to Dr Charleton : ‘Flies...and is unspent *in its flight.*’ Moreover, the gay extravagance of the tone, its adaptation to its subject—it was the first time that he had addressed a lady—the swift movement of the verse, and the clearness of expression and thought mark it for distinction among Dryden’s works. I quote the whole.—

As seamen, shipwrack’d on some happy shore,
 Discover wealth in lands unknown before,
 And what their art had labour’d long in vain
 By their misfortunes happily obtain,
 So my much-envied Muse, by storms long toss’d,
 Is thrown upon your hospitable coast,
 And finds more favour by her ill success
 Than she could hope for by her happiness.
 Once Cato’s virtue did the gods oppose,
 While they the victor, he the vanquish’d chose
 But you have done what Cato could not do,
 To choose the vanquish’d and restore him too.
 Let others still triumph and gain their cause
 By their deserts or by the world’s applause;
 Let merit crowns, and justice laurels give,
 But let me happy by your pity live.
 True poets empty fame and praise despise;
 Fame is the trumpet, but your smile the prize.
 You sit above, and see vain men below
 Contend for what you only can bestow,
 But those great actions others do by chance,
 Are, like your beauty your inheritance.

So great a soul, such sweetness joined in one,
Could only spring from noble Grandison
You, like the stars, not by reflection bright,
Are born to your own heaven, and your own light ;
Like them are good, but from a nobler cause,
From your own knowledge, not from Nature's laws.
Your power you never use but for defence,
To guard your own or others' innocence :
Your foes are such as they, not you, have made,
And virtue may repel, though not invade
Such courage did the ancient heroes show,
Who, when they might prevent, would wait the blow ;
With such assurance as they meant to say,
We will o'ercome, but scorn the safest way.
What farther fear of danger can there be ?
Beauty, which captives all things, sets me free
Posterity will judge by my success
I had the Grecian poet's happiness,
Who, waving plots, found out a better way ;
Some god descended and preserv'd the play.
When first the triumphs of your sex were sung
By those old poets, Beauty was but young,
And few admir'd the native red and white,
Till poets dress'd them up to charm the sight ;
So Beauty took on trust, and did engage
For sums of praises till she came to age.
But this long growing debt to poetry
You justly, Madam, have discharg'd to me,
When your applause and favour did infuse
New life to my condemn'd and dying Muse.

Scott is too severe on the comparison with 'Cato's virtue'; he writes: 'There is however little propriety in comparing the influence of the royal mistress to the virtue of Cato.' But it is to be remembered that 'the gods,' opposed by Lady

Castlemaine, are only theatrical critics, and that 'Cato' is merely an equivalent for judgement independent of fortune. Lucan's line is a stock quotation and the familiarity with it of the reader is assumed. The 'ancient heroes,' who waited the blow that they might have forestalled, are the combatants in the deliberate battles of Homer's Trojans and Greeks. The 'Grecian poet' is Euripides, and the descending god is the *deus ex machina*. To discuss the importance to Euripidean drama of the introduction of the *deus ex machina* would carry us too far from our proper subject; those who are interested will find my opinions expressed in my writings on Euripides, in particular in *Euripides the Rationalist*. To suppose, as Dryden appears to do, that Euripides paid no attention to the plots of his plays is sheer nonsense.

Were all these allusions intelligible to Lady Castlemaine? Presumably they were. The admirable nonsense of the conclusion—wit and beauty both complimented—is certainly not adapted to a stupid person.

The metrical skill is far beyond anything we have yet seen; note for instance the effect of the three strong monosyllables at the end of the fifth line: 'by stórms lóng tóst.' But if we are to ask whether the poem is really flawless, we must answer No; there is at least one objectionable metrical effect in the tenth line. 'he the vanquish'd chose.' '-quish'd' is an uncomfortable syllable to shorten, especially before such a sound as 'chose,' and

though this defect could be avoided by the transposition of the two clauses—‘while he the vanquish’d, they the victor chose’—we should still be left with the Latinism in the use of *while*, which, like the Latin *dum*, is here used in the sense ‘inasmuch as,’ referring back to the word ‘once’—once, namely when he chose the vanquished, Cato opposed the gods. But it is not easy to find any other flaw in the poem.

The next Epistle, addressed to Lee and prefixed to his tragedy published in 1677, has no metrical flaws and no conceits. It contains the often quoted lines.—

Prizes would be for lags of slowest pace,
Were cripples made the judges of the race.

Lee had written complimentary lines to Dryden on the production, shortly before, of *The State of Innocence*, and Dryden’s opening lines, as I have said, show that he was aware that he laid himself open to a charge of ‘log-rolling’:-

The blast of common censure could I fear,
Before your play my name should not appear;
For ‘twill be thought, and with some colour too,
I pay the bribe I first receiv’d from you,

* * * * *

Such libels private men may well endure,
When States and Kings themselves are not secure.

The suggestion that ‘States’ and ‘Kings’ may be accused of mutual flattery is perhaps an allusion to the political ‘approach’ to Holland, due to Danby’s policy and the marriage of the Princess Mary with

William of Orange, which took place in the year 1677.

The Epistle to the Earl of Roscomon was prefixed in 1684 to an *Essay on Translated Verse* by the Roscomon of Pope's *Epistles* who, among the poets of the reign of Charles II, 'only boasts unspotted bays.' Johnson in his *Life of Roscomon* blames Dryden's praise as exaggerated, and it certainly is excessive, but the poem is well worth reading. It opens with a purely imaginary sketch of the history of poetry: art transplanted from Egypt or Phoenicia to Greece, there developed into poetry and thence passed to Rome, the interest to the student of Dryden lies in his view of rhymed verse:—

Till barbarous nations and more barbarous times
 Debas'd the majesty of verse to rhymes;
 Those rude at first; a kind of hobbling prose,
 That limp'd along and tunkl'd in the close.

Then followed the Italian poetry of Dante and Petrarch, in whom

we see

What rhyme improv'd in all its height can be,
 At best a pleasing sound and fair barbarity.

He proceeds to praise aristocratic authors:—

To what perfection will our tongue arrive,
 How will invention and translation thrive,
 When authors nobly born will bear their part,

* * * * *

How will sweet Ovid's ghost be pleas'd to hear
 His fame augmented by a British peer

The reader is reminded of the well known bathos in Pope's address to Murray,

Grac'd as thou art, with all the power of words,
So known, so honour'd at the House of Lords.

Roscomon, who subsequently published commendatory verses on Dryden's *Religio Laici*, is sometimes lax in metre:—

Let free impartial men from Dryden learn
Mysterious secrets of high concern,

or if a proposed emendation of this last line, by the insertion of 'a' before 'high,' be accepted, see the later line:—

Hath too much mercy to send them to hell

One strange slip in the metre we may note in the second of the following lines from Dryden's own poem:—

Now let the few belov'd by Jove, and they
Whom *infus'd* Titan form'd of better clay,
On equal terms with ancient wit engage,
Nor mighty Homer fear, nor sacred Virgil's page.

The next few Epistles call for little comment. It is interesting to note, in the Epistle to Higden (written probably in 1686), Dryden's rebuke of personal satire. Higden had translated the *Tenth Satire* of Juvenal, and Dryden compliments him on his own approximation to the manner of Horace, rather than to that of the author whom he was translating:—

Yet you, my friend, have temper'd him so well,
You make him smile in spite of all his zeal:

An art peculiar to yourself alone,
To join the virtues of two styles in one.

and speaking of personal satire, Dryden continues:—

It costs our quiet and content of mind,
And when 'tis compass'd leaves a sting behind
Suppose I had the better end of the staff,
Why should I help the ill-natur'd world to laugh?
'Tis all alike to them who gets the day,
They love the spite and mischief of the fray.
No, I have cur'd myself of that disease,
Nor will I be provok'd but when I please.

The Epistle to Etherege, written between 1685 and 1688, is a reply to one from Etherege to Lord Middleton. It does not deserve Scott's praise. 'Dryden happily retorts upon the witty knight with his own weapons...and acquits himself as well in the light arms of a polite and fashionable courtier, as when he wields the trenchant brand of his own keen satire.' The Epistle is written in octosyllabic verse, a metre which, as we know from the *Essay on Satire*, Dryden despised. The poems of both Etherege and Dryden are quite unquotable; but in Dryden's lines we may note the contemptuous allusion to Buckingham's *Rehearsal*:—

The Duke St Aignon made a play
If Gallic wit convince you scarce,
His Grace of Bucks has made a farce,
And you, whose comic wit is terse all,
Can hardly fall below Rehearsal
Then finish what you have began,
But scribble faster if you can
For yet no George, to our discerning,
Has writ without a ten years' warning.

The Address to Sir Godfrey Kneller, written at some undetermined date before 1694, is not very interesting. In it, as in the Epistle to Roscomon, we find Dryden's view of the effect of rhyme upon poetry :—

112

Then all the Muses in one ruin lie,
And rhyme began to enervate poetry

and in the next line he condemns 'a stupid military state,' thus recalling to our notice the marked absence in his lines on Oliver Cromwell of any commendation of military despotism. Later we have one of his frequent allusions to Eden, and learn that the art of poetry is older than the art of painting :—

Our arts are sisters, though not twins in birth,
For hymns were sung in Eden's happy earth
By the first pair, while Eve was yet a saint,
Before she fell with pride and learn'd to paint.
Forgive the allusion, 'twas not meant to bite,
But Satire will have room, where'er I write

Since the days of Eden, however, painting has made the better bargain with the times :—

Thy pencil speaks the tongue of every land.

But in neither art is there any room for big work : Kneller produces portraits, Dryden has no opportunity for the epic which was his ambition. Then follows a satire on mankind's desire for portraiture :—

Good Heaven ! that sots and knaves should be so vain,
To wish their vile resemblance may remain,
And stand recorded at their own request,
To future days, a libel or a jest !

Time will not permit me to quote the fine lines in the Epistle to Congreve (1693 or 1694) beginning 'O that your brows my laurel had sustained' (this same laurel a few years later, 1698, he proposes to resign to Granville); but the Epistle to Congreve must not be overlooked by a student of Dryden.

The Epistle to Motteux, a Huguenot who fled to this country after the Revocation of the Edict of Nantes, and became a successful playwright, was published in 1698 and is mainly interesting for its allusion to Jeremy Collier's attack on the immorality and profaneness of the English stage, which had appeared a few months earlier. Dryden's dislike of clerics¹, and his explanation of this sentiment, comes out in the following lines :—

What I have loosely or profanely writ
 Let them to fires, their due desert, commit.
 Nor, when accus'd by me, let them complain;
 Their faults, and not their function, I arraign.
 Rebellion, worse than witchcraft, they pursued;
 The pulpit preach'd the crime, the people rued
 The stage was silenc'd, for the saints would see
 In fields perform'd their plotted tragedy
 But let us first reform, and then so live
 That we may teach our teachers to forgive;
 Our desk be plac'd below their lofty chairs;
 Ours be the practice, as the precept theirs.

The Address to Motteux concludes in a strain of extravagant adulation, which can hardly be sincere :—

¹ See also below, p 148.

But whence art thou inspir'd, and thou alone,
To flourish in an idiom not thy own?

* * * * *

Words, once my stock, are wanting to commend
So great a poet and so good a friend

The Epistle to his cousin, John Driden of Chesterton, was written in the last year of Dryden's life and published shortly before his death in 1700. John Driden was a squire living at Chesterton, near Stilton in Huntingdonshire, a member of Parliament, belonging to the 'Country Party' whose politics were in opposition to the government of William III, and a bachelor. On the latter point, as has been seen, he is congratulated by Dryden :—

Minds are so hardly match'd that even the first,
Though pair'd by Heaven, in Paradise were curs'd.

and recurring to the problem of the Temptation, a favourite subject with him, he concludes —

Each might have stood perhaps, but each alone ;
Two wrestlers help to pull each other down

In his picture of the hare as an emblem of human life, we have an autobiographical touch; during his later years, Dryden constantly visited his native county of Northampton.—

The hare in pastures or in plains is found,
Emblem of human life, who runs the round,
And, after all his wandering ways are done,
His circle fills and ends where he begun,
Just as the setting meets the rising sun

That the allusion in the above lines is a personal

one is to my mind proved by the immediately following allusions to James II, then living in exile at St Germain.—

Thus princes ease their cares, but happier he,
 Who seeks not pleasure through necessity,
 Than such as once on slippery thrones were plac'd,
 And chasing, sigh to think themselves are chas'd

The last word is a Gallicism almost amounting to a pun, for ‘chased’ there means of course *chassé*, ‘driven away,’ not ‘hunted,’ while the earlier ‘chasing’ alludes to the hunting in the famous forest of St Germain

In the next lines where the art of the physician is depreciated, and scientific curiosity discouraged, the opportunity is seized for an attack upon Sir Richard Blackmore, the poet and physician of the day, who had published a translation of Virgil:—

But Maurus sweeps whole parishes, and peoples every grave,
 And no more mercy to mankind will use
 Than when he robb'd and murder'd Maro's muse

But some use there is in drugs, and with the problem of the Temptation still haunting him, he writes of quinine or Peruvian bark as it was then called:—

The tree of knowledge, once in Eden plac'd,
 Was easy found, but was forbid the taste,
 O, had our grandsire walk'd without his wife,
 He first had sought the better plant of life!
 Now both are lost yet wandering in the dark,
 Physicians for the tree have found the bark.

The politics of John Dryden, and his position as a member of Parliament, give Dryden the

opportunity of describing the conduct of those who, using the just mean,

Nor gratify whate'er the great desire,
Nor grudging give what public needs require.

where note the Latinisms 'gratify whate'er' and 'nor grudging give,' in the sense 'and give without grudging.' His own policy is expressed a few lines later :—

Safe in ourselves, while on ourselves we stand,
The sea is ours, and that defends the land

Some reflexions on Dutch valour here followed, but were omitted in the published poem at the request of John Dryden, and we pass on to a description of Prerogative and Privilege as two balanced streams :—

One must not ebb nor t'other overflow
* * * * *

When both are full,—

they are like—what?—the rivers that watered the garden of Eden! The garden of Eden again for the third time in this poem!

When both are full, they feed our blest abode,
Like those that water'd once the Paradise of God.

After a complimentary reference to the patriots ~~who~~ resisted Charles I—a passage reflecting Dryden's real feeling¹, though invidiously meant as a side-stroke against the support of William III by the City :—

Such was your generous grandsire, free to grant
In parliaments that weigh'd their Prince's want:

¹ See above, pp. 19, 20.

But so tenacious of the common cause
As not to lend the king against his laws;

the poem concludes with a characteristic instance of Dryden's recklessness in facile compliment to the person or, it may be, the assembly that he is addressing: with apology, he equals his cousin to himself.—

Nor think the kindred Muses thy disgrace,
A poet is not born in every race.
Two of a house few ages can afford,
One to perform, another to record.
Praiseworthy actions are by thee embrac'd;
And 'tis my praise to make thy praises last
For even when death dissolves our human frame,
The soul returns to Heaven from whence it came,
Earth keeps the body, verse preserves the fame,

But Dryden's art is not confined to elevation; he is equally skilful in sinking his style when the subject demands it. One of the methods used is the introduction of double rhymes, very rare in this poem. Double rhymes were associated with Butler's *Hudibras* and with 'low satire.' What Dryden's opinion on the subject was, we learn from his *Discourse Concerning the Original and Progress of Satire*, where he writes —

And besides, the double rhyme (a necessary companion of burlesque writing), is not so proper for manly satire, for it turns earnest too much to jest, and gives us a boyish kind of pleasure. It tickles awkwardly with a kind of pain, to the best sort of readers we are pleased ungratefully, and, if I may say so, against our liking.

In *Absalom and Achitophel* the double rhyme is used with effect in his satire on the fanatics of the Commonwealth, the Levites, who stand for some sort of clergy, and again in his description of Zimri (Buckingham) —

Hot Levites headed these, who pull'd before.
From the ark, which in the Judges' days they bore,
Resum'd their cant, and with a zealous cry
Pursu'd their old beloved theocracy,
Where Sanhedrin and priest enslav'd the nation
And justifi'd their spoils by *inspiration*,
For who so fit for reign as Aaron's race,
If once dominion they could found in grace? (519 ff.)

He says of Zimri.—

Then all for women, painting, rhyming, *drinking*,
Besides ten thousand freaks that died in *thinking*.

(551 f.)

and again :—

•

So over violent or over *civil*
That every man with him was God or *Devil* (557 f.)

These are the only instances of double rhyme in the poem.

(The admirable satire of the Opposition in general (491–540) is followed by the satiric portraits of individuals (541–681) of which the most important are Buckingham as Zimri, Slingsby Bethel as Shimei —types of the discontented noble and the city-man—and Oates as Corah, a supplement not to be left out; for though

To speak the rest, who better are forgot,
Would tire a well-breath'd witness of the plot.
Yet, Corah, thou shalt from oblivion pass;
Erect thyself, thou monumental brass,
High as the serpent of thy metal made,
While nations stand secure beneath thy shade (630 ff.)

The danger of this style is that the personal detail may be tiresome and obscure even at the moment of writing, and still more so for later generations.) Dryden has not wholly avoided this danger. The couplet, for instance, about 'canting Nadab' :—

And canting Nadab let oblivion damn
Who made new porridge for the paschal lamb.

is open to this objection, as well as to others, that the allusion is unintelligible without a lengthy note.

(Satire is apt to consist of such things as this, and it dies of them unless rescued by other merits..

The tone of Dryden's satiric piece is not indignation but contempt, and this feeling is consistent

with the whole poem, which is a celebration of the King's triumph; Dryden, in fact, 'rebates the satire' for the sake of 'sweetness.' He is at his best in the general part, rather than in the portraits of individuals. Read, for instance, his description, already quoted, of the 'hot Levites,' or Presbyterians (519 ff.), of the 'enthusiastic breed' (529 ff.), representing the Baptists and other Nonconformists, and especially of the general mass of the English, obstinate in Protestant prejudice because it is English :—

'But far more numerous was the herd of such
Who think too little and who talk too much.
These out of mere instinct, they knew not why,
Ador'd their fathers' God and property,
And by the same blind benefit of Fate
The Devil and the Jebusite did hate
Born to be sav'd even in their own despite,
Because they could not help believing right (533 ff.)

Note the sneer at the complacent acquiescence in their local religion, 'their fathers' God.' Dryden was indeed no true Anglican. This real feeling of his comes out again in an earlier passage in the poem where the distinctive historical claim of the Jebusites, representing the Catholics, is emphasised by the pause, due to the shortened line, unique in this poem .—

The inhabitants of old Jerusalem
Were Jebusites, the town so call'd from them,
And theirs the native right.
But when the chosen people grew more strong,...

(85 ff.)

:and there are many other marks of his feeling.

His conversion to Roman Catholicism can have come as no surprise to students of his works. To discuss Dryden's religious opinions however would take us too far from our present subject, and belongs more especially to the consideration of his two religious poems, *Religio Laici* and *The Hind and the Panther*¹. But students interested in the question should read the chapter on Dryden's life from 1680 to 1688 in Mr Saintsbury's *Dryden*, published in 1881 in the series of *English Men of Letters*.

(In the case of individual portraits Dryden felt the danger of over-elaboration and consequent obscurity, and was disposed to pass them over briefly.¹ One exception is made in the case of the Sheriff of the City of London, Bethel (Shimei), who seems to have been not popular even with his own party, fanatic though he was, for he was stingy, 'not prodigal of pelf,' and showed no hospitality, in spite of the traditions of his office.—

And that his noble style he might refine,
 No Rechabite more shunn'd the fumes of wine
 Chaste were his cellars, and his shrieval board
 The grossness of a city feast abhorrd:
 His cooks with long disuse their trade forgot;
 Cool was his kitchen, though his brains were hot.

(616 ff.)

The description is too long but has amusing points : the representation of moneyed men as 'dissenting Jews,' for instance, is unfair but smart, and the reference to the fire of London :—

¹ See below, p. 147.

For towns once burnt such magistrates require
As dare not tempt God's providence by fire.

is interesting, as discrediting the contemporary accusation against the Catholics of voluntary incendiарism.

(Another exception to the brevity of these satiric portraits is made in the case of Oates (632-681). Oates is a figure difficult to omit, but he will not come within Dryden's general tone: Oates is no object for levity. Moreover it was known that the King meant to use the false witnesses against the Exclusionist party, a policy definitely announced in the passage from David's speech above quoted. Dryden however makes the most of the comic points in his description of Oates, his long and solemn face, his spurious D.D. degree; nor does he forget that he was a clergyman, and so one of a class of persons generally mischievous, according to Dryden. The best point in the account is the gay defiance of the personal touch—the single appearance in the poem of the author in his own person —

Were I myself in witness Corah's place,
The wretch who did me such a dire disgrace
Should whet my memory, though once forgot,
To make him an appendix of my plot (668 ff.)

There is an odd touch in the phrase describing the Levites, or clergy —

His tribe were God Almighty's gentlemen

Here comes out the traditional dislike of the squire for the parson and his pretensions to an equality

with the country gentry"; here speaks the nephew of baronets—here, and often.

(Then we pass (682–750) to Absalom's appeal to the people, the organisation of his progresses through the country, and the consolidation of the party. The summary is very skilful. It is followed (753–810) by a general argument for not disturbing the traditional basis of government: there is no security in speculation, as has been proved by recent experience. This is the gravest part of the poem. A prevalent theory of the time is here set out—that the State is founded on an 'original contract' between the King and the people, who have surrendered their natural liberty in return for protection from him. An illustration of this theory was afforded less than eight years afterwards by the vote declaring the throne vacant at the Revolution. Early in 1689, the Convention Parliament resolved that James 'having endeavoured to subvert the constitution by breaking the original contract between King and people.. had violated the fundamental laws...and that the throne was vacant.'

Dryden assumes this position and shows its uncertain results: admit that 'people' (759) ought not to bind their posterity absolutely, yet, in a contract, such a power of resumption should have been declared. Was it? And if Adam could bind his posterity by his sin, why could not 'the people' bind theirs by their engagement? (It is an odd argument, but in the style of the time.) And, if a necessity for resumption of the contract may exist,

who is to judge? The multitude? Or Parliament,
itself only a multitude after all?—

What standard is there in a fickle rout,
Which, flowing to the mark, runs faster out?
Nor only crowds but Sanhedrins may be
Infected with this public lunacy,
And share the madness of rebellious times,
To murder monarchs for imagin'd crimes (785 ff.)

To diverge for the moment from the general consideration of the argument, and deal with a particular point, I cannot accept Mr Churton Collins's suggested explanation of the first couplet in the above lines, which he calls 'very obscure.' He thinks that 'the metaphor is from water which in flowing to a mark, and so acquiring impetus, is by its very impetus carried on and past—into waste.' But how does water acquire impetus in flowing to a mark, and what are we to understand by the mark? The lines are perhaps obscure—a rare fault in Dryden—but the metaphor is unmistakable: the 'mark' is the extreme high-water mark of a spring tide, and Dryden observes, quite accurately, that the higher the tide and consequently the greater the distance between high and low water-mark (the interval of time between tides remaining the same), the more rapid is the fall of the water at the ebb. The words 'flowing,' 'mark,' and 'runs out' would seem sufficient to indicate the metaphor, and all doubt is removed by the allusion in the next lines to another effect of the moon, mistress, in the opinion of the time, alike of madness and of the tides.

To go back to Dryden's argument whatever the theory of the relations between Crown and People, tradition is the only safety. As students of literature we need not criticise this view, but we should note the admirable summary in the concluding couplet :—

The tampering world is subject to this curse,
(To physic their disease into a worse.)

We next pass to an account of the loyalists, headed by Barzillai, who stands for Dryden's patron the Marquis, and soon afterwards Duke, of Ormond, and Lord Lieutenant of Ireland. In the reference to the recent death of his son, the Earl of Ossory, 'Heaven' is better managed by Dryden than usual .—

Now, free from earth, thy disencumber'd soul
Mounts up, and leaves behind the clouds and starry pole.
From thence thy kindred legions mayest thou bring
To aid the guardian angel of thy King.
Here stop, my Muse, here cease thy painful flight,
No pinions can pursue immortal height.
Tell good Barzillai thou canst sing no more,
And tell thy soul she should have fled before
Or fled she with his life, and left this verse
To hang on her departed patron's hearse? (850 ff)

Then come the clergy, Sancroft, Archbishop of Canterbury, Compton, Bishop of London, whose 'noble stem' is not forgotten, and Dolben, whose former position as Dean of Westminster gives Dryden the opportunity for a compliment to his old school :—

The Prophets' sons, by such example led,
To learning and to loyalty were bred
For colleges on bounteous kings depend,
And never rebel was to arts a friend

Then follow Mulgrave (Adriel), 'the Muses' friend, himself a Muse,' Halifax (Jotham), who was not 'King's man' enough for Dryden, but the effect of his speech in the House of Lords against the Exclusion Bill is acknowledged. he

then chose the better side,
Nor chose alone, but turn'd the balance too,
So much the weight of one brave man can do.

Laurence Hyde (Hushai) whose skill in finance is noted :—

Tis easy conduct when exchequers flow,
But hard the task to manage well the low.

and finally Sir Edward Seymour (Amiel). All were patrons of Dryden except this last named, formerly Speaker of the House of Commons—a position which according to Dryden he filled well—and afterwards very important as gaining the vote of the Moderates among the Tories in opposition to James II. Dryden apparently thought that Seymour had been undervalued, and does not forget that Seymour was the chief of the 'Squires,' 'of ancient race by birth...and without title great' A Parliament of loyal Squires was probably Dryden's ideal. There is no allusion in the poem to Danby, who was nearer to Dryden as a politician than anyone. But at the time when the poem was published Danby was in prison and apparently ruined

In a passage which deserves particular attention but is too long to quote (917–932) the Loyalists implore the King to stand firm. Note the syntax and construction which, at the end of their representations—enumerated, against normal English usage, in a series of anacoluthic sentences—produce on the reader the effect not of a conclusion, but only of a breathless pause; whereupon the King follows, as an oracle:—

935

With all these loads of injuries opprest,
And long revolving in his careful breast
The event of things, at last his patience tir'd,
Thus from his royal throne, by Heaven inspir'd,
The godlike David spoke, with awful fear
His train their Maker in their master hear

Note also the overlapping in v. 935, with the resultant pause after the words ‘the event of things,’ and the break thus made before the final resolve to speak ‘at last.’ The sentiment expressed in the conclusion of this passage is not profanity or superstition. Dryden’s point in speaking of ‘their master’ as ‘their Maker’ is that the people had not made royalty and the whole complex of which royalty was part, but, on the contrary, royalty had made them; they might as well say that they had made God. The feeling is strongly contrasted with that of the ‘Adam-wits,’ in an earlier passage:—

Those very Jews who at their very best
Their humour more than loyalty express’t,
¹Now wondered why so long they had obey’d
An idol monarch which their hands had made;

Thought they might ruin him they could create
Or melt him to that golden calf, a State. (61 ff.)

—a State, in Dryden's language, meaning an arbitrary Commonwealth.

The King's speech—two passages of which I have already quoted—concludes with a peal of thunder, the stock epic ornament signifying divine approval and the nod of Heaven :—

He said The Almighty, nodding, gave consent;
And peals of thunder shook the firmament.
Henceforth a series of new time began,
The mighty years in long procession ran,
Once more the god-like David was restor'd,
And willing nations knew their lawful lord. (1026 ff.)

'Nodding' translates the Latin *nuere*, and the 'series of new time' and the 'mighty years' point to the King as the channel of divine counsel and decision by recalling the language of Virgil's Sibylline Eclogue :—

Magnus ab integro saeclorum nascitur ordo.

IV

THE QUATRAIN POEMS

Stanzas on Oliver Cromwell, and Annus Mirabilis

✓ Two poems of Dryden's are written in quatrains—stanzas that is, of four lines, with alternate rhymes—namely the *Heroic Stanzas Consecrated to the Memory of His Highness Oliver, late Lord Protector of this Commonwealth, etc.*, and *Annus Mirabilis, the Year of Wonders, 1666*. ✓

In the Preface to *Annus Mirabilis* he tells us that he adopted the quatrain in that poem as being 'more noble and of greater dignity both for the sound and number than any other verse in use amongst us.' (His praise is vague and opportunist,) the quatrain having been used in *Gondibert* (published in 1651) by Davenant, with whom Dryden was at this time on intimate terms. The quatrain with its characteristic strong and frequent pauses and its slow and regular swing, is plainly unsuitable to narrative. It lends itself to reflexions, especially to detached reflexions, and is admirably adapted to its subject in the best and best known quatrain poem in English, Gray's *Elegy written in a Country Churchyard*. For Dryden's *Stanzas in Memory of Oliver*, 'written

after the celebrating of his funeral' and published in 1658, the metre is suitable enough; a good specimen is the final stanza —

His ashes in a peaceful urn shall rest,
His name a great example stands to show
How strangely high endeavours may be blest
Where piety and valour jointly go.

There is merit also in other stanzas; take for instance the first:—

And now 'tis time, for their officious haste
Who would before have borne him to the sky,
Like eager Romans, ere all rites were past,
Did let too soon the sacred eagle fly.

where the allusion, elsewhere¹ again introduced by Dryden, is to the Roman custom of letting fly an eagle upon the close of the funeral ceremonies of a Roman Emperor. Or take stanza 7:—

No borrow'd bays his temples did adorn,
But to our crown he did fresh jewels bring;
Nor was his virtue poison'd, soon as born,
With the too early thoughts of being king.

These stanzas are mainly good, and so are parts of stanzas 22–30, there is generosity and truth everywhere, yet the poem is not good as a whole. There is too much 'cockle' with the 'noble seed,' and Dryden himself reminds us that the poetry written before the Restoration did not provide the 'well-winnow'd grain' commended in the *Threnodia Augustalis*².

¹ *Tyrannic Love* iv 2.

² See above, p. 6

There are faults of grammar :—

When absent, yet we conquer'd in his right : (24)

and of obscurity :—

When such heroic virtue Heaven sets out,
The stars, like Commons, sullenly obey,
Because it drains them, when it comes about,
And therefore is a tax they seldom pay. (27)

And here, in addition to the obscurity, the first image, the soul from a starry Heaven, is degraded by the later comparison with Parliamentary supplies. This kind of fault, common in Dryden's predecessors, was very slowly eliminated from English poetry.

The poem supplies instances of artificial derangement of words :—

And for him fiercely as for empire strove (22)

and of artificial choice of words :—

And bravely fought where southern stars *arise*. (31)

and

The highest acts it could *produce to show* (32)

besides many others. Points also are made without regard to their weight or real connexion, conceits being introduced merely for their own sakes, as in stanza 30, where the first good image :—

That old unquestion'd pirate of the land
Proud Rome

is followed by a dubious point in the third line, and a bad one in the last, thus.—

And trembling wish'd behind more Alps to stand,
Although an Alexander were her guard.

the contemporary Pope being Alexander VII.

The poem, however, is strong in expression and rich in fancy, and therein characteristic of Dryden. The special selection of Oliver's knowledge of men as a point for commendation is appropriate and finely expressed.—

✓

For from all tempers he could service draw;
The worth of each with its alloy he knew;
And, as the confident of Nature, saw
How she complexions did divide and brew:
Or he their single virtues did survey
By intuition in his own large breast,
Where all the rich ideas of them lay
That were the rule and measure to the rest. (25, 26)

At a later time it suited Dryden's opponents to suppose an allusion to the execution of Charles I in the lines describing how Oliver

fought to end our fighting, and assay'd
To stanch the blood by breathing of the vein. (12)

The suggestion is of course absurd, but, on the other hand, nowhere in the poem is there any enthusiasm for Oliver; the tone is one of sober admiration. Dryden remarks, at the opening, that the subject of his poem already holds so lofty a position that it cannot be raised by any 'arts':—if only he had so reflected more often, and allowed his reflexions to determine his practice!

Does the poem prove that Dryden after the Restoration threw away all his principles? I think not. There is in it no praise of military government, nor any desire for its permanence.

Annus Mirabilis

Before the issue of the next quatrain poem, in 1667, Dryden had become a figure of some importance, he had produced four or five dramas and written some court-poetry. The poem of *Annus Mirabilis* describes the events of that wonderful year 1666, when the plague and fire of London followed upon the naval war with the Dutch. It is preceded by an address to the City and a letter to Sir Robert Howard.

The address to the City is a fine piece of prose, and very characteristic of Dryden. Addressing the City of London he writes.—

You are now a phoenix in her ashes, and, as far as humanity can approach, a great emblem of the suffering Deity. But Heaven never made so much piety and virtue to leave it miserable. I have heard indeed of some virtuous persons who have ended unfortunately, but never of any virtuous nation. Providence is engaged too deeply, when the cause becomes so general, and I cannot imagine it has resolved the ruin of that people at home, which it has blessed abroad with such successes.

Note the Pagan feeling. There is no sense of mystery, and the Christian allusions are mere ornaments; a comparison is made in the same breath of the City with the phoenix *and with Christ*. Man, he thinks, has a case against 'the gods': 'Providence is engaged.' Dryden cannot see things big; what he gives us is a poetic blend of conventional philosophy and religion.

'In the *Letter to Sir Robert Howard* there is a deliberate challenge of criticism. This letter is throughout characteristic of Dryden. Not only does he, as we have seen, justify his choice of metre, but he has chosen 'the most heroic subject which any poet could desire,'—'incomparably the best subject,' he has ever had, 'excepting only the royal family.' The subject is indeed epic in dignity but the action is, as he admits, not 'properly one,' nor complete as a story. Dryden's whole ambition is disclosed in this Letter : to be high, like Virgil—who 'has been my master in this poem'—and yet real.

As regards his treatment of the metre, he tells us that he has discarded ancient licences —

Neither can we give ourselves the liberty of making any part of a verse for the sake of rhyme, or concluding with a word which is not current English, or using the variety of female rhymes, all which our fathers practised.

Dryden claims to have taken some trouble to introduce the 'proper terms' in his description of the naval fight. But are his terms altogether proper? Is, for instance, 'caulking-iron' correct? His criticism, a little later, of Ovid and Virgil has no substance, and though Virgil may have been his 'master' in this poem, Dryden is not at all like Virgil, and the resemblances quoted by him are insignificant. 'and lighted all the river with a blaze' (st. 231), for example, has but a slight resemblance to *Sigea igni freta lata reluctant* (Virgil *Aen.* II 312). The language of the poem is current English, with a few innovations. To this point Dryden calls attention. But

these innovations, introductions from Latin, were not efficient or numerous; instances are —

With loathing eyes repeat what they would shun. (257)

where 'repeat' represents the Latin *repetere*, 'to seek again,' and

By which to Heaven they did affect the way, (273)

where 'affect' is used, as again in *Absalom and Achitophel* (178), in the sense of seeking or desiring; here it is probably an imitation of *viamque adfectat Olympo* (Virgil *Georgic* iv 562). We also have Latinisms in 'require' (st. 256) and 'obnoxious' (st. 258). His imitations of Latin models are not among his happiest passages: the bad lines

And weary waves, withdrawing from the fight,
Lie lulled and panting on the silent shore (98)

are, he tells us, reminiscent of Statius (*Silv.* v 4, 5):—

Nec trucbus fluviis idem sonus, occidit horror
aequoris, et terris maria adclinata quiescunt

and his recent reading of the Third Book of Lucan's *Pharsalia*, where the successive ships are said to drive the sea's surface forward and back with their oars (III 550 ff.), has obviously suggested the hyperbolic language of stanza 177.—

So vast the navy now at anchor rides
That underneath it the press'd waters fail,
And with its weight it shoulders off the tides.

The success of the poem where Dryden treats of reality, of the actual, is yet uncommon. Take for instance the four stanzas (99–102) which describe

the night before the third engagement of Albemarle's fleet with the Dutch. Albemarle's danger was great; he was separated from Prince Rupert's detachment and had passed through two days of severe fighting against a force outnumbering his own. It is the night of June 2, the next day, June 3, being the anniversary of two earlier naval victories over the Dutch.—

The moon shone clear on the becalmed flood,
Where, while her beams like glittering silver play,
Upon the deck our careful General stood,
And deeply mus'd on the succeeding day.

'That happy sun,' said he, 'will rise again
Who twice victorious did our navy see,
And I alone must view him rise in vain,
Without one ray of all his star for me.'

Yet like an English general will I die,
And all the ocean make my spacious grave:
Women and cowards on the land may lie;
The sea's a tomb that's proper for the brave.'

Restless he pass'd the remnants of the night,
Till the fresh air proclaim'd the morning nigh;
And burning ships, the martyrs of the fight,
With paler fires beheld the eastern sky

Dryden's vocabulary here is still that of current English, with the single exception, that since the complete separation of the services, the title 'General' is no longer satisfactory to our ears. But the separation had not been made in Dryden's time, and Monck, Duke of Albemarle, was indeed an English 'General' familiar enough to those who remembered that he had on a famous occasion ordered a change in the course of his ship by calling out, 'wheel to the left.'

With this successful description of a real situation should be contrasted Dryden's attempt at supernatural decoration in an earlier stanza —

To see this fleet upon the ocean move
 Angels drew wide the curtains of the skies,
 And Heaven, as if there wanted lights above,
 For tapers made two glaring comets rise (16)

Dryden's 'Heaven' is the old *dome*, the concave covering of a circular flat earth. His conception is affected by the example of Lucan, recently in his thoughts, as is shown by his reference to 'the third of his *Pharsalia*' in his *Letter to Sir Robert Howard*. In the Fourth Book of the *Pharsalia* (72 ff.) Lucan describes how the bad weather in Spain was caused by compression of the atmosphere, the westward driven air being unable to escape. The conception of the sky as an inverted bowl resting upon the earth was not dead in antiquity, but was quite dead to Dryden, as is shown elsewhere and especially in the speculations, which follow in the very next stanzas, as to the nature of the 'two glaring comets' :—

Whether they unctuous exhalations are
 Fir'd by the sun, or seeming so alone,
 Or each some more remote and slippery star
 Which loses footing when to mortals shown;
 Or one that bright companion of the sun,
 Whose glorious aspect seal'd our new-born King,
 And now, a round of greater years begun,
 New influence from his walks of light did bring.

These speculations show some scientific knowledge of comets, extending beyond that of his ancient

models, but yet Dryden could not accept the true astronomy. This confusion of astronomical conceptions comes out strongly in the stanza immediately preceding his address to the Royal Society, of which he had been elected a member some five years earlier :—

Then we upon our globe's last verge shall go
And view the ocean leaning on the sky:
From thence our rolling neighbours we shall know
And on the lunar world securely pry¹. (164)

The examination of Dryden's 'Heaven' well repays a student of his works - it plays an important part, and is always mischievous.

{ Between the Natural and the Supernatural is the middle way of supernatural machinery. } Here he has better success. With good effect, in spite of the flatness of the last line, the ghosts of men are introduced as interested spectators of the naval fight :—

The mighty ghosts of our great Harrys rose,
And armed Edwards looked with anxious eyes,
To see this fleet among unequal foes,
By which Fate promis'd them their Charles should rise,
(81)

and again during the fire of London :—

The ghosts of traitors from the Bridge descend,
With bold fanatic spectres to rejoice,
About the fire into a dance they bend
And sing their sabbath notes with feeble voice. (223)

¹ For further comment on this stanza, as well as general criticism of Dryden's work, the reader is referred to Lowell's *Essay on Dryden*, contained in the volume called *My Study Windows*.

But this method is not applicable on a large scale. Dryden's general tone is so positive and definite that the reader is tempted to ask, How did the ghosts come there? The spirits of Henri IV of France and William the Silent are, he lets us know, among 'the blessed,' the abode of 'the blessed' being a vague combination of the Christian Heaven and the ancient Elysium

Dryden never succeeded in this way, he used no free invention. What he writes in the Preface to *Tyrannic Love* is generally true of his work in this kind :—

As for what I have said of astral or aerial spirits, it is no invention of mine, but taken from those who have written on that subject. Whether there are such beings or not, it concerns not me, it is sufficient for my purpose, that many have believed the affirmative, and that these heroic representations, which are of the same nature with the epic, are not limited, but with the extredest bounds of what is credible.

In view of such an attitude success was hardly to be anticipated.

With this comparative failure we may contrast his success in natural imagination, and his admirable application of it to simile and metaphor. His faculty of imagination was strong, apt even to overleap its proper bounds. Of this Dryden was himself conscious. More than once he compares the faculty to a shooting-dog or spaniel, thus, in the Preface to *Annus Mirabilis*, he writes :—

The faculty of imagination...like a nimble spaniel, beats over and ranges through the field of memory, till it springs the quarry it hunted after

This ‘ spaniel ’ of his requires a check ; and such a check is provided by rhyme, which needs reflexion. In the dedication of the *Rival Ladies* (published 1664) he had written that the chief benefit to be derived from rhyme is

that it bounds and circumscribes the fancy. For imagination in a poet is a faculty so wild and lawless, that, like an high-ranging spaniel, it must have clogs tied to it, lest it outrun the judgement. The great easiness of blank verse renders the poet too luxuriant, but when the difficulty of artful rhyming is interposed the fancy then gives leisure to the judgement to come in.

This faculty of his is not sufficient in itself for the highest work, but within its range it is excellent, especially when applied to the creation of metaphors and similes.

Of simile many good specimens are to be found in the *Annus Mirabilis*, as, for instance, the dog and hare, applied to the pursuing ship and the pursued, both alike disabled.—

So have I seen some fearful hare maintain
A course, till tir'd before the dog she lay,
Who, stretch'd behind her, pants upon the plain,
Past power to kill as she to get away
With his loll'd tongue he faintly licks his prey;
His warm breath blows her flix up as she lies;
She, trembling, creeps upon the ground away,
And looks back to him with beseeching eyes.

(131, 132)

Again, the falcon and crow.—

Have you not seen when, whistled from the fist,
Some falcon stoops at what her eye design'd,
And, with her eagerness the quarry miss'd,
Straight flies at check and clips it down the wind;

The dastard crow, that to the wood made wing
 And sees the groves no shelter can afford,
 With her loud caws her craven kind does bring,
 Who, safe in numbers, cuff the noble bird. (86, 87)

Or the spider.—

So the false spider, when her nets are spread,
 Deep ambush'd in her silent den does he,
 And feels far off the trembling of her thread,
 Whose filmy cord should bind the struggling fly,
 Then, if at last she find him fast beset,
 She issues forth and runs along her loom
 She joys to touch the captive in her net
 And drags the little wretch in triumph home
 (180, 181)

In the simile of the bees he is misled as to the facts by Virgil, whom he is consciously imitating :—

All hands employ'd, the royal work grows warm,
 Like labouring bees on a long summer's day,
 Some sound the trumpet for the rest to swarm,
 And some on bells of tasted lilies play,
 With glewy wax some new foundation lay
 Of virgin-combs, which from the roof are hung;
 Some arm'd within doors upon duty stay
 Or tend the sick or educate the young (144, 145)

And in the simile of the gold in mines ripened by the sun, he is misled by the science of his day :—

As those who unripe veins in mines explore
 On the rich bed again the warm turf lay,
 Till time digests the yet imperfect ore,
 And know it will be gold another day (139)

One more illustration must suffice, that of the martlet whose wet wings bring the first news of the coming rain to the birds of lower flight :—

As in a drought the thirsty creatures cry
And gape upon the gather'd clouds for rain,
And first the martlet meets it in the sky,
And with wet wings joys all the feather'd train (110)

Here imagination seems to have outrun observation,
but the simile is pleasing.

Even in natural figures the 'spaniel' sometimes ranges too high, as, for instance, in the comparison of the small squadron surrounded by a larger squadron to the swordfish and the whale :—

Our little fleet was now engag'd so far
That like the sword-fish in the whale they fought,
The combat only seem'd a civil war,
Till through their bowels we our passage wrought. (79)

The reader will notice in Dryden's work a certain coldness of emotion, imagination is with him detached from feeling, as in the often quoted line describing the result of an attack upon the richly laden Dutch ships.—

Some preciously by shatter'd porcelain fall
And some by aromatic splinters die (29)

More than one explanation can be found for this bluntness of feeling. It is partly due to the actual barbarian survivals of the time. Traitors' heads were exposed on London Bridge, and in the conclusion of Dryden's opera of *Albion and Albanus* there was a representation upon the stage of Shaftesbury's 'tap'—an appliance rendered necessary by a physical infirmity. It is also partly due to an ungoverned passionate curiosity for fact, common to the age, and specially characteristic of Dryden. But it is in the main inherited (and not yet worked off) from

Shakespeare and the Jacobeans generally; cold horror, however, has become more repulsive with increased precision of style and expression.

✓ Dryden's figures in *Annus Mirabilis* are sometimes, but not commonly, mere conceits. Thus, in stanza 178 —

A breeze from westward waits their sails to fill
And *rests* in those high *beds* his *downy* wings

But such false points are rare.

If we examine in detail the images applied by Dryden to the supernatural we shall be struck by the concrete and material conception of the 'other-world' which they exhibit. His antique and Pagan 'Heaven,' or sky, has been already noticed, but it does not stand alone. This material and concrete view is partly of the age or inherited from predecessors—Donne, for instance, and Herbert¹—but it

¹ See, for instance, Herbert *The Holy Communion* (second poem) —

Before that sin turn'd flesh to stone,
And all our lump to leaven,
A fervent sigh might well have blown
Our innocent earth to Heaven.

For sure when Adam did not know
To sin, or sin to smother,
He might to Heaven from Paradise go
As from one room t' another

Or *Whitsunday* —

Such glorious gifts Thou didst bestow,
That th' earth did like a Heaven appear
The stars were coming down to know
If they might mend their wages, and serve here

And Donne, *Ascension* —

O strong Ram, which hast batter'd Heaven for me.
Mild Lamb, which with Thy Blood hath mark'd the path!

was congenial to Dryden's positive mind. He took religion as he found it, without much interest in it during the middle years of his life, and it is rare to find in him any sense of mystery,

An interesting illustration of his attitude is furnished by stanzas 209–211, where he makes the transition between the two subjects of his poem, passing from the naval victories to the fire of London.—

But ah! how unsincere are all our joys,
Which sent from Heaven, like lightning, make no stay!
Their palling taste the journey's length destroys,
Or grief sent post o'er takes them on the way.

Swell'd with our late successes on the foe,
Which France and Holland wanted power to cross,
We urge an unseen fate to lay us low
And feed their envious eyes with English loss.

Each element His dread command obeys
Who makes or ruins with a smile or frown;
Who as by one He did our nation raise,
So now He with another pulls us down

The nation, that is, blessed by one element, water, is ruined by another, fire. Dryden here clearly does not mean any irreverence, and yet, look at the grotesque imagery of the first of these stanzas, with its suggestive reminiscences of the deterioration of vegetables and foreign groceries on their way between London and Charlton, where Dryden was writing; the joys from Heaven are compared to spoilt provisions, and the passage to Heaven is a long post-stage! In the intermediate stanza we may note the introduction of the pagan idea of Nemesis,

the jealous fate that overtakes prosperity. This Dryden would have considered an established figure, independent of any actual belief in such a power. But the introduction of Nemesis here is nevertheless chilling to a spiritual imagination.

Somewhat similar is his conception of the limited and anthropomorphic Deity. His concrete imagination extends from mystery itself to acts of mystery, such, for instance, as the Creation, and this attitude is especially common in his treatment of kings in general and the King in especial. Kings are to him earthly Gods. The view is partly living and political, derived from his sense of the importance of monarchy—his politics we discuss elsewhere¹—partly literary, due to his study of the Roman poets, Virgil, Horace, Ovid, and others, with their feeling for the worship and religion of the Roman Emperor. A specimen of this attitude is found in the stanzas where he is describing how Charles orders the repair of the fleet after the 'Four Days Battle.' The King's external relation to the successive stages of the war is compared to the Creator's relation to the days of creation —

So looks our Monarch on this early fight,
 The essay and rudiments of great success,
 Which all-maturing time must bring to light,
 While he, like Heaven, does each day's labour bless.
 Heaven ended not the first or second day,
 Yet each was perfect to the work design'd
 God and kings work, when they their work survey,
 And passive aptness in all subjects find (140, 141)

¹ See above, pp 19 ff., 49 ff.

This is not personal servility or adulation. The poet feels the greatness of obedience and of a coherent frame; the greatest thing we see is compared to the greatest that we know—the universe. But the cold and unmysterious imagination degrades it.

Somewhat similar objections have been felt to Dryden's treatment of Old Testament stories, but these stories are not always spiritual, and Dryden seems to me sound in instinct here. Take, for instance, the touching of the ark, in stanza 94. During Albemarle's retreat after the second day's battle one of the pursuing ships was unexpectedly sunk. The fortune seemed miraculous, and Dryden writes:—

The foe approach'd, and one for his bold sin
Was sunk, as he that touch'd the Ark was slain.

Scott calls this profane. I do not feel it so, and at all events, we may welcome the fine figure which follows.—

The wild waves master'd him, and suck'd him in,
And smiling eddies dimpled on the main.

The politics of the *Annus Mirabilis* are out of date. It is largely coloured by animosity against the Dutch, the Dutch figure, for instance, is a subject of attack.—

Vast bulks, which little souls but ill supply. (70)

Dryden's materialism here is antique; he is thinking of soul as soul-substance, not as immaterial spirit. But even so the allusion is to us somewhat coarse,

and again here, as so often, there is too familiar a handling of mystery. Such formations—vast bodies with little souls—are according to him specially liable to nightmare! and there follows a splendid description of nightmare:—

In dreams they fearful precipices tread,
Or shipwrack'd labour to some distant shore,
Or in dark churches walk among the dead,
They wake with horror and dare sleep no more (71)

Dryden is not insensible to the pathos of war, and gives his compassion to Dutch sufferers in four stanzas (32–35) marked by good taste. He supposes some Dutch merchant-vessels from the east to have been captured by the English, a likely enough incident. Pure reality is Dryden's strength, and elegiac meditation is suitable to his chosen metre:—

Go, mortals, now and vex yourselves in vain
For wealth, which so uncertainly must come,
When what was brought so far and with such pain
Was only kept to lose it nearer home.

The son who, twice three months on the ocean tost,
Prepar'd to tell what he had pass'd before,
Now sees in English ships the Holland coast
And parents' arms in vain stretch'd from the shore.

This careful husband had been long away
Whom his chaste wife and little children mourn,
Who on their fingers learn'd to tell the day
On which their father promis'd to return

Such are the proud designs of human kind,
And so we suffer shipwrack everywhere!
Alas, what port can such a pilot find
Who in the night of Fate must blindly steer!

To understand Dryden's attitude in the poem, we must realise what was the contemporary conception of the European situation. England had been mistaken in the policy pursued from the days of Elizabeth to those of Oliver, the policy of depressing Spain and raising Holland and France, more dangerous enemies. Both these countries were ungrateful for English aid rendered in the past, and so Dryden, writing of the second battle on July 25, St James's Day, says :—

Or if too busily they will enquire
Into a victory which we disdain,
Then let them know the Belgians did retire
Before the patron saint of injur'd Spain
Repenting England, this revengeful day,
To Philip's manes did an offering bring,
England, which first by leading them astray
Hatch'd up rebellion to destroy her King.
Our fathers bent their baneful industry
To check a monarchy that slowly grew,
But did not France or Holland's fate foresee,
Whose rising power to swift dominion flew. (197 ff.)

And then former Dutch and French statesmen are represented as disapproving the conduct of their ungrateful successors.—

But whate'er English to the blest shall go,
And the fourth Harry or first Orange meet,
Find him disowning of a Bourbon foe
And him detesting a Batavian fleet (201)

This stanza has been ill explained. Scott says—and Christie takes the same view—that William

the Silent repents his rebellion against Spain, and Henri IV his wars in France, as having encouraged rebellion generally and especially in England. The first of these explanations is irrelevant, and the second inconsistent with the words 'Bourbon foe.' The opponents of Henri IV were not Bourbons, but of the House of Valois; the Bourbon dynasty came in with Henri IV. The 'Bourbon foe' of this stanza is Louis XIV, disowned by Henri IV his grandfather, as a foe to the English¹. William the Silent, the 'first Orange,' detests the fleet of Holland as employed against his benefactors. The point is worth notice because Dryden is rarely obscure.

We pass to the second portion of the poem, the Fire of London. The transition to this from the naval victories has been described above. An early stanza in the account of the fire will remind the reader, both in subject and metrical form, of Gray's famous line, 'Some Cromwell guiltless of his country's blood.' Dryden's stanza runs —

As when some dire usurper Heaven provides
 To scourge his country with a lawless sway,
 His birth perhaps some petty village hides
 And sets his cradle out of Fortune's way, (213)

In the preceding stanza we have a comparison to the Day of Judgement; the fate of London is

¹ The above note was written in 1911, in ignorance that the same explanation of this passage had been made a year earlier by Mr G. C. Macaulay (*Mod. Lang. Review*, Vol. v, p. 234).

Great as the world's, which at the death of time
Must fall, and rise a nobler frame by fire.

Dryden's imagination was always haunted by the Day of Judgement. It appears and re-appears in his work. Criticism of his treatment might be multiplied, but it is enough here to set beside the lines just quoted—reminiscent, as Dryden tells us, of a passage in the *Metamorphoses* of Ovid (vii 453)—the naive representation of contemporary belief in a later stanza :—

Night came, but without darkness or repose,
A dismal picture of the general doom,
Where souls distracted, when the trumpet blows,
And half unready with their bodies come. (254)

This part of the poem contains an abundance of fine lines and passages; take for instance the three stanzas beginning with the following :—

The diligence of trades, and noiseful gain,
And luxury, more late, asleep were laid,
All was the Night's, and in her silent reign
No sound the rest of Nature did invade. (216)

Or again the nine stanzas of the King's prayer, too long to quote here, beginning.—

'O God' said he, 'Thou patron of my days,
Guide of my youth in exile and distress!
Who me unfriended broughtst by wondrous ways,
The kingdom of my fathers to possess' (262)

The supernatural imagination in this part of the poem is perhaps more successful than usual. The description in stanzas 279 and 280 oddly anticipates (with a difference) Milton's description in the Third

Book of *Paradise Lost*, published a few months later. There too, in the 'Empyrean,' surrounded by 'thrones' and 'dominions,' the Almighty contemplates a burning world, from whose ashes a new earth shall arise. Here,

In the empyrean Heaven, the blest abode,
The thrones and the dominions prostrate lie,
Not daring to behold their angry God,
And a hush'd silence damps the tuneful sky.

At length the Almighty cast a pitying eye,
And mercy softly touch'd His melting breast;
He saw the town's one half in rubbish lie
And eager flames give on to storm the rest

Then, after describing the 'hollow crystal pyramid' with which the Almighty extinguished the flames, Dryden tells us that

Each household Genius shows again his face
And from the hearths the little Lares creep

The introduction of Roman mythology into the midst of the scriptural imagery is more surprising to the modern reader than to Dryden's contemporaries. Bacchus and Christ both appear, for instance, in the opening Canto of Camoens' Portuguese epic, the *Lusiad*, of which the first English translation, by Fanshawe, had appeared in 1665, and Milton himself in *Paradise Lost* converts the Pagan gods into fiends (*P.L.* 1 437-521)

The same intermingling of disparate supernatural elements occurs in the allusion to the destruction of St Paul's, which perished, Dryden tells us, though it was dedicated to God and had been celebrated

by Waller on the occasion of its reparation by Charles I :—

Nor could thy fabric, Paul's, defend thee long,
Though thou wert sacred to thy Maker's praise,
Though made immortal by a poet's song,
And poets' songs the Theban walls could raise. (275)

✓ The technical execution of the whole poem is excellent, and shows a marked advance on the earlier quatrain poem. Forced rhyme, for instance, is almost unknown.✓ There is one example in the unusual sense given to the word 'doom' (st. 207), suggesting that it has been brought in, amid the prosy details of stanzas 206, 207, for the rhyme with 'loom,' and possibly a similar reason accounts for the unusual meaning of 'succeed,' in the sense of 'make to succeed' in stanza 292, though the word is used in the same sense elsewhere by Dryden. Weak rhymes are very rare; 'murderer' rhyming with 'appear' is an almost solitary instance and Dryden is seldom contented even with such generally recognised rhymes as 'destiny' with 'shall be' (st. 200), or 'industry' with 'foresee' in stanza 199, quoted above.

One dubious case there is where the rhyme in the last line seems forced on a first reading, but a change in punctuation would remedy this by giving a point to the rhyming word. Dryden is prophesying the development of the new 'Augusta Londiniensis' which is to be built in the place of the old London which the fire had destroyed.

The stanza as commonly punctuated runs as follows :—

More great than human now and more August,
 New deified she from her fires does rise.
 Her widening streets on new foundations trust,
 And, opening, into larger parts she flies. (295)

If, however, we punctuate the last line thus, ‘And opening into larger parts,—she flies,’ the last word gains a meaning in the comparison of the city to a ‘deified’ Heracles, purged by burning, rarified, and so more able to ascend the sky. With the quotation of the two beautiful stanzas next following we conclude our examination of the poem :—

Before, she like some shepherdess did show
 Who sate to bathe her by a river’s side,
 Not answering to her fame, but rude and low,
 Nor taught the beauteous arts of modern pride.

Now like a maiden queen she will behold
 From her high turrets hourly suitors come;
 The East with incense and the West with gold
 Will stand like suppliants to receive her doom.

(296, 297)

VI

THE RELIGIOUS POEMS

Religio Laici and *The Hind and the Panther*

FOR Dryden's religious opinions there is little evidence until we come to his two religious poems published respectively in November 1682 and April 1687. Nor is there any reason why there should be such evidence. In 1686 we have the startling circumstances of his public conversion at a time of national crisis, conversion to the views of the King but to the unpopular side. His motives are perhaps ambiguous, but he has always stood for the representative renegade. The biographical problem is interesting to men of letters, whether they like or dislike Dryden, and has been discussed, among others, by Johnson, Scott, Macaulay, and Mr Saintsbury.

As to the times before 1681 there is little to say. Dryden was not a public man, and did not directly discuss political or religious subjects. He was a professed member of the Church of England and a 'King's servant', a 'prerogative man' in politics, when he thought of it, and a royalist, though not of

the Anglican religious type, the type devoted to the 'blessed memory' of 'Charles the Martyr.' Dryden's type was rather that of the royalist of the Long Parliament, before the acute division of parties. (he was a Churchman by profession, and thought the profession of Churchmanship sufficient.) For his general views, besides the tone of *Religio Laici* and the statements in *The Hind and the Panther* (to be quoted later) there is evidence in the Preface to (*Tyrannic Love* (printed 1670)) and in (*the State of Innocence*¹ (1674)). (No piety is shown in either, and the general attitude suggested is one of scepticism.)

Dryden's private conduct is not strictly relevant to the question of his religious opinions and I shall not here discuss it, beyond briefly saying that there is nothing to show that he fell below his profession, according to the standard of the day. Again his literary offences are not relevant to his creed, though they disprove the maintenance of a severe standard, that, however, he did not profess to maintain. (Burnet's² description of him as 'a monster of impurity' is mere rhetoric, and any inference therefrom as to Dryden's religious belief is wholly inadmissible.)

What is clear is that he had a marked dislike of clergy of all sorts, as such. Was this due to his own impatience of restriction? Or to unreasonable demands on the part of the clergy? There is perhaps something of both in his attitude, but neither is the main point. The main point is that he

¹ See below, p. 217

² See above, p. 25.

regarded the clergy in general as tiresome disturbers of peace) his feeling is represented, for instance, in the character of the Mufti in *Don Sebastian* (published in 1690) in speaking of whom Benducar says —

For churchmen, though they itch to govern all,
Are silly, woeful, awkward politicians
They make lame mischief, though they mean it well.

The view was common among laymen at that time, and not unnatural to men who remembered the Bishops' War, or the conduct of Laud on the one hand, and of the Puritans on the other. To many laymen a 'clergyman' seemed only half a 'King's subject' Dryden constantly derides the pretensions of the clergy to high character see his Spanish Friar (1681-2) as well as the Mufti In considering his views about religion we must alike disregard both his prejudice against the clergy, and clerical insinuations, such as those of Burnet, against him.

Real Christianity was not fashionable at this time and Deism was regarded as the 'Natural Religion.' The main points of Deism are noted in *Religio Laici* (42-61): God is worshipped by praise and prayer, and by repentance, there is a compensatory future state; evidence for the existence of this the Deists professed to derive from 'Reason'.—

(Our Reason prompts us to a future state,
The last appeal from Fortune and from Fate,
When God's all-righteous ways will be declar'd,
The bad meet punishment, the good reward)

Dryden in *The Hind and the Panther* (published

in April, 1687) admits that he has held no recognised creed :—

My pride struck out new sparkles of her own (175)

His creed was presumably some sort of Deism. Mr Firth's note¹ on this passage from *The Hind and the Panther* (162-78) attaches 'great autobiographical importance' to these lines, which 'help to explain Dryden's conversion to Roman Catholicism.' This view is confirmed by the incidental evidence of Dryden's earlier works. *The State of Innocence* is really Deistic and not Christian in tone, in his play of *Tyrannic Love*, the religion of St Catharine may be mere philosophy. In the Preface to that play he disclaims profanity, writing as follows. 'I am charged by some ignorant or malicious persons with no less crimes than profaneness and irreligion.' Then after defending his treatment of Maximin, which had been attacked by his critics, he concludes. 'This, reader, is what I owed to my just defence, and the due reverence of that religion which I profess, to which all men, who desire to be esteemed good, or honest, are obliged....I ask leave to add' (in further evidence besides the witness of his 'own conscience, which abhors the thought of such a crime') 'my outward conversation, which shall never be justly taxed with the note of atheism or profaneness.' But this disclaimer proves nothing as to his positive belief; Deism is not profane.

¹ In his revision of Christie's Edition of *Select Poems by Dryden* (Clarendon Press, 1901).

Then we come to the political crisis of 1680, purely political as presented by Dryden (in *Absalom and Achitophel*), but at root religious, and likely to stimulate in Dryden interest in theology. Dryden's party, the National party, was largely composed of real Anglicans, and very important services were rendered to the party by the Anglican clergy, especially the rural clergy. The City, the centre of the opposite party, was largely Presbyterian and sectarian, representing Dryden's heartily disliked 'private interpretation,' combined with Republicanism. Further, Dryden was now passing out of middle life; he was fifty years of age, and a deeper consideration of the problems of theology was quite natural, even without external impulse.

Close consideration of *Absalom and Achitophel* shows that Dryden's position was, even at that date (November, 1681), very dubiously Anglican. He emphasises, as we saw¹, the 'native right' of the Roman Catholics, 'Jebusites,' as they are there called (85 ff.), and his coarse satire on Transubstantiation. (118 ff.) shows rather religious insensibility than hostile theology. Again the poem shows his dislike of liberty and private judgement:—

Gods they had tried of every shape and size
That godsmiths could produce or priests devise. (49 f.)

His subsequent approach to religion is avowedly political, as is shown in the Preface to *Religio Laici* by his manner of dealing both with 'Papists' and with 'Sectarians.'

¹ See above, p. 83.

This Preface is very well written. Its whole professed purpose is to find a religious settlement which will support the English monarchical and limited constitution. He has no difficulty in showing, by an appeal to history, (that if *one* system is to be universal it must be the Anglican, neither Roman Catholicism nor Free Churches can be universally acceptable in England. His demand of proof for the truth of revealed religion is very easy; 'Let us be content at last to know God by his own methods, at least, so much of him as he is pleased to reveal to us in the sacred Scriptures: to apprehend them to be the word of God is all our reason has to do; for all beyond it is the work of faith, which is the seal of Heaven impressed upon our human understanding.' On the question of 'faith' in the Popish Plot, the Preface contains a cutting hit at 'Fanatics': 'As for the late design, Mr Coleman's letters, for aught I know, are the best evidence; and what they discover, without wire-drawing their sense or malicious glosses, all men of reason conclude credible. If there be anything more than this required of me, I must believe it as well as I am able, in spite of the witnesses, and out of a decent conformity to the votes of Parliament; for I suppose the Fanatics will not allow the private spirit in this case.'

(*Religio Laici* is an exposition of Anglicanism: Deism will not do; Heaven must be revealed. Christianity is taken on its moral merits: but what of those who have not heard its truths? Their

salvation must be possible: 'It has always been my thought, that heathens who never did, nor without miracle could, hear of the name of Christ, were yet in a possibility of salvation.') So he writes in the Preface, and in the poem itself his view is thus expressed :—

Then, those who follow'd Reason's dictates right,
Liv'd up, and lifted high their natural light,
With Socrates may see their Maker's face,
While thousand rubric-martyrs want a place.

(208 ff.)

This brings him to the consideration of the Athan-asian Creed —

Nor does it baulk my charity to find
The Egyptian Bishop of another mind,

and to the expression of the view that even for Christians not much theology is desirable. Raising this vital point, Dryden adds :—

Shall I speak plain, and in a nation free
Assume an honest layman's liberty?
I think, according to my little skill,
To my own mother Church submitting still,
That many have been sav'd, and many may,
Who never heard this question brought in play.
The unletter'd Christian, who believes in gross,
Plods on to Heaven and ne'er is at a loss,
For the strait gate would be made straiter yet,
Were none admitted there but men of wit.

(316 ff.)

But what guarantee is there for Revelation? Dryden, as a scholar, admits that the Book of the Scriptures rests on tradition. In doubt, where then

is authority? This question is vaguely handled by him and his conclusion is practically based upon English politics. Papist and Protestant 'Fanatics' are alike rejected, and a vague assumption is made that in what is needful the Scriptures are clear.—

'Tis some relief, that points not clearly known
Without much hazard may be let alone,

and then he comes to the *practical* point:— .

And after hearing what our Church can say,
If still our reason runs another way,
That private reason 'tis more just to curb
Than by disputes the public peace disturb
For points obscure are of small use to learn.
But common quiet is mankind's concern (443 ff)

[The style is admirable in its ease and flexibility. A striking passage expresses the plain man's disgust at fictitious demands upon ignorance, and his dislike of priesthood; in his account of the medieval clergy, Dryden recalls Chaucer, like Chaucer he brings out the humour of the thing.—]

In times o'ergrown with rust and ignorance
A gainful trade their clergy did advance,
When want of learning kept the laymen low
And none but priests were authoriz'd to know,
When what small knowledge was in them did dwell
And he a God who could but read or spell,
Then Mother Church did mighty prevail,
She parcell'd out the Bible by retail,
But still expounded what she sold or gave,
To keep it in her power to damn and save.
Scripture was scarce, and as the market went,
Poor laymen took salvation on content,

As needy men take money, good or bad,
God's word they had not, but the priest's they had.
Yet, whate'er false conveyances they made,
The lawyer still was certain to be paid.
In those dark times they learn'd their knack so well,
That by long use they grew infallible.
At last, a knowing age began to inquire
If they the Book or that did them inspire,
And making narrower search they found, though late,
That what they thought the priest's was their estate,
Taught by the will produc'd, the written word,
How long they had been cheated on record
Then every man, who saw the title fair,
Claim'd a child's part and put in for a share,
Consulted soberly his private good,
And sav'd himself as cheap as e'er he could (370 ff.) •

Dryden had too much wit to pose as a prophet but was not ill-pleased to find himself on a platform. The ostensible occasion for the poem—a friend's translation of a book on criticism of the Old Testament—is a mere pretext, but serves well.

Now in all this, is there any religion at all? *Religio Laici* might well be dismissed as mere politics but for its astounding commencement. That this is a mere assumption for a political purpose, I cannot admit; after reading the Preface, the opening of the poem surprises me fresh every time.—

Dim as the borrow'd beams of moon and stars
To lonely, weary, wandering travellers
Is Reason to the soul and as on high
Those rolling fires discover but the sky,
Not light us here, so Reason's glimmering ray
Was lent, not to assure our doubtful way,
But guide us upward to a better day.

And as those nightly tapers disappear
 When day's bright lord ascends our hemisphere,
 So pale grows Reason at Religion's sight,
 So dies, and so dissolves in supernatural light

The Hind and the Panther

We have seen the sandy foundations of *Relgio Lasci*. Dryden's hatred of 'private judgement,' the difficulty of distinguishing his actual position, his strong language on the desirability of infallible authority —

Such an omniscient Church we wish indeed,
 'Twere worth both Testaments, and cast in the Creed,
 —all point to his future conversion. This is noted by several of his critics, and more particularly by Mr Saintsbury, who discusses the question in the fifth chapter of his *Life of Dryden* in the earlier series of *English Men of Letters*, edited by Lord Morley.

(Dryden's conversion to Roman Catholicism took place soon after the accession of James II, and is often attributed to that event, and to that event alone.) But Dryden's interest in the change is not so clear as his adverse critics would have us suppose. Before his conversion James had confirmed his tenure of the posts of Poet Laureate and Historiographer Royal, and the salary pertaining to those posts was more likely to be regularly paid under the administration of James than it had been under that of Charles. The grant, soon after his conversion, of an additional pension of £100 a year was no new

thing, but only the renewal of the pension originally granted by Charles. No doubt his comfort at Court was largely increased by his change of religion, and we may say that there was probably an immediate advantage in his conversion. But the advantage was very precarious, depending as it did on the life of James, the life of James, however, was perhaps good enough security for Dryden. It has been said that Dryden 'did not foresee the Revolution'; this is true but not the whole truth, James's policy was good only till the meeting of Parliament, and that at the meeting of Parliament renegades were likely to suffer, Dryden well knew The 'wary savage,' the Panther, standing for the Church of England, would not give offence to the King —

But watched the time her vengeance to complete,
When all her fury sons in frequent senate met,

and other references in Dryden's writings show his recognition of the danger. Parliament had been prorogued on November 20, 1685, and met no more in the reign of James II. But such a state of things could not have continued indefinitely On the whole therefore, and considering the internal evidence of the two religious poems, Dryden is entitled to suspense of judgement; we cannot inspect his conscience.

After his conversion in 1686 he was employed by the Government in prose controversy against Stillingfleet, 'the grim logician' of the Third Part of *The Hind and the Panther* (193 ff.). But this was not Dryden's line, and he soon turned to verse.

The first policy of James II had been to induce the Church of England to share her position with the Roman Catholics, and he hoped, with the support of the Anglican party, to obtain the abolition of the Test. When this failed he adopted a new policy, under which all sects, including the Roman Catholics, were to ally together against the Church of England. In accordance with this later policy, he issued the Declaration of Indulgence—bestowed, that is to say, toleration by royal prerogative—in April 1687, shortly before the publication of *The Hind and the Panther*. The inconsistency of the general tone of the poem with the King's newly-declared policy is admitted by Dryden in his Preface. 'There are many of our sects...who have withdrawn themselves from the communion of the Panther and embraced this gracious Indulgence of his Majesty in point of toleration But...this Satire...is aimed only at the refractory and disobedient on either side. For those who have come over to the royal party are consequently supposed to be out of gun-shot.' And again, 'About a fortnight before I had finished [the poem], his Majesty's Declaration for Liberty of Conscience came abroad: which if I had so soon expected, I might have spared myself the labour of writing many things which are contained in the Third Part of it.'

On this subject of liberty of conscience he here writes as follows 'I may safely say, that conscience is the royalty and prerogative of every private man. He is absolute in his own breast, and accountable to

no earthly power for that which passes only betwixt God and him.' Such strong expressions, whether in the Preface or in the poem, would be more estimable had they preceded the announcement of the King's policy, or had Dryden so spoken generally. But they contrast strikingly with his peremptory treatment of Schismatics of the English Church in the Preface to *Religio Laici*. 'Thus sectaries, we may see, were born with teeth, foul-mouthed and scurilous from their infancy, and if spiritual pride, venom, violence, contempt of superiors, and slander had been the marks of orthodox belief, the Presbytery and the rest of our Schismatics, which are their spawn, were always the most visible Church in the Christian world.' If, he concludes, they think themselves too roughly handled by him, 'the best way for them to confute' him is 'to disclaim their principles and renounce their practices.' Not much respect here for liberty of conscience!

The motive of the King's policy was suspected and his political method detested the Declaration of Indulgence was an arbitrary act contrary to the Constitution and threatening danger to the rights of Parliament. This point Dryden never touches. Did he see it? Did he ignore it? Either way, the omission is fatal to any political application of his poem.

We pass from the Preface to the poem itself. The sects are called by various beast-names: the 'milk-white' Hind represents the Roman Catholics, the Wolf stands for the Calvinists, the quaking Hare for the Quakers, and so on. The Hind is protected

by the Lion, representing the King. The Church of England is typified by the Panther, beautiful but spotty.

On these lines no story is possible, and none is attempted. The poem, in three large Books, consists of talk on the situation between the Anglican and the Roman Catholic. The story is simply that the Hind, meeting the Panther with other beasts at their watering-place, invites her to walk home, and then spend the night at her 'cottage' (II 675); the two talk. Even this is absurd. How about supper? There is nothing for the Panther except the Hind Dryden was well aware of the absurdity; the Panther, we are told,

civilly drew in her sharpen'd paws,
Not violating hospitable laws,
And pacified her tail and lick'd her frothy jaws.
(II 718 ff.)

and again :—

Others our Hind of folly will indite
To entertain a dangerous guest by night.
Let those remember that she cannot die
Till rolling time is lost in round eternity. (III 16 ff.)

Here Dryden plainly winks.

What then is the object of introducing the beast-names? Merely to divert attack from an amateur theologian as such, in anticipation of such an attack upon the poem as was in fact made by Montague and Prior in *The Country and the City Mouse*. The 'beasts' are an excuse for lowering the theme of the poem; there was on this occasion to be none

of the pomp of *Absalom and Achitophel*, where the Biblical story was employed as a device for heightening the theme.

The personal and religious note is struck early in the poem :—

But, gracious God, how well dost thou provide
For erring judgements an unerring guide !
. Thy throne is darkness in the abyss of light,
A blaze of glory that forbids the sight
O teach me to believe Thee thus conceal'd,
And search no farther than Thyself reveal'd ,
But her alone for my director take,
Whom Thou hast promis'd never to forsake !
My thoughtless youth was wing'd with vain desires ;
My manhood, long misled by wandering fires,
Follow'd false lights , and when their glimpse was gone
My pride struck out new sparkles of her own
Such was I, such by nature still I am ,
Be Thine the glory, and be mine the shame ! (1 64 ff.)

There is nothing like this in *Religio Laici*; are we to say that it is hypocrisy ?

The notion of a bargain for salvation is still pursued, as in the earlier poem, but Dryden now will have a guarantee, and reprobates the peddling of *Religio Laici* :—

To take up half on trust and half to try,
Name it not faith, but bungling bigotry
Both knave and fool the merchant we may call
To pay great sums and to compound the small,
For who would break with Heaven, and would not break
for all ?
(1 141 ff.)

Then we come to the Calvinist Wolf.—

More haughty than the rest, the wolfish race
Appear with belly gaunt and famish'd face,
Never was so deform'd a beast of grace
His ragged tail betwixt his legs he wears,
Close clapp'd for shame, but his rough crest he rears,
And pricks up his predestinating ears (1 160 ff)

This description is followed by the famous denunciation of Geneva, the home of Calvin—Geneva, situated on the shores of Lake Leman and close under the Jura and the mountains of Savoy :—

What though your native kennel still be small,
Bounded betwixt a puddle and a wall,
Yet your victorious colonies are sent
Where the North Ocean girds the continent
Quicken'd with fire below, your monsters breed
In fenny Holland and in fruitful Tweed,
And, like the first, the last affects to be
Drawn to the dregs of a democracy (1204 ff.)

In v. 209 I suggest that the reading should be not 'in fruitful' (two words) but 'infruitful'; a derogatory epithet is wanted to pair with 'fenny' Holland, and the barrenness of Scotland was, as we know, a stock commonplace of the time and the subject of more than one allusion¹ in *Absalom and Achitophel*.

After an indiscreet admission (291 ff.) that Italy and Spain are models for England, Dryden passes to an unworthy denunciation of the rural sects —

A slimy-born and sun-begotten tribe,
Who, far from steeples and their sacred sound,
In fields their sullen conventicles found. (1311 ff.)

¹ See above, p. 75.

The haughtiness is offensive, and we must not forget that the sects did not choose their solitude, but were driven into it. But the expression is admirably vigorous :—

Souls that can scarce ferment their mass of clay,
So drossy, so divisible are they
As would but serve pure bodies for allay. (1 318 ff.)

The mixed character of the Panther, the Church of England, is utilised as one would expect. Dryden draws attention to the local character of the institution :—

To foreign lands no sound of her is come,
Humbly content to be despised at home, (1 404 f.)
to the absence of authority to control private judgement :—

As long as words a different sense will bear,
And each may be his own interpreter,
Our airy faith will no foundation find,
The word's a weathercock for every wind. (1 462 ff.)

and passes to the epigrammatic conclusion :—

O solid rock, on which secure she stands!
Eternal house, not built with mortal hands!
O sure defence against the infernal gate,
A patent during pleasure of the State! (1 493 ff.)

This part of the poem brings out clearly what may be called Dryden's political objection to Anglicanism, namely, that it is no safe foundation for civil authority, for, as 'God's and kings' rebels have the same good cause,' the Anglican Church

wants innate auctority;
For how can she constrain them to obey
Who has herself cast off the lawful sway?

The same point is made again at some length in Part II:—

But soon against your superstitious lawn
Some Presbyterian sabre would be drawn,
In your established laws of sovereignty
The rest some fundamental flaw would see,
And call rebellion gospel-liberty. (II 411 ff)

In the Second Part the Panther, discussing authority, soon raises the question of infallibility :—

But, shunning long disputes, I fain would see
That wondrous wight, Infallibility
Is he from Heaven, this mighty champion, come?
Or lodg'd below in subterranean Rome?
First, seat him somewhere, and derive his race,
Or else conclude that nothing has no place (ii 64 ff)

To this passage Christie oddly appends the following note:—“Roma Sotteranea,” an extensive cavern near Rome, formerly inhabited, described in a work of that name published at Rome, circa 1632,’ and does not add that this ‘extensive cavern’ is better known as ‘the Catacombs.’ The book which he mentions is A. Bosio’s account of his exploration of ‘Subterranean Rome.’

The opening words of the Hind's answer.—

'Suppose, (though I disown it,') said the Hind,
'The certain mansion were not yet assign'd.
The doubtful residence no proof can bring
Against the plain existence of the thing .
Because philosophers may disagree
If sight by emission or reception be,
Shall it be thence inferr'd I do not see?' (ii 70 ff.)

are thus commented on by Johnson. 'Dryden is weak enough to ask, why, since we see, without knowing how, we may not have an infallible judge without knowing where.' Johnson's criticism, which has met with the approval of others, is plausible but clearly not fair. Dryden takes the authority of the Church as a fact of historical experience, 'the plain existence of the thing' is not in his view disputable.

After an account of Popes and General Councils, etc., and a discussion on the value respectively of Scripture and Tradition—a lively and simple narrative impossible to summarise—we come upon a passage almost tragically impressive:—

'If not by Scriptures, how can we be sure,'
Replied the Panther, 'what tradition's pure?
For you may palm upon us new for old,
"All," as they say, "that glitters is not gold."
'How but by following her,' replied the dame,
'To whom deriv'd from sire to son they came;
Where every age does on another move,
And trusts no farther than the next above;
Where all the rounds like Jacob's ladder rise,
The lowest hid in earth, the topmost in the skies?'
Sternly the savage did her answer mark,
Her glowing eye-balls glittering in the dark,
And said but this:—'Since lucre was your trade,
Succeeding times such dreadful gaps have made,
'Tis dangerous climbing to your sons and you
I leave the ladder, and its omen too' (II 212 ff.)

The omen of the gallows! The Panther, in a difficulty, escapes by a political threat, consonant with the tone of the times. Did Dryden feel the horror?

Apparently he did feel it a little, and that, for the age, is much.

The subject is continued at length—Apostles (307), Epistles (335) and so on. The Hind still puts the dilemma, that if Scripture is to be the authority, an expositor is necessary; if tradition, tradition must be taken entire, as by the Roman Catholics. Dryden's Hind makes real points in this discourse :—

It then remains, that Church can only be
The guide which owns unfailing certainty, (II 483 f)

* * * *

But this unfailing universal State
You shun, nor dare succeed to such a glorious weight,
(II 491 f)

* * * *

All which the Mother-Church asserts her own,
And with unrivalled claim ascends the throne. (II 497 f)

The essence of Dryden's position is this. he must have a guarantee; only one dealer offers a guarantee; let us close, therefore, with this dealer, and then do not haggle over details.

At this point he seems to feel the need for lifting the theme,—and he lifts it. His comparison of the Church as the only adequate guarantor, to the Redeemer as alone able to discharge man's obligation, suggests the well known Miltonic passage in the Third Book of *Paradise Lost* (56–415), a passage which Dryden compresses, with a gain of strength and tenderness.—

So, when of old the Almighty Father sate,
In council to redeem our ruin'd state,

Millions of millions, at a distance round,
Silent the sacred consistory crown'd,
To hear what mercy mix'd with justice could propound,
All prompt with eager pity to fulfil
The full extent of their Creator's will
But when the stern conditions were declar'd,
A mournful whisper through the host was heard,
And the whole hierarchy with heads hung down
Submissively declin'd the ponderous proffer'd crown.
Then, not till then, the eternal Son from high
. Rose in the strength of all the Deity,
Stood forth to accept the terms, and underwent
A weight which all the frame of heaven had bent,
Nor he himself could bear, but as omnipotent (ii 499 ff.)

Then we have a description of the marks of the true Church, and incidentally touch a historical point of some interest in the severe remarks on English missions, or rather on the lack of them (ii 556 ff.).

So we arrive at the Hind's cottage. The Hind is poor and the Panther rich. Here Dryden begins to jest. The Hind, for instance, begs the Panther to stay,

For fear she might be wilder'd in her way,
Because she wanted an unerring guide (ii 682 f.)

In her words of welcome there is a strange mixture of classical allusion and Christian theology :—

This peaceful seat my poverty secures,
War seldom enters but where wealth allures
Nor yet despise it, for this poor abode
Has oft receiv'd and yet receives a God,
A God victorious of the Stygian race
Here laid his sacred limbs, and sanctified the place.
This mean retreat did mighty Pan contain. (ii 705 ff.)

The point is, I think, that the humblest church contains the Corpus Christi so the Hind's poor abode contains God.

The Third Part opens with much personal detail of Dryden's controversy with Stillingfleet, and is tedious unless we are filled with the subject. In one place I would suggest a change in punctuation. The Panther, following the line taken by Stillingfleet, is denying that the immediate cause of the separation of Henry VIII from the Church of Rome was the Pope's refusal to grant him a divorce from Catherine of Arragon. The passage runs as follows.—

Thus, our eighth Henry's marriage they defame,
 They say the schism of beds began the game,
 Divorcing from the Church to wed the dame,
 Though largely prov'd, and by himself profess'd,
 That conscience, conscience would not let him rest,
 I mean, not till possess'd of her he lov'd, 208
 And old, uncharming Catherine was remov'd
 For sundry years before did he complain,
 And told his ghostly confessor his pain!

(III 203 ff)

As commonly punctuated, v. 208 is inconsistent with the view expressed by the Panther, who is supposed to be maintaining the sincerity of Henry's reforming zeal, and controverting the suggestion of a personal motive, whereas, with a comma after 'I mean,' the Panther's case is given away. By placing the comma after 'not,'

I mean not, till possessed of her he loved...

the consistency of the Panther's tone is kept and there is no break in the irony of the passage.

This part contains the finest passages of the poem. Dryden's sufferings under obloquy were real and severe; the story of his distress 'even with tears,' at the usage he had received in *The Country and the City Mouse*, though probably apocryphal, is representative of his sensitiveness to some kinds of criticism. When the Hind resents on behalf of her sons the imputation of Atheism, and yet will not retort with personal satire, evidently the Hind speaks for Dryden, who did in the main abstain from personal venom. Many professed Anglicans were an easy mark for satire—Burnet, satirised as the Buzzard, had attacked Dryden—but he hits not only his opponents but both sides, in a humorous way. He here professes to disclaim revenge, or even self-defence, on religious grounds, accepting the duties of sacrifice and of humility. Whether this profession on his part is sincere or not, he gets the note in the magnificent passage —

Be vengeance wholly left to powers divine,
And let Heaven judge betwixt your sons and mine:
If joys hereafter must be purchas'd here
With loss of all that mortals hold so dear,
Then welcome infamy and public shame,
And last, a long farewell to worldly fame
'Tis said with ease, but oh, how hardly tried
By haughty souls to human honour tied!
O sharp convulsive pangs of agonizing pride!
Down then, thou rebel, never more to rise,
And what thou did'st and dost so dearly prize,

That fame, that darling fame, make that thy sacrifice.
'Tis nothing thou hast given, then add thy tears
For a long race of unrepenting years
'Tis nothing yet, yet all thou hast to give.
Then add those may-be years thou hast to live
Yet nothing still, then poor and naked come,
Thy Father will receive his unthrift home,
And thy blest Saviour's blood discharge the mighty sum.

(III 279 ff)

After an interesting passage on the present balance of advantage between Roman Catholicism and Anglicanism, too long to quote, he passes to the episodes.

To these Dryden's Preface, not without reason, calls attention. The Hind and the Panther each tell a moral fable satirising the present policy of the opponent. The Panther relates the tale of the Swallows, who, deceived by fair weather out of season and counting on its continuance, postponed their departure and were destroyed by the prompt return of winter. This is an obvious reference to the sanguine hopes built by some (but not all) Catholics on the forward policy of James. There was a division of opinion on this subject in the Catholic party. The Moderates would have been content to better their position, so far as with the King's help they could do so, within the limits of English law; the Forwards preferred to back the King in his invasion of the Anglican barriers in the existing Constitution, and to defy Parliament. The fable of the Swallows, though of course assigned

to the Anglican speaker, shows that Dryden, *qua* Catholic, was a Moderate, and already, before the Declaration of Indulgence, had deep mistrust of James.

The principal Forward was Father Petre, the Jesuit, who 'among the evil counsellors' of James 'bore perhaps the largest part in the ruin of the House of Stuart,' nobly born, ignorant of business, presumptuous, and misunderstanding English feeling. Dryden evidently disliked him profoundly. He figures in the fable as the Martin, the chief author of the advice by which the swallows are ruined. Petre as a public man was a fair object of criticism; still probably neither he nor his partisans were quite satisfied with Dryden's explanation (III 640-646) that the following sketch should be set down to mere malice in the Panther. The young swallows, discouraged by a gale, propose to put off their migration —

With these the Martin readily concurr'd,
A church-begot and church-believing bird,
Of little body, but of lofty mind,
Round bellied, for a dignity design'd,
And much a dunce, as Martins are by kind,
Yet often quoted Canon-laws and Code
And Fathers which he never understood,
But little learning needs in noble blood
For, sooth to say, the Swallow brought him in
Her household chaplain and her next of kin.
In superstition silly to excess,
And casting schemes by planetary guess,
In fine, short-wing'd, unfit himself to fly,
His fear foretold foul weather in the sky (III 461 ff.)

¹ Macaulay's *History*, Vol. II. Ch. vi.

The story of the Swallows is the best thing in the poem. Of the temporary prosperity of the Court Catholics, the most is made by the Irish among the King's friends—Swifts in the fable. The lively and picturesque prophecy of the catastrophe foreshows the adapter of Chaucer and of Boccaccio, authors with whom the concluding passage of the Preface shows that he was already familiar. The whole passage is too long to quote here; the first few lines must suffice :—

What should they do, beset with dangers round,
 No neighbouring dorp, no lodging to be found,
 But bleakly plains, and bare unhospitable ground?
 The latter brood, who just began to fly,
 Sick-feather'd and unpractis'd in the sky,
 For succour to their helpless mother call
 She spread her wings, some few beneath them crawl,
 She spread them wider yet, but could not cover all.
 To augment their woes, the winds began to move
 Debate in air for empty fields above,
 Till Boreas got the skies, and pour'd amain
 His rattling hailstones mix'd with snow and rain.

(III 610 ff.)

Some more politics follow, chiefly about the Anglican Test, and we have some very smart rhetoric from the Anglican on the Roman Catholic notion of a fair division. The situation is compared with the relations of Aeneas and Latinus in the later books of the *Aeneid*. The Catholics, like the Trojan invaders, plead their ancient rights, but anyhow bring their own gods.—

Methinks such terms of proffer'd peace you bring
 As once Aeneas to the Italian king.

By long possession all the land is mine,
You strangers come with your intruding line
To share my sceptre, which you call to join.
You plead like him an ancient pedigree
And claim a peaceful seat by Fate's decree
In ready pomp your sacrificer stands,
To unite the Trojan and the Latin bands
And, that the league more firmly may be tied,
Demand the fair Lavinia for your bride.
Thus plausibly you veil the intended wrong,
But still you bring your exil'd gods along;
And will endeavour, in succeeding space,
Those household poppits on our hearths to place.

(iii 766 ff.)

Finally, the Panther proving impracticable on the question of the Test, the Hind proposes to relate the story of the Pigeons and their king the Buzzard, satirising the recent move of the High Church Anglicans—the Pigeons—towards the Latitudinarians, the English Churchmen, that is, who were indulgent to Dissenters. This attitude Dryden represents as submission to the typical Latitudinarian, Burnet. The moral of the fable is that the king Buzzard will do after his kind and plunder the Pigeons more effectually than their supposed enemies could do. The fiction is not happy, the King (the Farmer) keeps both Pigeons (Anglicans) and Poultry (Catholics) and prefers the Poultry. The plain objection is that James did not eat Catholics; yet this is implied in his distaste for Pigeons' meat. The analogy becomes grotesque when Dryden writes of the Pigeons.—

Their flesh was never to the table serv'd,
 Though tis not thence inferr'd the birds were starv'd,
 But that their master did not like the food,
 As rank, and breeding melancholy blood (III 974 ff.)

Nor does the Buzzard as a protector of Pigeons well suit the alliance of the Higher Anglicans with a Latitudinarian and semi-Presbyterian such as Burnet. In the fable the Buzzard is brought to the dovecote, but at the time when the poem was written Burnet, who was hated by James, was in exile at the Court of the Prince of Orange. Burnet, who had spoken severely of Dryden, as indeed of most people, is brilliantly described by Macaulay in the second volume (chapter vii) of his *History of England*, briefly, we may say that he was forward, eloquent, indiscreet, honest, and pugnacious. Dryden's satiric portrait (1140 ff.) is good but too long; only the spirited conclusion can be quoted here.—

Prompt to assail, and careless of defence,
 Invulnerable in his impudence,
 He dares the world and, eager of a name,
 He thrusts about and justles into fame
 Frontless and satire-proof, he scours the streets,
 And runs an Indian muck at all he meets
 So fond of loud report, that not to miss
 Of being known (his last and utmost bliss),
 He rather would be known for what he is

(III 1185 ff.)

The Doves of course repent their invitation and are said to lose credit and to suffer from their Presbyterian director; the reader may sympathise with the Panther.—

Thus did the gentle Hind her fable end,
Nor would the Panther blame it nor command,
But, with affected yawnings, at the close,
Seem'd to require her natural repose. (III 1289 ff.)

The strange poem ends, as it began, with Dryden's softest music:—

For now the streaky light began to peep,
And setting stars admonish'd both to sleep
The dame withdrew, and wishing to her guest
The peace of Heaven, betook herself to rest.
Ten thousand angels on her slumbers wait
With glorious visions of her future state. (III 1293 ff.)

VII

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ENGLISH ODE, DRYDEN'S INFLUENCE ON LYRIC POETRY

THE word Ode is used in English with a certain vagueness, often only as an equivalent to Song. The best known Odes of antiquity, from which is derived the English use of the name, are those of Horace and of Pindar, in particular the *Epinikia*, or triumphal Odes, of Pindar. The term as applied to English poetry is not easy to define, it carries with it a suggestion, though sometimes only a vague suggestion, of a public or at least a solemn occasion. Cowper's *Boadicea*, for instance, with its prophecy of the British Empire that is to be, is certainly an Ode; Scott's *Rosabelle*—'O listen, listen, ladies gay'—is as certainly not. Generally speaking it may be said that in the case of an Ode a performance is conceivable; this definition is by no means adequate, but it is sufficient for my present purpose.

The natural form of the English Ode in rhyme has a stanza of some elaboration. A perfect example is the Hymn in Milton's poem, *On the Morning of Christ's Nativity* (begun in 1629 and published

in 1645) Here performance is certainly conceivable; note the suggestion of processional movement in the pause before the final swing:—

In consecrated earth,
And on the holy hearth,
The Lars and Lemures moan with midnight plaint;
In urns, and altars round,
A drear and dying sound
Affrights the Flamens at their service quaint;
And the chill marble seems to sweat,
While each peculiar power forgoes his wonted seat.

Peor and Baalim
Forsake their temples dim,
With that twice-batter'd god of Palestine;
And mooned Ashtaroth,
Heaven's queen and mother both,
Now sits not girt with tapers' holy shine;
The Libyc Hammon shrinks his horn,
In vain the Tyrian maids their wounded Thammuz mourn.

And sullen Moloch, fled,
Hath left in shadows dread
His burning idol all of blackest hue,
In vain with cymbals' ring
They call the grisly king,
In dismal dance about the furnace blue,
The brutish gods of Nile as fast,
Isis, and Orus, and the dog Anubis, haste
Nor is Osiris seen
In Memphian grove or green,
Trampling the unshower'd grass with lowings loud:
Nor can he be at rest
Within his sacred chest,
Naught but profoundest Hell can be his shroud;
In vain, with timbrel'd anthems dark,
The sable-stoled sorcerers bear his worship ark.

In such a stanza as this there is room for variation of rhythmic detail, as is seen in the case of the last line here quoted ; but the general form is most important. Observe the simplicity of structure in the stanza : the ear cannot miss the intended effect ; the rhymes satisfy expectation. Without some external assistance, such as is given by music or dancing, the comprehensive power of the ear is not very large. If the grasp is exceeded, the effect of the stanza is missed, and the composition dissolves into recitative with irregular rhymes, a form possibly legitimate, but totally different in character and capacity.

The same principle applies to variety in the length and rhythm of the verses within the stanza : the ear must be able to grasp and retain the scheme. In this respect, experiments of doubtful success have been made even by good poets. It is a question, for instance, whether the stanza of Spenser's *Epithalamion* (1595) is not too long for the ear to retain, and if the structure is not retained, the symmetry of the repeated scheme is missed :—

Ye learned sisters, which have oftentimes
Beene to me ayding, others to adorne,
Whom ye thought worthy of your gracefull rymes,
That even the greatest did not greatly scorne
To heare theyr names sung in your simple layes,
But joyed in theyr praise.
And when ye list your owne mishaps to mourne,
Which death, or love, or fortune's wreck did rayse,
Your string could soone to sadder tenor turne,

And teach the woods and waters to lament
Your dolefull dreriment
Now lay those sorrowfull complaints aside,
And having all your heads with girland crownd,
Helpe me mine owne love's prayses to resound,
Ne let the same of any be envide
So Orpheus did for his owne bride,
So I unto my selfe alone will sing,
The woods shall to me answer, and my Eccho ring.

So also the irregular distribution of verses of varying length is dangerous, as being not easily followed. An illustration of this is found in Randolph's *Ode to Master Anthony Stafford* (about 1632), here the stanza itself is of no great length, but the irregularity in length of the verses produces a whole too complex to be easily retained by the ear.—

Ours is the sky,
Where at what fowl we please our hawk shall fly:
Nor will we spare
To hunt the crafty fox or timorous hare,
But let our hounds run loose
In any ground they'll choose,
The buck shall fall,
The stag and all,
Our pleasures must from their own warrants be,
For to my Muse, if not to me,
I'm sure all game is free
Heaven, earth, are all but parts of her great royalty.

Imitation of the ancients was the general principle of the literature of the Renaissance, and that in lyric poetry imitation should be especially of the Greek was the general assumption of English writers in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. But in

the revival and imitation of the Greek lyric, especially of Pindar's lyric, there was a peculiar pitfall. In Greek Choric practice, music and the symmetrical movements of a company of performers are essential; the words are merely part of a larger whole. In these conditions very elaborate structures are admissible. By a strange error on the part of the scholars of the Renaissance, Pindar was supposed to be structureless, and to use an arbitrary metre which in some vague way followed the sense.) Possibly this view derived encouragement from a misunderstanding of (Horace's description of Pindar's dithyrambs as *numeris lege solutis* (*Carm.* iv. 2 11) 'measures that obey no law.') But these words of Horace do not in any way imply absence of structure in Pindar's verse. Still, however we may account for the mistaken impression, there it was, and Pindar's 'sublimity' was supposed to depend on this freedom. This was a prodigious error, for Pindar's structure in respect of stanzas is peculiarly severe.)

The offspring of this error is the so-called 'Pindaric' poetry of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, a recitative of forced irregularity both in lengths of verse and distribution of rhyme. It was thought that enough irregularity was 'sublime' *per se*, without regard to the value of single rhythms or phrases, and the result of such a theory was floods of nonsense.) This subject has been amply discussed and illustrated elsewhere, and a single illustration will suffice here. Cowley is a typical, though

moderate, example of a 'Pjndaric' poet. His Ode *In Praise of Pindar*, written about 1645, shows the principle, though in a comparatively moderate form —

I

Pindar is imitable by none,
The Phoenix Pindar is a vast species alone,
Whoe'er but Daedalus with waxen wings could fly
. And neither sink too low, nor soar too high?
What could he who follow'd claim,
But of vain boldness the unhappy fame,
And by his fall a sea to name?
—Pindar's unnavigable song
Like a swoln flood from some steep mountain pours along;
The ocean meets with such a voice
From his enlarged mouth, as drowns the ocean's noise.

So runs the first stanza. the third I quoted in part in my introductory lecture; the fourth begins as follows —

IV

Lo, how the obsequious wind, and swelling air,
The Theban Swan does upward bear,
Into the walks of clouds, where he does play,
And with extended wings opens his liquid way;

Then with intense relief, we find Cowley leaving the Theban Swan in the skies, and subsiding into metre and poetry —

Whilst, alas, my timorous Muse
Unambitious tracks pursues,
Does with weak unballast wings,
About the mossy brooks and springs,

About the trees' new-blossom'd heads,
 About the garden's painted beds,
 About the fields and flowery meads,
 And all inferior beauteous things,
 Like the laborious bee,
 For little drops of honey flee,
 And there with humble sweets contents her industry.

(Cowley was a very able writer, but he combined the 'Pindaric' delusion with twists of the imagination—so-called 'conceits'—and with the unsifted vocabulary and slack composition of the pre-Dryden time.) His Ode on *Christ's Passion* (printed in 1663) is nearly killed by these combined defects, but is worth study for more than one reason. The last stanza runs thus:—

Open, ah! open wide the fountains of thine eyes,
 And let them call
 Their stock of moisture forth where'er it lies,
 For this will ask it all,
 'T would all, alas! too little be
 Though thy salt tears come from a sea,
 Canst thou deny Him this, when He
 Has open'd all His vital springs for thee?
 Take heed, for by His side's mysterious flood
 May well be understood
 That He will still require some waters to His blood.

All this is not to say that there may not be a legitimate use of irregular rhyme, and even of irregular rhyme combined with irregular verse, but such composition will not be an Ode, or suggest symmetrical music. It will be recitative, a perilous and difficult form, of doubtful application and very rare success, but the success when attained is

proportionately great. Of this form *Lycidas* is a great example. In *Lycidas* the verse is almost regular, almost uniform in its five accents. The occasional half-lines are sometimes significant, though often arbitrary, the rhyme, though irregular, is so interlaced as to draw the ear on in a wandering way. These peculiarities of form answer to the very peculiar character of the piece; the youthful poet disclaims finished art, or premeditation, and must do his best. 'bitter constraint' compels him, 'denial' is 'vain', 'thus sang the uncouth swain...with eager thought warbling his Doric lay.' There is no audience for the singer of *Lycidas*; the work is a meditation for a poem. Is the art conscious or instinctive?

As with the form, so with the subject of the poem. There is a similar laxity of control and we have a digression on Fame (70 ff.), and another on the state of the Church (114 ff.). To this treatment of the subject and to the mood of the poet the lax form corresponds, but Milton's *Lycidas* is a thing not to be rashly imitated.

Milton's *At a Solemn Musick* is similar in principle; it is a silent meditation not addressed to anyone, but exemplifying—as *Lycidas* does not—the use of a change of rhythm to emphasise and illustrate the thought. I quote the whole poem—

Blest pair of Sirens, pledges of Heaven's joy,
Sphere-born harmonious sisters, Voice and Verse,
Wed your divine sounds, and mixt power employ,
Dead things with inbreath'd sense able to pierce;

And to our high-rais'd phantasy present
That undisturbed song of pure concert,
Aye sung before the sapphire-colour'd throne
To Him that sits thereon,
With saintly shout, and solemn jubilee;
Where the bright Seraphim in burning row
Their loud up-lifted angel-trumpets blow;
And the cherubic host in thousand quires
Touch their immortal harps of golden wires,
With those just Spirits that wear victorious palms,
Hymns devout and holy psalms
Singing everlastingly
That we on earth, with undiscording voice,
May rightly answer that melodious noise,
As once we did, till disproportion'd sin
Jarr'd against Nature's chime, and with harsh din
Broke the fair music that all creatures made
To their great Lord, whose love their motion sway'd
In perfect diapason, whilst they stood
In first obedience, and their state of good
O, may we soon again renew that song,
And keep in tune with Heaven, till God ere long
To His celestial consort us unite,
To live with Him, and sing in endless morn of light !

There is only one such touch in the poem, a very delicate one: in vv. 15 and 16, the rhythm of church music is recalled by the change of accentuation:—

Hymns devout and holy psalms Singing everlastingly

The whole of this poem of Milton has been here quoted, not only to exemplify my point of the effect obtained by the single change of rhythm, but also because, with that *On the Morning of Christ's*

Nativity, it gave a most important hint to Dryden for his first *Song for St Cécilia's Day*. (The world, the cosmos, order, all is based on harmony, that is to say, on Music. The thought is Platonic, but its application to poetry, as well as the use of intentional variation of rhythm, was suggested to Dryden by Milton's work.) Notice the coincidences of vocabulary.—

<i>Milton</i>	<i>Dryden</i>
Jarr'd against Nature's chime	When <i>Nature</i> underneath a heap Of <i>jarring</i> atoms lay..
In perfect <i>diapason</i>	The <i>dupason</i> closing full in Man

Other interesting comparisons between the poems may be made. Milton, for instance, is much better assured than Dryden both of present touch with the Infinite and of ultimate union; Milton dwells on the happiness of the transition, Dryden on its terror.—

So when the last and dreadful hour
This crumbling pageant shall devour,
The trumpet shall be heard on high,
The dead shall live, the living die,
And Music shall untune the sky

This brief sketch of Dryden's predecessors shows us what was the state of things on which he had to work. In larger lyric his course was similar to that which he took in the couplet. He instinctively avoids extravagant mistakes, works out his principles by common sense, and then suddenly—as with *Absalom and Achitophel*, so with the *Song for St Cecilia's*

Day—seizes the occasion and goes straight to the mark.)

His earlier and ‘Pindaric’ work consists chiefly of the *Threnodia Augustalis*, written on the death of Charles II in 1685, and the *Ode to the Pious Memory of Mrs Anne Killigrew*, published in 1686. The *Threnodia* is more curious than beautiful, but contains a most interesting passage on the literary fertility and importance of the reign of Charles II, with its importation of new models, its severer standard of criticism, and its niggardly reward of poets, it is characteristic of Dryden not to omit mention of this last point in his Laureate Funeral Ode. Charles II, he says, came like spring with birds from over sea; the melodious passage (section 13) offers perhaps the only instance of thought confused to the point of unintelligibility which can be found in Dryden’s works.—

As when the new-born Phœnix takes his way
 His rich paternal regions to survey,
 Of airy choristers a numerous train
 Attends his wondrous progress o’er the plain,
 So rising from his father’s urn,
 So glorious did our Charles return,
 The officious Muses came along,
 A gay harmonious quire, like angels ever young;
 (The Muse that mourns him now his happy triumph sung)
 Even they could thrive in his auspicious reign,
 And such a plenteous crop they bore,
 Of purest and well winnowed grain
 As Britain never knew before;
 Though little was their hire and light their gain,
 Yet somewhat to their share he threw,

Fed from his hand, they sung and flew,
Like birds of Paradise that lived on morning dew.

The confusion of metaphors for the Muses is such that the reader hesitates which of the alternative meanings should be assigned to the word 'crop'!

Dryden's *Ode to the Pious Memory of Mrs Anne Killigrew* was published in 1686 as a Preface to the poems of that lady. Anne Killigrew was an accomplished young lady who practised landscape painting as well as poetry, and was apparently very free in her imagination.—

Her pencil drew whate'er her soul design'd,
And oft the happy draught surpass'd the image in her mind.]

Subsequently she produced portraits of the king and queen, James and Mary, and 'What next she had design'd, Heaven only knows' (The Ode has been praised perhaps beyond its merits from regard to the first 'stanza,' if stanza it may be called, though even this hardly deserves to be described as 'the richest complex of sounds in our language,' or in Dr Johnson's words, as 'the noblest Ode that our language ever produced')—

Thou youngest virgin-daughter of the skies,
Made in the last promotion of the blest,
Whose palms, new pluck'd from Paradise,
In spreading branches more sublimely rise,
Rich with immortal green above the rest:
(Whether, adopted to some neighbouring star,
Thou roll'st above us in thy wandering race,
Or in procession fix'd and regular
Mov'd with the Heaven's majestic pace,

Or call'd to more superior bliss,
 Thou treadst with seraphims the vast abyss :
 Whatever happy region be thy place,
 Cease thy celestial song a little space ,
 Thou wilt have time enough for hymns divine,
 Since Heaven's eternal year is thine
 Hear then a mortal Muse thy praise rehearse
 In no ignoble verse,
 But such as thy own voice did practise here,
 When thy first fruits of poesy were given,
 To make thyself a welcome inmate there ,
 While yet a young probationer,
 And candidate of Heaven

(The effect of this section depends largely on its skilful grammatical construction ; it forms practically one unbroken sentence.) Moreover, the irregularity of rhythm is turned to purpose in the short line 'In no ignoble verse.' On the other hand the end—the last two lines—is weak. The general subject is not attractive, it is clear that Dryden did not really admire the lady's art. His rhythms, moreover, are arbitrary and confused, though not ugly. Best in substance and form taken together is the ninth section, *pace* Scott who chooses it for special blame. The lady had a brother in the Navy who, being at sea, was for some time ignorant of her death. The situation is a pathetic one, the reader will remember Tennyson's description of the similar but reversed situation in *In Memoriam*, section vi.—

.....while thy head is bow'd,
 His heavy-shotted hammock-shroud
 Drops in his vast and wandering grave.

Dryden writes.—

Meantime, her warlike brother on the seas
His waving streamers to the winds displays,
And vows for his return with vain devotion pays.

Ah, generous youth! that wish forbear,
The winds too soon will waft thee here!
Slack all thy sails, and fear to come,
Alas! thou know'st not, thou art wreck'd at home.
No more shalt thou behold thy sister's face,
Thou hast already had her last embrace.
But look aloft, and if thou kenst from far,
Among the Pleiads, a new-kindled star,
If any sparkles than the rest more bright,
'Tis she that shines in that propitious light.

7

Scott objects to the introduction of the star: 'Our emotion is quelled by the nature of the consolation administered to a sea-captain, that his sister is turned into a star.' This is an objection which Dryden would have failed to understand. The association of souls with stars, illustrated in the first section of the poem—'adopted to some neighbouring star'—is a classical commonplace, but classical romance was dead to Scott. There is an instance of significant variation of the rhythm in this section, where the irregular and broken rhythm of the seventh line disappoints the expectation raised by the three smooth lines of octosyllabic verse immediately preceding.

The tenth and last section gives a version of Dryden's favourite topic, the Day of Judgement, and is interesting for the contrast which it offers to his treatment of the same subject in the close of the

Song for St Cecilia's Day. The version in the *Ode to the Pious Memory of Mrs Anne Killigrew* is not free from the grotesque:—

When in mid-air the golden trump shall sound,
 To raise the nations under ground,
 When in the Valley of Jehosophat
 The judging God shall close the book of Fate,
 And there the last assizes keep
 For those who wake and those who sleep,
 When rattling bones together fly
 From the four corners of the sky,
 When sinews o'er the skeletons are spread,
 Those cloth'd with flesh, and life inspires the dead,
 The sacred poets first shall hear the sound,
 And foremost from the tomb shall bound,
 For they are cover'd with the lightest ground,
 And straight, with inborn vigour, on the wing,
 Like mounting larks, to the new morning sing
 There thou, sweet saint, before the quire shalt go,
 As harbinger of Heaven, the way to show,
 The way which thou so well hast learn'd below.

In the fourth section there is a very interesting autobiographical parenthesis, representing Dryden's repentance for his profligation of the Muse:—

O gracious God! how far have we
 Profan'd thy heavenly gift of Poesy!
 Made prostitute and profligate the Muse,
 Debas'd to each obscene and impious use,
 Whose harmony was first ordain'd above,
 For tongues of angels and for hymns of love!
 O wretched we, why were we hurried down
 This lubric and adulterate age,
 (Nay, added fat pollutions of our own,)
 To increase the steaming ordures of the stage?

The date of these lines should be noted. The poem was published in 1686, twelve years before Jeremy Collier's attack upon Dryden and at the time of Dryden's full prosperity, when pretence of any kind was not necessary. Dryden's attitude and behaviour about this subject was never consistent, but his repentance is not a mere fiction.

(If then we are to summarise the lyrical situation in 1686, we may say that it was utterly confused, and that Dryden was no better grounded than others, but was feeling his way. The way was found—found suddenly, and the arrival at the end announced the next year by the production of *A Song for St Cecilia's Day*. The occasion was the annual performance of a musical society on November 22, the festival of St Cecilia, who was the inventress of the organ and the patroness of music. The poetic products of the first years after the foundation of this society were not noticeable, but in 1687 the audience was provided with this:—

I

From harmony, from heavenly harmony
This universal frame began,
When Nature underneath a heap
Of jarring atoms lay,
And could not heave her head,
The tuneful voice was heard from high,
Arise, ye more than dead.
Then cold and hot and moist and dry
In order to their stations leap,
And Music's power obey.

From harmony, from heavenly harmony
 | This universal frame began :
 From harmony to harmony
 Through all the compass of the notes it ran,
 The diapason closing full in Man

II

What passion cannot Music raise and quell ?
 When Jubal struck the chorded shell
 His listening brethren stood around,
 And, wondering, on their faces fell
 To worship that celestial sound .
 Less than a god they thought there could not dwell
 Within the hollow of that shell,
 That spoke so sweetly, and so well.
 What passion cannot Music raise and quell ?

III

The trumpet's loud clangor
 Excites us to arms
 With shrill notes of anger
 And mortal alarms
 The double double double beat
 Of the thundering drum
 Cries, hark ! the foes come ,
 Charge, charge, 'tis too late to retreat.

IV

The soft complaining flute
 In dying notes discovers
 The woes of hopeless lovers,
 Whose dirge is whisper'd by the warbling lute.

V

Sharp violins proclaim
 Their jealous pangs and desperation,
 Fury, frantic indignation,
 Depth of pains and height of passion,
 For the fair, disdainful dame.

VI

But oh! what art can teach,
What human voice can reach
The sacred organ's praise?
Notes inspiring holy love,
Notes that wing their heavenly ways
To mend the choirs above.

VII

Orpheus could lead the savage race,
And trees unrooted left their place,
Sequacious of the lyre,
But bright Cecilia rais'd the wonder higher:
When to her organ vocal breath was given,
An angel heard, and straight appear'd
Mistaking earth for heaven.

Grand Chorus

As from the power of sacred lays
The spheres began to move,
And sung the great Creator's praise
To all the blest above,
So when the last and dreadful hour
This crumbling pageant shall devour,
The trumpet shall be heard on high,
The dead shall live, the living die,
And Music shall untune the sky

' Of the connexion of the general scheme of this poem with Milton's poems *At a Solemn Musick* and *On the Morning of Christ's Nativity*, I have already spoken. The passage on the function of Music in the latter is as follows:—

Such music (as 'tis said)
Before was never made,

But when of old the sons of morning sung,
 While the Creator great
 His constellations set,
 And the well-balanc'd world on hinges hung ,
 And cast the dark foundations deep,
 And bid the weltering waves their oozy channel keep.

The theory of both Milton and Dryden is that the world was created, and is held together, by harmony—that is, proportion—and that man, the microcosm, is a summary of creation, responsive to all rhythms. The world hereafter is to be resolved not into Chaos but into another and a better music. Dryden touches this subject with great awe, herein presenting a striking contrast with the attitude of Milton.)

¶ The essential merit of Dryden's poem is that he has seized the principle that variations of rhythm, if not echoed and repeated—as they are in a poem composed of stanzas—can have value only so far as they illustrate the sense, otherwise they are mere licences. This principle is not only grasped but, by the peculiar felicity of the occasion, it is perfectly, realised, the theme, the power of music, allows the illustrations of sense by rhythm to be everywhere explicit.

To come to details, and consider some of the sections :—

'Pindaric' verse is not really appropriate to anything except Chaos, and the structure of the opening section is a sort of jest upon this point; for harmony—the actual word 'harmony'—brings the delayed

rhyme. The first two rhymes of the section, 'harmony' and 'began,' are left suspended—for there is no true rhyme to 'harmony' in 'high' and 'dry'—while all the irregularly accented lines that follow one after another find their re-echoing rhymes. Only with the repetition of the two opening lines is the expectant ear fully satisfied.

In the fifth section, with his imitation of the violin, Dryden shows his supreme technical skill. The rhythmical effect is apt to be spoilt by too close an observance of the conventional division of the lines.

There is an obvious difficulty in differentiating the organ from other musical instruments, but Dryden gets a point and suggests the echo of the more remote pipes by the repetition of the word 'notes' and the recurrence of similar sounds in verses 4 and 5.—

Notes inspiring holy love
Notes that wing their heavenly ways .

In the first half of the seventh section, introducing the legend of Cecilia and the angel, we have an abrupt change of language, felt in 'savage race,'—here used not of men, but in the Latin sense of 'wild beasts'¹—and strongly emphasised in the Latinism 'sequacious of.' There is a touch of reserve, almost of humour, in Dryden's association of the two legends of Orpheus and St Cecilia.

¹ Cf. Lucretius v 967 'silvestria saecula ferarum,' and Virgil *Georgic* II 374 'silvestres urbi capraeaque sequaces.'

With the Grand Chorus we return to plain language and to the solemnity of 'Common Metre,' alternate lines of eight and six syllables. The Day of Judgement, a favourite subject with Dryden, here finds its most successful treatment. ✓

Ten years later, in 1697, on a similar occasion, Dryden applied the same principle again in *Alexander's Feast, or, The Power of Music*. A series of different musical effects as affecting and representing different passions was now by an extremely happy invention applied to a story. The story chosen rests on a slight historical foundation, the burning of the great Persian temple by Alexander and his companions under the excitement of a banquet. Dryden supposes Alexander to be led up to this action, through a chain of varied emotions, by the power of the musician Timotheus, and under the alternate stimulus and enfeeblement of wine the rapid changes required for Dryden's technical purpose are at least conceivable.

The poem is almost too familiar for comment, yet misunderstandings, or at least different understandings, of its metrical effects are certainly possible. Take for instance Young's *Essay On Lyric Poetry*, which he illustrates by two compositions of his own, *Ocean*, an *Ode*, and an introductory *Ode to the King*. The *Essay* is a feeble performance hardly deserving criticism, but a point of interest is in the following words :—

I think Mr Dryden's Ode on St Cecilia's Day [by which he means the second ode, *Alexander's Feast*] inferior to no

composition of this kind. Its chief beauty consists in adapting the numbers [that is, the metre] most happily to the variety of the occasion Those by which he has chosen to express majesty, (viz)

Assumes the god,
Affects to nod,
And seems to shake the spheres,

are chosen in the following ode [Young's own *Ode to Ocean*], because the subject of it is great

and similarly in the *Ode to the King* Young chooses the same metre, presumably for the same purpose. Young then, it is clear, takes Dryden in the passage which he quotes from *Alexander's Feast* to intend and to express true sublimity ; here is the result of this assumption —

When rushes forth
The frowning north
On black'ning billows, with what dread
My shuddering soul
Beholds them roll,
And hears their roarings o'er my head !

With terror mark
Yon flying bark !
Now centre-deep descend the brave ,
Now, toss'd on high
It takes the sky,
A feather on the tow'ring wave !

* * * *

All ether burns !
Chaos returns !
And blends, once more, the seas and skies :
No space between
Thy bosom green,
O deep ! and the blue concave, lies.

Or again, speaking of the bottom of the sea :—

In chambers deep,
Where waters sleep,
What unknown treasures pave the floor !
The pearl, in rows,
Pale lustre throws,
The wealth immense, which storms devour

These lines are of course faulty in all respects, conceptions, phrasing, grammar, but surely the metre is also absurdly inappropriate : the jiggling movement is inconsistent with gravity and dignity. Young would make Dryden his authority for the choice the metre is, he says, that ‘by which Dryden has chosen to express majesty.’ But is this so ? Let us go to Dryden’s own version and see the situation. Timotheus with the help of the audience twists Alexander about, leads him a dance, not without a certain sly malice, and recounts the legend of his divine parentage. The King and the company are in the right mood for the fable :—

The listening crowd admire the lofty sound,
A present deity, they shout around,
A present deity, the vaulted roofs rebound ·
With ravish’d ears
The monarch hears,
Assumes the god,
Affects to nod,
And seems to shake the spheres

This see-saw surely does not express majesty, true dignity, but mock majesty, the self-complacency of the drop too much. To justify Young’s use of Dryden’s metre in his *Ode to the King*, one must

suppose George II prepared for the poem as Alexander was prepared for the song of Timotheus; sober, he would hardly be uplifted by this:—

At his proud foot
The sea, pour'd out,
Immortal nourishment supplies,
Thence wealth and state,
And power and fate,
Which Europe reads in George's eyes

From what we view,
We take the clue,
Which leads from great to greater things:
Men doubt no more,
But gods adore,
When such resemblance shines in kings.

Scepticism, we learn, is to be cured by observing the living reflexion of the deity in George II. This is what Young makes out of Dryden's humorous treatment of the Pagan fable, that in Alexander Jove 'stamped an image of himself', for a Christian divine the flight is remarkable. After all, the metre is perhaps not so inappropriate, but one is disposed to pardon George for his contempt of 'bainting and boetry.'

These verses are quoted from no desire to depreciate Young, a real poet in his proper vein; but just because of his genius, his blunder proves that to acquire a true judgement of rhythm we may need some pains and possibly some mutual help. Hence the suggestions offered in the following detailed comments on a few points in *Alexander's Feast*.

I

'Twas at the royal feast for Persia won
 By Philip's warlike son .
 Aloft in awful state
 The godlike hero sate
 On his imperial throne ,
 His valiant peers were plac'd around ,
 Their brows with roses and with myrtles bound
 (So should desert in arms be crown'd.)
 The lovely Thais, by his side,
 Sate like a blooming Eastern bride ,
 In flower of youth and beauty's pride
 Happy, happy, happy pair ,
 None but the brave ,
 None but the brave ,
 None but the brave deserves the fair

In the opening line 'the royal feast for Persia won' there is a dangerous Latinism, for the unob-servant reader may think that it was the feast and not Persia that was won. This section illustrates the tendency to simple grouping, a tendency which becomes important in the work of Gray, but is not yet fixed in Dryden's case. Here the grouping is in triplets, there are first two sets of triple rhymes, 'around, bound, crown'd,' and 'side, bride, pride'—followed by the triple repetition of the word 'happy'—and of the phrase 'none but the brave.'

The rhythm of the second section is rather confused, but the approach of Bacchus in the third is accompanied by a definite rhythmical effect pro-duced by a series of lines of a successively diminish-ing number of accents (one of six, one of five, etc.),

followed by a sudden expansion in the all but monosyllabic line :—

Now give the hautboys breath, he comes, he comes.
and this is followed by diminution again.

But perhaps the cleverest touch in the whole piece is the fashion in which, in the sixth section, the rhythm dies altogether away in the description of the unburied dead.—

. Behold a ghastly band,
Each a torch in his hand !
Those are Grecian ghosts, that in battle were slain,
And unburied remain
Inglorious on the plain

Note also the holding up of the rhythm, and the quivering beat, in the line —

And glittering temples of their hostile gods,
followed by the sudden return to the dactyls in :—

The princes applaud with a furious joy.

The last four lines of the seventh section :—

Let old Timotheus yield the prize,
Or both divide the crown
He rais'd a mortal to the skies,
She drew an angel down

have been criticised as making a false point in the contrast between Timotheus and St Cecilia. But the objection is of doubtful force; the legend of St Cecilia is not treated seriously by Dryden, but lightly, as in his earlier Ode, and the 'angel' is merely the legendary visitant of the inventress of the organ.

The story that this Ode was ‘finished at a sitting’ rests on no good authority and I take leave to doubt its accuracy.

Probably neither Dryden’s audience, nor Dryden himself, fully understood the step that had been taken; certainly Dryden himself did not maintain it. The death of Purcell, the musician, in 1695 produced two Odes: one, Mulgrave’s, is a feeble and amateur performance, and the other, Dryden’s own, written for a musical setting two years before the second Ode for St Cecilia’s Day, presents an odd mixture of novel merits and old errors. The rhythms are confused, the changes being mostly without meaning. But there is one exquisite line in his latest style, the concluding line of the first section :—

Mark how the lark and linnet sing.
With rival notes
They strain their warbling throats
To welcome in the spring.
But in the close of night,
When Philomel begins her heavenly lay,
They cease their mutual spite,
Drunk in her music with delight,
. And listening and silent, silent and listening, listening and
silent, obey.

The natural history is dubious, but the picture, exquisite. The rest of the Ode is rubbish. Purcell cannot be in hell, for the devils will not permit it :—

The power of harmony too well they knew,
He long ere this had tun’d their jarring sphere,
And left no Hell below.

The third section is even worse. It begins with a pun on the word scale, 'ladder,' as applied to music:—

The heavenly choir, who heard his notes from high,
Let down the scale of music from the sky

and goes on to assure us that all other musicians are safe from heavenly depredations, for now that the gods have got the best they will be content. In fact the death of Purcell is regarded as a sort of rape of Ganymede, a conceit quite in the old style of the days of Charles I.

In the eighteenth century elaborate lyric abounded, but made little progress, so that Gray, essaying the Pindaric Ode in 1754, can suggest that the 'Æolian lyre' has slept since Dryden's death:—

Behold, where Dryden's less presumptuous car
Wide o'er the fields of glory bear
Two coursers of ethereal race,
With necks in thunder cloth'd, and long resounding pace

Hark, his hands the lyre explore!
Bright-ey'd Fancy, hovering o'er,
Scatters from her pictur'd urn
Thoughts that breathe and words that burn.
But ah 'tis heard no more—
O lyre divine, what daring spirit
Wakes thee now?

Akenside might very well represent the 'sleep' of the lyre, his productions are mostly mere rhetoric. But Akenside and Gray were considerable scholars and they introduced into English lyric the new and

true Pindaric form of Ode, containing strophe, anti-strophe, and epode In this strict Pindaric form Gray wrote the *Progress of Poesy* and *The Bard*, but the form itself is of doubtful importance in English poetry.

Gray avowedly builds on Dryden ; he takes up the principle, visible, as we have seen, in parts of *Alexander's Feast*, of commanding a long stanza to the ear by subdividing it into sections, each section being in itself simple and regular. This principle is shown plainly in Gray's *Ode on the Spring*, a work slight and of little intrinsic value. This poem, written in 1742, is not of the Pindaric type, it consists of six similar stanzas of ten lines, these ten lines being divided into groups of four and six lines respectively, so that the rhythms and rhymes are easily followed and remembered by the ear ; thus :—

Lo ! where the rosy-bosom'd Hours,
Fair Venus' train, appear,
Disclose the long-expecting flowers,
And wake the purple year !
The Attic warbler pours her throat,
Responsive to the cuckoo's note,
The untaught harmony of Spring,
While, whispering pleasure as they fly,
Cool Zephyrs through the clear blue sky
Their gather'd fragrance fling

The more complicated arrangement in *The Progress of Poesy* is worth analysis. The first stanza runs as follows :—

Awake, Æolian lyre, awake,
And give to rapture all thy trembling strings
From Helicon's harmonious springs
A thousand rills their mazy progress take ,

The laughing flow'rs, that round them blow,
 Drink life and fragrance as they flow
 Now the rich stream of music winds along,
 Deep, majestic, smooth, and strong,
 Through verdant vales, and Ceres' golden reign ;
 Now rolling down the steep amain,
 Headlong, impetuous, see it pour.

The rocks and nodding groves rebeelow to the roar.

To this 'strophe,' the second stanza, the 'anti-strophe,' corresponds. The structure is simple; the arrangement of rhymes is easily followed, and there is little variety in the rhythms. There is more variety of rhythm in the epode (I 3) where a mass in short metre (11 lines) is followed by a mass in long metre. Note carefully that this transition is in all the three epodes (I 3, II 3, III 3) strictly adapted to an important transition in the sense, and also that in I 3 it expresses a musical change, just as the change of rhythm does in Dryden's first *Song for St Cecilia's Day*. The metre, like the music, changes when, after the Loves have been 'frisking light in frolic measures,'

Slow melting strains their Queen's approach declare.

I 3

Thee, the voice, the dance, obey,
 Temper'd to thy warbled lay
 O'er Idalia's velvet-green
 The rosy-crowned Loves are seen
 On Cytherea's day
 With antic Sports and blue-eyed Pleasures,
 Frisking light in frolic measures,

Now pursuing, now retreating,
 Now in circling troöps they meet.
 To brisk notes in cadence beating,
 Glance their many-twinkling feet

Then come the longer lines :—

Slow melting strains their Queen's approach declare,
 Where'er she turns, the Graces homage pay
 With arms sublime, that float upon the air,
 In gliding state she wins her easy way
 O'er her warm cheek, and rising bosom, move
 The bloom of young Desire and purple light of Love.

Many other similar observations on the technical merits of this poem might be made, but we must not digress far, only calling attention to the variation in Gray's treatment of the three-accented verse which makes the fifth line in each epode :—

On Cytheréa's dáy—	(i 5)
In língering láabyrinths créep—	(ii 5)
But áh! 'tis héard no móre	(iii 5)

Gray's exquisite phrasing passes off much audacity, not to say nonsense. Thus there is a double conception of the subject: Poetry is a universal consolation—a view represented in ii 1, 'Say, has he given in vain the heavenly Muse,' and Poetry is also an occasional effulgence. in ii 2 we slide from one conception to the other. His 'history' again is absurd; there are, we learn, three poetic periods, the Greek, succeeded by the Roman, which, in its

turn is succeeded by the English; and this last apparently begins with Shakespeare:—

Till the sad Nine, in Greece's evil hour,
Left their Parnassus for the Latian plains.
Alike they scorn the pomp of tyrant Power,
And coward Vice, that revels in her chains.
When Latium had her lofty spirit lost,
They sought, O Albion! next thy sea-encircled coast.

Hence the Ode as a whole disappoints hopes raised by familiar quotations, such as the description of the mythical Shakespeare, 'Nature's Darling' (III 1), which immediately follows.

The Pindaric Ode is far better managed in *The Bard*. The problem here was to rival *Alexander's Feast* by fitting successive phases of music to the stages of a story. Gray's solution is ingenious. A Welsh bard, escaped from massacre, prophetically chants from a safe rock a series of Plantagenet disasters in defiance of Edward I, hails the Tudors as Welsh and native, and then flings himself into the river below.

The subdivision of the stanzas is more clearly defined than in *The Progress of Poesy*, and is most important. The strophe (and of course the corresponding antistrophe) has two main divisions sharply marked by metre, and each of these is further subdivided. It is the same with the epode. This system of divisions fits admirably the passage from vision to vision in prophecy; such brevity as Gray gives us would hardly otherwise be possible. Take for instance the three first sub-stanzas in II 3, re-

spectively consisting of six, four, and four lines, representing (a) the death of Richard II, (b) the Wars of the Roses, (c) Henry VI and the end of the Lancastrians.

II 3

- (a) Fill high the sparkling bowl,
The rich repast prepare,
 Reft of a crown, he yet may share the feast;
Close by the regal chair
 Fell Thirst and Famine scowl
 A baleful smile upon their baffled guest.
- (b) Heard ye the din of battle bray,
Lance to lance, and horse to horse?
 Long years of havock urge their destin'd course,
And through the kindred squadrons mow their way.
- (c) Ye towers of Julius, London's lasting shame,
With many a foul and midnight murder fed,
 Revere his Consort's faith, his father's fame,
And spare the meek usurper's holy head.

Or again take the subdivisions in III 1, where the third subdivision, at a point where the ear has now been led to expect change, introduces the prophecy of the coming Tudors.—

III 1

Edward, lo! to sudden fate
(Weave we the woof The thread is spun.)
 Half of thy heart we consecrate.
(The web is wove. The work is done.)
Stay, oh stay! nor thus forlorn
Leave me unbless'd, unpiti'd, here to mourn:
In yon bright track, that fires the western skies,
They melt, they vanish from my eyes.

Then, with the change of metre —

But oh! what solemn scenes on Snowdon's height

Descending slow their glittering skirts unroll?

Visions of glory, spare my aching sight!

Ye unborn ages, crowd not on my soul!

No more our long-lost Arthur we bewail

All hail, ye genuine kings, Britannia's issue, hail!

Gray's style is totally different from Dryden's; it is much more artificial, sometimes even artificial beyond the permitted limit—as, for instance, when he writes ‘‘Is the sable warrior fled?’’ But in the arrangement of sentences, the straightforward order, he is strongly influenced by Dryden, and so also in the rich use of plain words, such words as, in the last six lines quoted, *skirts, aching, crowd.*

In Collins's *Ode on the Passions* (published 1747) we have a manifest revival in a different form of the idea of Dryden's first *Song for St Cecilia's Day*; instead of the varied rhythms illustrating types of music which raise this or that passion, we have the passions themselves as persons—Despair, Hope, Fear, etc., each giving a characteristic musical performance.) Hope, for instance, is interrupted by Revenge —

And longer had she sung;—but with a frown,

Revenge impatient rose

He threw his blood-stain'd sword in thunder down;

And with a withering look,

The war-denouncing trumpet took,

And blew a blast so loud and dread,

Were ne'er prophetic sounds so full of woe!

And ever and anon he beat

The doubling drum with furious heat;

And though sometimes, each dreary pause between,
 Dejected Pity, at his side,
 Her soul-subduing voice applied,
 Yet still he kept his wild unalter'd mien,
 While each strain'd ball of sight seemed bursting from his
 head

Collins's variations are very good though hardly equal to Dryden's best. His poem contains an allusion to Dryden; Greek music and poetry in their varied simplicity rivalled—or rather, Collins says, surpassed—even Cecilia's Organ. Addressing Music, he asks why she has laid aside her ancient lyre—

'Tis said, and I believe the tale,
 Thy humblest reed could more prevail,
 Had more of strength, diviner rage,
 Than all which charms this laggard age,
 E'en all at once together found,
 Cecilia's mingled world of sound

Collins would revive this Greek art, but does not seem to realise the nature of the model or the difficulties of imitation. Still, when all is said, *The Passions* is a very fine poem, though not exactly an Ode—and it counts to Dryden.

All these poems, of which I have spoken, and some later poetry—for instance, much of Swinburne's—depend on adaptation of metrical variation to variations in sense. But there is a legitimate field, though the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries did not find it, for irregular and wandering metre, merely as such, and apart from the significance

of variations; such metre properly expresses reverie, thought, not addressed to an audience but as it were overheard. The leading example is Wordsworth's so-called Ode, *Intimations of Immortality from Recollections of Early Childhood*, —not an ode at all according to our definition. Performance is inconceivable, the irregularity of the metre, which in places is almost wild, suggests private thought in a natural undress. It is interesting to note that Professor Jebb, whose fineness of touch, sure dexterity, and exact comprehension are nowhere better shown than in his rendering of this piece, so little felt the variations in rhythm as essential to the poem that he translated the whole into Greek hexameters, a thing impossible in the case of such a poem as Gray's *The Bard*. But that metrical irregularity *on the whole* pleases cannot always be said. In section vii, for instance, of this poem, after describing the fondness of children for imitating and acting, Wordsworth represents the temporary denizen of this world as amusing himself with his part. Here the irregularity is to my ear not pleasing.—

See, at his feet, some little plan or chart,
Some fragment from his dream of human life,
Shap'd by himself with newly-learned art,
 A wedding or a festival,
 A mourning or a funeral,
 And this hath now his heart,
And unto this he frames his song:
 Then will he fit his tongue
To dialogues of business, love or strife;

But it will not be long
 Ere this be thrown aside,
 And with new joy and pride
 The little Actor cons another part;

It may be suggested that the changes of metre represent the vagrant fancy of the 'little Actor'; this idea however will not work out in detail, and to me the general effect seems of questionable success.

But on the whole the wandering measure of the poem is suitable to a reverie overheard. Wordsworth, however, seems to feel, and to feel with reason, that some definitely musical effects, some appropriate rhythms, should be sought, especially in the reverie of a poet. He has achieved this with a skill which *in this kind* he shows nowhere else. That skill he learnt from Dryden, whom he admired in spite of differences and repulsions. The following lines, from the third section, might in form be taken from Dryden —

And all the earth is gay,
 Land and sea
 Give themselves up to jollity,
 And with the heart of May
 Doth every Beast keep holiday !
 Thou Child of Joy,
 Shout round me, let me hear thy shouts, thou happy Shepherd-boy !

In section iv the rhythmical effects are not very well managed, but in section v ('Our birth is but a sleep and a forgetting'), where the note of reverie is important, there is one admirable rhythmic effect,

the gay march introduced in the third and fourth lines of the following.—°

The Youth, who daily farther from the east
Must travel, still is Nature's Priest,
And by the vision splendid
Is on his way attended ,
At length the Man perceives it die away,
And fade into the light of common day

. But it is in the ninth section that the culminating passage is found.—

But for those first affections,
Those shadowy recollections,
Which, be they what they may,
Are yet the fountain light of all our day,
Are yet a master light of all our seeing,
Uphold us, cherish, and have power to make
Our noisy years seem moments in the being
Of the eternal Silence truths that wake,
To perish never,
Which neither listlessness, nor mad endeavour,
Nor Man nor Boy,
Nor all that is at enmity with joy,
Can utterly abolish or destroy!

Note here the debatable phrase 'nor Man nor Boy,' which is hardly sense, and note too how the passion grasps after strong language. Dryden, *given the thought*, might have written just so, and may therefore have influenced the glorious music which follows :—

Hence in a season of calm' weather,
Though inland far we be,
Our Souls have sight of that immortal sea

Which brought us hither,
Can in a moment travel thither,
And see the Children sport upon the shore,
And hear the mighty waters rolling evermore.

Coleridge's elaborate lyrics have perhaps been over-praised, in his ode to France, for instance, the stanzas are complicated beyond comprehension.

In the nineteenth century, the 'Pindaric' Ode in its loose form produced little poetry of merit. Tennyson's *Ode on the Death of the Duke of Wellington* contains many fine sentences, but often falls flat. Tennyson wanted more bracing than is given by the loose form here used. A curious musical experiment is tried in section III, but it is to my ear not satisfactory —

Lead out the pageant sad and slow,
As fits an universal woe,
Let the long long procession go,
And let the sorrowing crowd about it grow,
And let the mournful martial music blow;
The last great Englishman is low

But irregular verse as a form of meditation has had important descendants. Tennyson's *Maud*, as 'a monodrama,' is in one sense all meditation, and in the more passionate and intimate parts irregular verse with careful attention to musical effects is worked admirably. A few brief indications must here suffice. Thus, to take Part I only, in v 1, 'A voice by the cedar-tree,' note how the lover falls into the rhythm of the lady's song heard in the distance :—

Singing of men that in battle array,
Ready in heart and ready in hand,
March with banner and bugle and fife
To the death, for their native land

and the rest of this division repays careful examination of the rhythmical effects. So too does vi 3, and again note how the rhythm follows the description in ix of the glimpse and disappearance of Maud as she rides past and waves her hand —

Down by the hill I saw them ride,
In a moment they were gone.
Like a sudden spark
Struck vainly in the night,
Then returns the dark .
With no more hope of light.

The plain vigour combined with dignity of these last four lines would have delighted Dryden. Nor must I omit the dance music of xvii :—

Till the red man dance
By his red cedar-tree,
And the red man's babe
Leap, beyond the sea

The exquisite dreaming of xviii, ‘I have led her home, my love, my only friend,’ is more akin to Wordsworth, but the use in xxii, ‘Come into the garden, Maud,’ of the polka rhythm—polka, rather than valse, because of the strong beat—gradually developing till it is fully heard, is thoroughly in the spirit of Dryden’s Odes for St Cecilia’s Day.

Illustrations are endless, but I must not get too far away from my proper subject. I can therefore

only briefly note that Swinburne, the chief elaborator of lyric in the Victorian age, takes up the formal ode, and his relations to the Drydenian succession, if any, are remote. It was to other sources that he went for his models. But the Drydenian line of inheritance is probably by no means finished ; some day *The Bard* may have a successor of like merit. The achievement would be enough for literary immortality.

VIII

THE STATE OF INNOCENCE, DRYDEN
AND MILTON

In 1677, under the title *The State of Innocence and Fall of Man*, Dryden published a version of *Paradise Lost* as a rhymed play in five Acts, 'an Opera.' My reason for including in this course this work of Dryden's is not perhaps mainly the pleasure of reading it, though, apart from comparison with Milton, the poem is better than readable. Comparison with Milton is inevitable, and cannot even be made so as to treat Dryden fairly. The 'couplet' has been dissociated by historical development from mystery and solemnity, Milton's blank verse is established as the model and type of all such work, so that the mere imitation of Milton in couplets suggests parody. Set beside each other, for instance, the following passages in Dryden's play and in *Paradise Lost* :—

Lucifer. Is this the seat our conqueror has given,
And this the climate we must change for Heaven?
These regions and this realm my wars have got,
This mournful empire is the loser's lot:
In liquid burnings, or on dry, to dwell,
Is all the sad variety of Hell (Act i, Sc. ii)

This represents Milton's

Is this the region, this the soil, the clime,
That we must change for Heaven? this mournful
For that celestial light? (P. L. I 242)

Dryden goes on.—

But see, the victor has recall'd, from far,
The avenging storms, his ministers of war
His shafts are spent, and his tir'd thunders sleep,
Nor longer bellow through the boundless deep.

and so recalls —

and ceases now

To bellow through the vast and boundless deep.

(P. L. I 176)

Dryden again :—

Best take the occasion, and these waves forsake,
While time is given—Ho, Asmoday, awake,
If thou art he! But ah! how chang'd from him,
Companion of my arms! how wan! how dim!

'Asmoday'!—we resent the substitution for Beelzebub, and find in it a suggestion of burlesque. Nor is the handling, in its own way, above criticism. Dryden writes, 'But *see*, the victor has recalled'; whom does Lucifer address? The parallel passage of Milton comes not before, but *after* the conversation between Satan and Beelzebub. So again Dryden's Lucifer says —

see on the lake

Our troops, like scatter'd leaves in autumn, lie.

This is a reminiscence of Milton's description of the legions.—

Thick as autumnal leaves that strow the brooks
In Vallombrosa ... (1 302)

or like the scattered sedge of the Red Sea, ‘whose waves o’erthrew Busiris and his Memphian chivalry.’ We resent the impoverishment, and moreover Milton’s description comes after the flight of Satan and Beelzebub to the land, where they could see the floating host. Dryden, much less appropriately, puts it before the flight.

Many such things as this may be found throughout the play, but nevertheless Dryden’s piece is full of interest and worth an hour’s attention, among other reasons for the following —

(1) It makes an important part of our scanty evidence for Dryden’s religious opinions in his middle life, before the theological poems, *Religio Laici* written in 1682, and *The Hind and the Panther* in 1687, and so bears upon the question of the honesty of Dryden in his conversion and in his tone towards religion after the Revolution. The position from which he came to his theological studies, if it could be discovered, is of importance. *The State of Innocence* is part of our evidence; with the addition of *Tyrannic Love*, it is indeed the chief part. Moreover Dryden’s earlier position is probably typical, and represents the position of many of his contemporaries.

(2) The circumstances of the publication of *The State of Innocence* and its dedication to the Duchess of York are illustrative of Dryden’s feelings and of the literary characteristics of the age.

(3) The Preface is one of the most instructive of Dryden’s Prefaces, as showing the groping and

tentative state of criticism at the time, and the Preface should not be separated from the play.

The date of the piece is between the end of 1674 and 1677. Milton's death, referred to in the Preface, was in November 1674 and the Duchess of York came to England in that year. The first known edition was in 1677¹. The story told on the authority of Aubrey, that Milton's permission was asked by Dryden, and given in the words, 'You may tag my verses if you will,' is probably apocryphal. That the piece was written in a month, as Dryden himself says in his Preface, is not remarkable. Dryden also says that it was circulated, and largely circulated, in manuscript, 'many hundred copies.' The copies were very faulty and produced copious criticism—criticism not merely verbal—so that publication was demanded. Dryden's Preface gives an interesting glimpse of the literary clubs and society of his time.

The piece was dedicated to the Duchess of York, and this fact, which Dryden pleads as his principal motive for publication, does not preclude an early presentation in MS, and this I am inclined to presume. It is not probable that Dryden, admiring her, left her without poetic homage for three years. But publication was a more effectual compliment. 'I was desirous to lay at the feet of so beautiful and

¹ See the Introduction by Mr G. R. Noyes to his *Selected Dramas of John Dryden* (New York), p. xxxviii, footnote 5. The question of an earlier edition is not important for our purposes.

excellent a princess, a work, which, I confess, was unworthy her, but which, 'I hope, she will have the goodness to forgive.' Dryden was really moved by the beauty and innocence of the Duchess; but he had nothing suitable to offer. *The State of Innocence* suggested itself, and it is tolerable, though there may be something to 'forgive.'

The dedication however is now hardly tolerable: 'Your person is so admirable, that it can scarce receive addition, *when it shall be glorified*¹ and your soul, which shines through it, finds it of a substance so near her own, that she will be pleased to pass an age within it, and to be confined to such a palace.' But this language, however objectionable, is *not courtly*. Dryden speaks similarly of other virtuous ladies, such as the Countess of Abingdon in his *Eleonora*. Familiarity with the unseen and mysterious was in his day encouraged by the religious. We feel it as profane, but it was not so meant. Dryden was moved by the spectacle of lovely virtue, and meant, as a poet, to show his feeling.

The circumstances of the publication account for the corrupt text, which thus offers some small textual problems.—

(a)

From the beach

Thy well-known voice the sleeping gods will reach
And wake the immortal sense, which thunder's noise
Had quell'd, and lightning deep had driven within them

(Act i, Sc. 1)

For 'noise' and 'within them,' read *din* and *within*.

¹ The italics are the lecturer's.

(b) Oh virgin, heaven-begot, and born of man,
Thou fairest of thy great Creator's works!

(Act II, Sc. 2)

For 'works' read *plan*.

(c) *Luc.* Lives there, who would not seek to force his way,
From pain to ease, from darkness to the day?
Should I, who found the means to 'scape, not dare
To change my sulphurous smoke for upper air?
When I, in fight, sustain'd your Thunderer,
And heaven on me alone spent half his war,
Think'st thou those wounds were light? Should I not
seek
The clemency of some more temperate clime,
To purge my gloom; and, by the sun refin'd,
Bask in his beams, and bleach me in the wind.

(Act III, Sc. 1)

For 'clime' read *reek*, Lucifer contrasts the 'more temperate reek,' or vapour of earth—' Fair place, yet what is this to heaven'—with the 'sulphurous smoke' of Hell.

The metrical structure of the piece is worth some consideration, Dryden himself describes it as 'an Opera in Heroic Verse,' but, as Professor Saintsbury says in his *Life of Dryden*, it 'is not wholly in rhyme.' That statement, if taken without further explanation, would suggest more irregularity than is actually the case. The piece consists of about 1400 lines in all, of which one passage only, a consecutive series of some 60 lines, is in blank verse, concluding with a rhymed couplet (Act II end of Scene 1, beginning with Lucifer's speech: 'Am I become so monstrous?'). The rest of the play

is in rhymed couplets with the following exceptions :—

(1) 15 hemistichs or incomplete lines, of 4 or 6 syllables, instead of the usual 10, of which the following is an illustration :—

Asm. Yet, not all perish'd: We defy him still,
And yet wage war, with our unconquer'd will.

Luc. Strength may return

Asm. Already of thy virtue I partake,
Erected by thy voice

Luc. See on the lake, etc.

The use of the hemistich is one of the devices commended in the *Essay of Dramatic Poesy* for relieving the monotony of rhymed verse.

(2) Three pairs of unrhymed lines, namely, those above quoted, where a correction of the final word restores the rhyme.

(3) Four single unrhymed lines One of these (Act v Sc. 1, third speech of Eve after her second entry) rhymes with the last couplet but two; another (Act III Sc. 1, third speech of Gabriel after his second entry) is followed by a broken line, thus :—

Luc. Who'er expects our thanks, himself repays,
And seems but little, who can want our praise.

Gab. What in us duty, shews not want in him,
Blest in himself alone,
To whom no praise we, by good deeds, can add;
Nor can his glory suffer from our bad

In the two remaining cases the unrhymed lines stand between regularly rhyming couplets (Act II Sc. 1, fifth speech of Adam, and Act III Sc. 1, second speech of Ithuriel after his second entry).

I go on to a detailed consideration of the play. It is divided into five Acts —

Act I. Decision of the devils to invade the new earth. The decision is taken by a cabinet council of six, not by a parliament of a thousand, as in Milton (*P. L.* i 796).

Act II. Adam and Eve in Innocence. Lucifer on his way to the earth meets Uriel, the angel of the Sun.

Act III. Arrival of Lucifer in Paradise. Eve's dream of the Temptation. Discovery of Lucifer by the angel-guards and temporary expulsion of Lucifer.

Act IV. Raphael and Gabriel instruct Adam as to his position and powers. Temptation and sin of Eve.

Act V. Temptation and sin of Adam; pronunciation by Raphael of the sentence of death. Vision by Adam and Eve of the future misery of the world and of the ultimate bliss of mankind in Heaven.

The stage directions for the scenery are crude. Thus Act i Scene i, 'represents a Chaos or a confused Mass of Matter, the Stage is almost wholly dark: a Symphony of warlike Music is heard for some time; then from the Heavens (which are opened) fall the rebellious Angels, wheeling in Air, and seeming transfixed with Thunderbolts; the bottom of the Stage being opened receives the Angels, who fall out of sight.' At a later point the Car of the Sun is introduced, and in the fourth Act a Cloud descends bearing six Angels, but the scenery

is for the most part simple. The machinery would not trouble the managers or the audience of the seventeenth century. Scott, in his Introduction, protests that the play can never have been 'intended for representation.' But why should there be stage-directions if there was to be no representation? It does not seem clear that performance was not contemplated. Dryden, for instance, in his Preface offers to explain the publication of 'an opera which was never acted.' There would be no point in this remark if representation were altogether impossible, and in any case there is no reason why Dryden should not suppose that the piece could be produced. Scott objects that the 'costume' of Paradise must have excluded representation. But we may note that before the Fall, Dryden introduces in Eve's dream (Act III Sc. 1) 'a Woman habited like Eve', Eve in Paradise then was 'habited' in Dryden's view. Nor is there any reason why he should not so suppose; he ignores the Biblical account in many particulars more important. There is, for instance, no sentence of condemnation pronounced by the Deity.

What Dryden retains is for the most part close to Milton in form, but the substance is fundamentally different; thus

- (a) the metaphysical or philosophical assumptions of Milton are criticised by Dryden, and
- (b) the Christian solution is swept away.

This will appear from the following considerations:—

(a) Milton does not ignore the difficulties of supposing full responsibility in a created being, the conciliation of free-will and divine foreknowledge. The devils discuss this problem, and find 'no end, in wandering mazes lost' (*P. L.* II 561) But Milton assumes as a matter of course that a guileless mind (a mind in a state of innocence) would be content with the position of man as stated. The brief statement in explanation made by Raphael (*P. L.* v 519 ff.), that free-will is necessary to virtue, is received by Adam with brief gratitude; he passes on at once to request a narrative of the fall of the Angels.

Contrast the parallel scene in Dryden (Act iv Sc. 1). Gabriel and Raphael, with attendance of Angels, argue the case with Adam, who maintains a lively series of objections. Gabriel at one point loses his temper (!) and has to be reminded that Adam only wants instruction.—

Gabriel And who but man should judge of man's free state?

Adam I find that I can choose to love or hate,

Obey or disobey, do good or ill,

Yet such a choice is but consent, not will

I can but choose what he at first design'd,

For he, before that choice, my will confin'd.

Gabriel. Such impious fancies, where they entrance gain,

Make heaven, all pure, thy crimes to pre-ordain

Adam. Far, far from me be banish'd such a thought,

I argue only to be better taught.

Later on Adam makes a sharp repartee to Raphael :—

Raphael. Sufficient causes only work the effect,

When necessary agents they respect.

Such is not man, who, though the cause suffice,
Yet often he his free^a assent denies

Adam. What causes not, is not sufficient still

And finally Adam objects :—

Better constrain'd to good, than free to ill.

whereupon Raphael, with brief reply closes the debate, leaving Adam utterly dissatisfied :—

Adam Hard state of life! Since heaven foreknows my will,
Why am I not tied up from doing ill?
Why am I trusted with myself at large,
When he's more able to sustain the charge?
Since angels fell, whose strength was more than mine,
'Twould show more grace my frailty to confine.
Foreknowing the success, to leave me free,
Excuses him, and yet supports not me

If Dryden's verse and style here differ from Milton's, so does his theme. His position is that human responsibility could not be explained to a human *intelligence*, and that to suppose a state of *innocence in will* would not alter this. Acquiescence would mean not innocence, but want or inaction of reason.

(b) So much for Dryden's attitude towards the philosophical problem, the Christian solution is simply omitted by him. The Miltonic expositions in Heaven (*P. L.* Books III and V) are not noticed or used. And so it is elsewhere. There is no reference whatever to the Redemption. This difference of treatment is chiefly apparent in the conclusion of the two poems. In *Paradise Lost* the future is revealed to Adam by Michael in a series

of visions and prophecies; Dryden follows upon Milton's lines, only adding the presence of Eve—who is decidedly less suppressed in Dryden's play than in Milton's poem—and replacing Michael by Raphael. But the parallel form only exhibits the discrepancy of substance. By Milton the whole Biblical history is shown in summary, both the Old and the New Testament, down to the persecution of the nascent Church, and including therefore the story of Christ and the plan of Redemption.

Now see Dryden's conclusion. After visions of Death—compared below with Milton's—Raphael speaks—

Death you have seen now see your race revive,
How happy they in deathless pleasure live,
Far more than I can show, or you can see,
Shall crown the blest with immortality

Here a Heaven descends full of Angels and Blessed Spirits with soft Music, a Song and Chorus.

Adam Oh, goodness infinite! whose heavenly will
Can so much good produce from so much ill!
Happy their state!
Pure, and unchang'd, and needing no defence
From sins, as did my frailer innocence.
Their joy sincere, and with no sorrow mixt.
Eternity stands permanent and fixt,
And wheels no longer on the poles of Time,
Secure from fate, and more secure from crime.

There is no suggestion of means or conditions by which Heaven can be attained. It is a consoling and reassuring imagination, bringing Creation to the point desired and presumably intended in the

scheme. The course pursued, of which we see a stage, must be accepted by human intelligence as inexplicable.

To what Adam has said, Eve adds the following words :—

Ravish'd with joy, I can but half repent
The sin, which heaven makes happy in the event.

The remark is perhaps not felicitous, though natural. It is needless to suppose that Dryden did not perceive this. Discretion is not woman's strong point. In insisting on separation from Adam, Milton's Eve is not discreet, though innocent (*P. L.* ix 270 ff.). Dryden makes the scene more natural than Milton dares do. In Milton's version one does not see why Adam gives way, so careful is Milton to save the sweetness of Eve. Dryden's conception of innocence is less artificial.

But perhaps the most striking contrast with Milton's attitude is found in Dryden's final words. After encouragement from Raphael and farewells to Paradise from Adam and Eve, the piece concludes with the following fine passage :—

Raphael. The rising winds urge the tempestuous air :
And on their wings deformed winter bear,
The beasts already feel the change, and hence
They fly to deeper coverts, for defence.
The feebler herd before the stronger run ;
For now the war of nature is begun.
But, part you hence in peace, and, having mourn'd
your sin
For outward Eden lost, find Paradise within.

Dryden's play is not addressed to real Christians. Theologically Milton's spirit is not altogether Christian; it is not humble enough. But his creed is Christian. Dryden, on the other hand, accepts from Christianity the Resurrection and Paradise as necessary, but conceives all explanation and account of the scheme as a gratuitous embarrassment.

The State of Innocence is a translation of *Paradise Lost*, revised and adapted to a large part of society. The Duchess of York, to whom it was dedicated, was herself a Roman Catholic, and Dryden probably assumed that she would not follow very precisely and would fill up his gaps with her own comment. Dryden intends no disrespect to *Paradise Lost*; there are very strong expressions of admiration for Milton and of deference to him in the Preface. The Preface is better evidence for his admiration of Milton than is the nonsensical epigram which appeared under the engraving of Milton's picture, published in 1688:—

Three poets, in three distant ages born,
Greece, Italy, and England did adorn
The first, in loftiness of thought surpass'd,
The next in majesty, in both, the last.
The force of Nature could no further go,
To make a third, she join'd the former two.

But Dryden did not, even in his latest years, think Milton above criticism. In his *Essay on Satire*, for instance, published in 1693, he expresses the view that Milton had followed Scripture too far for

advantage of style, *The State of Innocence* implies the same view as regards subject-matter.

As to the style, Dryden defends heroic poetry—under which he would class both Milton's work and his own—in dealing with gigantic character, against those who plead for 'imitation of Nature' as a reason for refusing heights of imagination. Here we come against the old fallacy of Renaissance criticism, that imitation is exact copying. Dryden, however, does not here strike the fallacy, nor indeed generally anywhere else in his critical writings. As to the 'machinery' of both pieces, the supernatural agents and immaterial beings, Dryden prudently avoids saying that his immaterial beings are real. Milton partly evades difficulties by dexterously avoiding awkward juxtapositions: his 'seraph wing'd' Angel, for instance, is material enough to *eat* when with man 'with keen despatch of real hunger.' Further questions are indicated but not solved, and in general, Milton's Heaven and cosmic setting are at bottom confused. We feel this, and so long as we are not affronted by discrepancies, we accept it as a symbol of mystery.

Dryden's tone, his determination to keep his feet on the ground, will not allow this sort of defence. His Uriel and other figures are only gigantic, not mysterious. In the Preface he avoids direct discussion of the point, falls back on Shakespeare's *Tempest* and other plays, and meets the difficulty about imitation by a strange quibble:—Fairies, though they do not exist, are believed in; thus

Shakespeare *imitates*, not indeed actual reality, but the real fairies of rustics. We have here an interesting proof of the difficulty there is in clearing thought. The merits of Ariel are not dependent on the fact that *someone* had or might have believed him, as described by Shakespeare, to have really existed. And Dryden himself adds, citing the Angels of Scripture and the gods of Homer, that 'thus we have notions of things above us, by describing them like other beings more within our knowledge,' and does not see that this covers the case of Ariel.

Incidentally, while on this subject, we may note that Dryden's reference, in the Preface, to Lucretius' use of the word 'image' shows that he does not understand the theory of the Epicurean *imago*.

Elevation of language, figures of style, are also defended in the Preface. On this subject Dryden is lively and amusing, he wishes that his 'imaging' were bolder, more Miltonic, and defends the four lines attacked by his critics.—

Seraph and Cherub, careless of their charge,
And wanton, in full ease now live at large
Unguarded leave the passes of the sky,
And all dissolv'd in hallelujahs lie.

The objector, he tells us, compares with the last line anchovies dissolved in sauce. In answer he cites Virgil (*Aen.* II 265) *invadunt urbem, somno vinoque sepultam*, 'they break into the city buried in sleep and wine'; but is the case parallel? He also cites Cowley's line, 'Where their vast courts the mother waters keep,' asking whether the metaphor

must be pressed and whether ‘the little waters, their daughters, make courtesy to them.’ He takes his own expression, ‘dissolv’d in hallelujahs,’ as exactly similar to the phrase ‘dissolv’d in songs of triumph.’ But is this so? Legates and Tribunes might be dissolved in songs of triumph, but we cannot disregard the associations of the Hebrew words, nor the meaning of Hallelujah, a song, not of triumph, but of praise to God —

‘Great are thy works, Jehovah !’
So sung they, and the empyrean rung
With hallelujahs thus was sabbath kept

(P. L v 602, 634 f.)

He also discusses the treatment of the gigantic, citing Virgil’s Polyphemus (*Aen.* III 664) —

Graditurque per aequor
Iam medium , necdum fluctus latera ardua tinxit.

‘He walks through the sea up to his middle ; yet the waves wash not his high flanks’ and Cowley’s Goliath, of whom Cowley writes .—

The valley, now, this monster seemed to fill ;
And we, methought, look’d up to him from our hill

Dryden’s apology for Cowley is ineffective and we sympathise with Scott’s mutter in his note. ‘With all this mitigation, the passage seems horrible bombast.’ Now we touch the point. Polyphemus is much bigger than Goliath. Consider their respective stories. Ulysses did not fight Polyphemus man to man, in single combat, as David fought Goliath. Polyphemus is a monster, Goliath only a huge man ;

language poetically appropriate to a monster is bombastic if applied to a large man.

Dryden, as he is well aware, is not as bold in language as Milton, but claims a nobility of his own kind and suited to his purpose. He disclaims the raptures of Lee's *Commendatory Verses*. Lee wrote that Milton had given 'ore' which Dryden had 'refined'; that Milton had captured the 'rustic maid' and that Dryden had 'dressed her' and brought her 'to court'! But Dryden doubtless saw nothing absurd in Lee's addressing him as 'awful poet.' He would not have admitted a universal inferiority to Milton. Nor indeed need he. Let us compare the two poets in their treatment of the vision of Death :—

Paradise Lost xi 477 ff., the Lazar-house .—

Immediately a place
Before his eyes appear'd, sad, noisome, dark,
A Lazar-house it seem'd, wherein were laid
Numbers of all diseas'd, all maladies
Of ghastly spasm, or racking torture, qualms
Of heart-sick agony, all feverous kinds,
Convulsions, epilepsies, fierce catarrhs,
Intestine stone and ulcer, cholic pangs,
Demonic frenzy, moping melancholy
And moon-struck madness, pining atrophy,
Marasmus, and wide-wasting pestilence,
Dropsies, and asthmas, and joint-racking rheums.
Dire was the tossing, deep the groans, Despair
Tended the sick busiest from couch to couch;
And over them triumphant Death his dart
Shook, but delay'd to strike though oft invokt
With vows, as their chief good, and final hope.

Battles and other forms of Death are shown in later visions, but this first vision gives the typical style.

The State of Innocence, Act v Sc. 1.

The Scene shifts, and discovers deaths of several sorts. A Battle at Land and a Naval Fight.

Adam. O wretched offspring! O unhappy state
Of all mankind, by me betray'd to fate!
Born, through my crime to be offenders first;

And, for those sins they could not shun, accurst.
Eve. Why is life forc'd on man, who, might he choose,
Would not accept what he with pain must lose?
Unknowing, he receives it, and when, known,
He thinks it his, and values it, 'tis gone.

Raphael Behold of every age, ripe manhood see,
Decrepit years, and helpless infancy
Those who, by lingering sickness, lose their breath;
And those who, by despair, suborn their death.
See yon mad fools, who for some trivial right,
For love, or for mistaken honour, fight
See those, more mad, who throw their lives away
In needless wars, the stakes which monarchs lay,
When for each other's provinces they play.
Then, as if earth too narrow were for fate,
On open seas their quarrels they debate
In hollow wood they floating armies bear,
And force imprison'd winds to bring them near.

In Milton's description there is too much art, he overlays the horror. If we ask which picture is the sadder, we must answer, that it is surely Dryden's.

An interesting comparison may be made between Milton's Satan and Dryden's Lucifer. Satan has

been called the 'hero of *Paradise Lost*.' Dryden feels this and wishes to lower him. The Devil should, in his view, be in some sort contemptible. It is consistent with this feeling that he suggests a comparison between the Council in Hell and the Dutch Republic!

Most high and mighty lords, who better fell
From heaven, to rise *states-general* of hell

Milton's Satan takes the lead, both in the suggestion to assail man (*P. L.* i 655 ff.), in which he is followed by Beelzebub at the Council (*P. L.* ii 345 ff.), and in the enterprise itself (*P. L.* ii 430). In Dryden's play the counsel comes from Asmoday, and the first offer from the 'rash Moloch,' whom Lucifer has to check (Act i Sc. 1). This difference of attitude towards the Devil is to be remembered in comparing the treatment of the 'dream of Eve' by Milton and by Dryden, a passage where Dryden most offends modern taste. The stately story is told by Milton in the Fifth Book of *Paradise Lost*, beginning, 'Now Morn her rosy steps in the eastern clime Advancing sow'd the earth with orient pearl,' and ending with 'the gracious signs of sweet remorse And pious awe, that fear'd to have offended' (*P. L.* v 1-135). Dryden's version, given about the middle of the Third Act, begins with Lucifer's remark, 'So, now they lie secure in love,' and ends with the chorus of Dream-Angels singing:—

To the joy that's forbidden we eagerly move;
It enhances the price, and increases the love.

In this scene, Dryden has deliberately vulgarised everything, holding that the art of the Devil *is* vulgar. To Dante, to name a writer of widely differing temper and outlook, such a treatment of the Devil would have been quite intelligible.

IX

ALL FOR LOVE, OR THE WORLD WELL LOST

THE play of *All for Love; or the World Well Lost* was produced in 1677 and published the next year. It is a combination of English tradition and 'classic' rules, written in blank verse, unlike the heroic plays, and with characters forcible rather than stately: it is indeed a direct imitation of Shakespeare's *Antony and Cleopatra*. It specially deserves the attention of students of Dryden, as being the only play—so he tells us nearly twenty years later—which he 'writ for' himself.

The 'classic' rules were observed with strictness. Dryden writes in the Preface :—

The fabric of the play is regular enough, as to the inferior parts of it, and the unities of time place and action more exactly observed than perhaps the English theatre requires. Particularly, the action is so much one that it is the only of the kind without episode, or underplot, every scene in the tragedy conduced to the main design, and every act concluding with a turn of it.

In this quotation I have followed the punctuation, Dryden's own, which is given in the recent edition

of *Selected Dramas of John Dryden* by Mr G. R. Noyes¹. But I note a mispunctuation in one sentence, which, with the ordinary punctuation, is not intelligible; for clearness, a pause should be marked after the word 'only,' and the sentence should read:—

Particularly, the action is so much one that it is the only, of the kind without episode or underplot.

What Dryden means is that all the scenes are *parts* of one story, not (as in underplots) merely united with the main story by some connexion such might be 'one action' in a sense, but not 'the only.' He is not claiming that no other play without episode or underplot has ever been written, but that this play is of that kind.

In *All for Love* Dryden follows in the main the traditions of the Greek and of the French stage. Each act is a single continuous scene, the time of the action is within the limit of 'a day;' but the place changes at least once, from the Temple of Isis in Act 1 to the Palace of Cleopatra in the remaining Acts. The play, it may be said, makes no very severe impression of the brevity of the time in which the events described occur. But clearly it shows only the last stages of a story, and fulfils the condition in which such an arrangement is easiest, by presenting us with a story that is notorious. Such was the regular practice in the Greek theatre.

Mr Noyes' Introduction on 'Dryden as a Dramatist' should be read by students of Dryden's work.

¹ See above, p. 220, note.

Writing of *All for Love* he says that 'in it many traits of the heroic plays still survive' and he thinks, though here I hardly agree with him, that in Antony there is something of Almanzor (in *The Conquest of Granada*). Dryden's Cleopatra as compared with Shakespeare's 'loses her intellectual brilliancy and her "infinite variety"' and becomes a fond and faithful mistress,' for without constancy she would not be heroic or fit for tragedy: 'according to the conventions of the time, no tragic heroine must be guilty of inconstancy.' In that case we must not count as a tragic heroine the fickle Lyndaraxa of *The Conquest of Granada*. Mr Noyes quotes, with agreement, Dryden's own admission that the introduction of Octavia is a mistake, as dividing the interest of the play and diverting compassion from Antony and Cleopatra. Whether this criticism is just we will consider later, only here noting that the contemporary critics had not apparently taken the objection and that therefore Dryden had a happy opportunity to be modest without making any concession to their views.

In the Antony of Dryden, as contrasted with Shakespeare's Antony, says Mr Noyes, there is no real struggle between his infatuation for Cleopatra and his 'Roman thoughts.' This is in the main true, but does not make the action, 'as Aristotle would call it, "episodic."' Discussion of the point is better deferred till after analysis of the play.

Act I

The first Act opens in the Temple of Isis, where the prodigies that foretell the impending fall of Egypt are described by the Egyptian priests to the eunuch Alexas in a hyperbolical passage. Alexas would discard Antony and so save Egypt, but Cleopatra's love makes this impossible. Egypt's security therefore depends on a last effort of Antony. Will he make this effort? He has retired from Cleopatra for some days and the Egyptians fear that he is either hopeless or treacherous.

Ventidius then enters, Antony's 'great lieutenant in the East,' himself a Roman. His arrival 'bodes ... ill to' Egyptian affairs. He insists on seeing Antony. It is Antony's birthday and the Egyptians have proclaimed a public holiday in his honour, but Ventidius disdainfully dissociates himself from 'this general joy.' The Egyptians retire as Antony, wishing to be alone, approaches. Ventidius refuses to keep away but 'withdraws' to observe him.

Antony's first words show his melancholy; Ventidius thereupon declares himself, and both friends are moved to tears at the meeting. Ventidius encourages Antony to self-reproach, and then discloses a new hope: twelve legions are ready for Antony in a place described, with geographical vagueness, as 'Lower Syria' (345), though apparently they have been led 'down from the Parthian marches to the Nile' (340). But these legions will not fight for Cleopatra, so that Antony must first break with

her. At this Antony is angry, inveighs furiously against the 'plain insolence' of Ventidius and calls him a traitor. This accusation Ventidius indignantly disproves and Antony retracts it.

The scene is good and finely written; it should be read as a whole, and is too long to quote. But I would draw special attention to the 60 lines from *v. 359*, where Ventidius begins his attack upon Cleopatra, to the complete conversion of Antony, concluding thus¹:—

Ant. Thou only lov'st, the rest have flatter'd me

Vent. Heav'n's blessing on your heart for that kind word!

May I believe you love me? Speak again.

Ant. Indeed I do. Speak this, and this 417
[Hugging him]

Thy praises were unjust, but I'll deserve 'em,

And yet mend all. Do with me what thou wilt,

Lead me to victory! thou know'st the way

I have reproduced in *v. 417* the ordinary punctuation of all the editions. But the sense as well as the grammar would be improved by a small change avoiding the suggestion that 'Speak' is addressed to Ventidius, thus: 'Indeed I do,—speak this, and this, and this (*hugging him*).'

Act II

The action here apparently takes place in front of Cleopatra's palace where Antony and the soldiers might naturally pass. The front of the palace is

¹ The quotations follow the text and punctuation of Mr Noyes' Edition.

not however suitable to all the succeeding scenes and, as usual, the observance of the 'unity of place' is rather superficial.

Cleopatra, desperate but still devoted to Antony, has asked for an interview; her woman Charmion returns and reports his doubtful behaviour:—

I told my message,
Just as you gave it, broken and disorder'd;
I number'd in it all your sighs and tears,
And while I mov'd your pitiful request,
That you but only begg'd a last farewell,
He fetch'd an inward groan, and ev'ry time
I nam'd you, sigh'd, as if his heart were breaking,
But shunn'd my eyes, and guiltily look'd down:
He seem'd not now that awful Antony,
Who shook an arm'd assembly with his nod; •
But, making show as he would rub his eyes,
Disguis'd and blotted out a falling tear

Cleo. Did he then weep? And was I worth a tear? (57 ff.)

Alexas takes encouragement from this, or rather pretends to do so, and obtains Cleopatra's leave to stop Antony on his passage, if possible. Antony, Ventidius, and 'other Commanders' then enter. In certain parts of the conversation between Antony and Ventidius, who discuss the character of Octavius (Caesar Augustus), it is impossible not to see allusions to Louis XIV; thus, Antony says:—

If he ventures
(As in Illyria once they say he did,
To storm a town), 'tis when he cannot choose;
When all the world have fix'd their eyes upon him;
And then he lives on that for seven years after;

(114 ff.)

and again :—

He would live, like a lamp, to the last wink,
And crawl upon the utmost verge of life.
O Hercules! why should a man like this,
Who dares not trust his fate for one great action,
Be all the care of heav'n? (129 ff.)

Louis XIV, as Macaulay writes in the *Essay on Sir William Temple*, 'never repaired to a siege till it had been reported to him by the most skilful officers in his service that nothing could prevent the fall of the place. When this was ascertained, the monarch...declared the capitulation...and then returned to Versailles to hear his flatterers repeat that Turenne had been beaten at Mariendal, that Condé had been forced to raise the siege of Arras, and that the only warrior whose glory had never been obscured by a single check was Louis the Great'.

Alexas brings jewels for the departing Romans and the gift for Antony is a bracelet which neither he nor Alexas can fasten, so that Cleopatra is sent for to tie it on. At her entry Antony tells his whole

¹ See Saint-Simon's *Memoirs* i. 10 for Louis' 'goût des sièges, pour y montrer sa bravoure à bon marché.' In i. 3 Saint-Simon describes how in the spring of 1676—a year before the performance and two years before the publication of *All for Love*—the French army, commanded by Louis, was in close touch with the Dutch enemy under the Prince of Orange, but in spite of superiority of numbers and the advice of his generals, Louis XIV personally decided against any engagement, at the Council of war the King 'tourna bride, et il ne fut plus question de bataille,' to the great surprise of the Prince of Orange, as Saint-Simon relates at some length.

story, as a justification for his present intention, commanding her to be 'silent till he has finished. But his memories weaken him, and after Cleopatra has justified herself by pleading her love, the crisis comes when Antony laments for both :—

Ant. We're both unhappy,
If nothing else, yet our ill fortune parts us.
Cleo. Speak, would you have me perish by my stay?
If, as a friend, you ask my judgement, go,
If, as a lover, stay. If you must perish—
'Tis a hard word—but stay (378 ff.)

Ventidius, misunderstanding, declares that Cleopatra would make a separate peace if she could. Then Cleopatra plays her trump card — she has had from Octavius an offer of a separate peace, and has refused it. Antony, blinded and overcome, retorts upon Ventidius in his own phrases. —

Ant My queen's not only innocent, but loves me.
This, this is she, who drags me down to ruin!
But, could she escape without me, with what haste
Would she let slip her hold, and make to shore,
And never look behind!
Down on thy knees, blasphemer as thou art,
And ask forgiveness of wrong'd innocence.

Vent. I'll rather die, than take it Will you go?

Ant. Go! whither? Go from all that's excellent!
Faith, honour, virtue, all good things forbid
That I should go from her, who sets my love
Above the price of kingdoms. Give, you gods,
Give to your boy, your Cæsar,
This rattle of a globe to play withal,
This gewgaw world, and put him cheaply off:
I'll not be pleas'd with less than Cleopatra. (430 ff.)

Hereupon the plan is changed, and Antony will surprise Caesar by a sortie. This sortie takes place between Act II and Act III, and in it five thousand Romans are killed. The proceeding is rather abrupt, but the ‘unity of time’ must be preserved.

Act III

The third Act opens with the return of the victorious Antony, who is met by Cleopatra. The rhodomontade which follows recalls the style of the heroic plays, but such traces are rare—and here perhaps not out of place —

Ant. My brighter Venus!

Cleo O, my greater Mars!

Ant. Thou join’st us well, my love!

Suppose me come from the Phlegræan plains,
Where gasping giants lay, cleft by my sword,
And mountain-tops par’d off each other blow, 15
To bury those I slew.

In v. 15 I follow Mr Noyes, Scott, and the Quartos in reading ‘par’d’ (sliced off), and not ‘pair’d’ (coupled), which is the reading of Mr Saintsbury both in the Mermaid Edition and also in his revision of Scott’s Edition.

Ventidius enters and is sneered at by Alexas, but defended good-humouredly by Antony. The procession departs, but Ventidius persuades Antony to give him an interview, and then suggests negotiation with Caesar. Antony says that for this there is no chance, as he has no instrument. He once had such an instrument in Dolabella, a devoted

friend, but they had parted on the conviction of Antony—a just suspicion—that Dolabella loved Cleopatra. Dolabella is now in Caesar's camp and, so Antony supposes, utterly estranged from him. In the conversation Antony is the first to name Dolabella but it was Ventidius who led him to the recollection. Antony's description of the friendship is worth quoting.—

Ant. The wretched have no friends.—

Yet I had one, the bravest youth of Rome,
Whom Cæsar loves beyond the love of women:
He could resolve his mind, as fire does wax;
From that hard rugged image melt him down,
And mould him in what softer form he pleas'd.

Vent. Him would I see, that man of all the world;
Just such a one we want

Ant. He lov'd me too;

I was his soul, he liv'd not but in me
We were so clos'd within each other's breasts,
The rivets were not found that join'd us first.
That does not reach us yet. we were so mix'd,
As meeting streams,—both to ourselves were lost;
We were one mass, we could not give or take,
But from the same, for he was I, I he (83 ff.)

Ventidius assures Antony of Dolabella's fidelity; he has in fact 'sent a messenger' with honourable offers from Caesar. Antony consents to receive the messenger and Dolabella himself appears, to his uncontrollable pleasure. Antony is ashamed of his reduced condition; Dolabella and Ventidius both confirm his self-reproach, but when Dolabella glances, very distantly, at Cleopatra, Antony is instantly suspicious though he keeps a rallying tone:—Dolabella

knows that he himself was no more proof against Cleopatra than Antony had been ; why, he gave up his vengeance for his brother at sight of her. This introduces the description of Cleopatra's barge, a direct imitation from Shakespeare. A comparison of the two passages I defer for the present.

Dolabella leads round the conversation to his purpose, he has done his best for Antony with Caesar and now brings honourable offers, procured by a friend not named. Antony, much moved, asks to see the 'man,' and Ventidius produces—Octavia and her children ! The movement of this scene is very ingenious —

Ant. Show me that man,
Who has preserv'd my life, my love, my honour ;
Let me but see his face

Vent. That task is mine,
And, Heav'n, thou know'st how pleasing.

Dol. You'll remember
To whom you stand oblig'd?

Ant When I forget it,
Be thou unkind, and that's my greatest curse.
My queen shall thank him too.

Dol. I fear she will not.

Ant But she shall do't. The queen, my Dolabella !
Hast thou not still some grudgings of thy fever ?

Dol. I would not see her lost.

Ant When I forsake her,
Leave me my better stars ! for she has truth
Beyond her beauty. Cæsar tempted her,
At no less price than kingdoms, to betray me,
But she resisted all and yet thou chid'st me
For loving her too well Could I do so ?

Dol. Yes ; there's my reason... (223 ff.)

and Ventidius brings in Octavia. Octavia offers her 'duty' and asks nothing:—

I'll tell my brother we are reconcil'd,
He shall draw back his troops, and you shall march
To rule the East I may be dropp'd at Athens;
No matter where I never will complain,
But only keep the barren name of wife,
And rid you of the trouble

(300 ff)

Antony refuses this cold bounty, being determined to force Octavia to say that she loves him; and this Octavia in effect confesses:—

Ant. Then I must be oblig'd
To one who loves me not: who, to herself,
May call me thankless and ungrateful man:—
I'll not endure it, no.

Vent. (aside) I'm glad it pinches there.

Oct. Would you triumph o'er poor Octavia's virtue?
That pride was all I had to bear me up,
That you might think you ow'd me for your life,
And ow'd it to my duty, not my love
I have been injur'd, and my haughty soul
Could brook but ill the man who slighted my bed.

Ant. Therefore you love me not

Oct. Therefore, my lord,
I should not love you

Ant. Therefore you would leave me?
Oct. And therefore I should leave you—if I could. (319 ff)

The reconciliation is complete; Octavia and the children carry off Antony just in time for Alexas to witness their departure. Ventidius mocks him, and in despair and disgust Alexas admits defeat.—

This downright fighting fool, this thick-skull'd hero,
This blunt unthinking instrument of death,
With plain dull virtue has outgone my wit. (379 ff.)

Dolabella and Cleopatra. At their meeting Dolabella overdoes his part and represents Antony as furious against Cleopatra; thereupon she can act no more, faints, and when Dolabella tells her the truth, begs him to procure her a meeting with Antony.

Ventidius brings Octavia, who sees just enough to make her think that Cleopatra is really false to Antony, and Octavia and Ventidius, when left alone, agree to reveal this to Antony, as a ground for crushing Cleopatra and not including her in the general peace. They think that if Antony believes Cleopatra inclined to Dolabella, he will abandon her finally; while Alexas thinks that jealousy will revive Antony's love. Ventidius and Octavia tell their story to Antony, who is furious and incredulous, to the disgust of Octavia. Alexas, putting himself in the way, is seized by Ventidius and 'compelled' to confirm the truth of Ventidius' account. Alexas, having his own theory as to the probable effect on Antony—a return to Cleopatra, produced by jealousy,—gives his testimony with pretended unwillingness. The situation is good and well carried through. It ends in the violent dismissal of Alexas, for Antony 'can bear no more.' Ventidius is much delighted, but instantly overthrown by an explosion between Antony and Octavia, followed by the departure of Octavia, to the despair of Ventidius.—

Oct. So, take my last farewell; for I despair
To have you whole, and scorn to take you half.

[*Exit*]

Vent I combat heav'n, which blasts my best designs :
My last attempt must be to win her back,
But O ! I fear, in vain.

[*Exit*]

Antony really means to break with Cleopatra, but, as he says, cannot disguise from Octavia what it costs him.—

Why was I fram'd with this plain, honest heart,
Which knows not to disguise its griefs and weakness,
But bears its workings outward to the world ?
I should have kept the mighty anguish in,
And forc'd a smile at Cleopatra's falsehood :
Octavia had believ'd it, and had stay'd
But I am made a shallow-forded stream,
Seen to the bottom : all my clearness scorn'd,
And all my faults expos'd ! (427 ff.)

Dolabella and then Cleopatra now arrive with their version of the story the love-scene between them was a transient aberration in the case of Dolabella and a mere pretence in that of Cleopatra. Antony, of course, does not believe them, and the more they protest, the more is he convinced that there is a plot between them. Here again the situation is good.—

Dol If she has wrong'd you,
Heav'n, hell, and you, revenge it

Ant. If she wrong'd me !
Thou wouldest evade thy part of guilt, but swear
Thou lov'st not her.

Dol Not so as I love you.

Ant. Not so ? Swear, swear, I say, thou dost not love her.

Dol No more than friendship will allow.

Ant. No more ?
Friendship allows thee nothing ; thou art perjur'd—

And yet thou didst not swear thou lov'dst her not ;
 But not so much, no more O trifling hypocrite,
 Who dar'st not own to her, thou dost not love,
 Nor own to me, thou dost ! Ventidius heard it ;
 Octavia saw it

- Cleo.* They are enemies.
Ant. Alexas is not so he, he confess'd it ,
 He, who, next hell, best knew it, he avow'd it
(To Dol.) Why do I seek a proof beyond yourself ?
 You, whom I sent to bear my last farewell,
 Return'd, to plead her stay (491 ff.) ,

Cleopatra declares that it was she who encouraged Dolabella in order to make Antony jealous and so regain him, but Antony still refuses to believe :—

- Ant* Thin cobweb arts of falsehood ;
 Seen, and broke thro' at first (522 f.)

Cleopatra wishes to invoke the testimony of Alexas, but Antony very naturally depreciates him as the mere instrument of Cleopatra, and says that he has told the truth already. Then regarding himself as a victim of universal treachery, he bids them go and, if they have any decency, keep apart. *Exeunt severally* is the good ‘curtain’ which concludes this Act.

Act V

Between Acts iv and v Caesar’s fleet attacks the Egyptian fleet in the harbour of Alexandria, an arrangement, as afterwards appears, having been previously made for the surrender of the Egyptians. The development of events here, *if examined*, is very obscure, and the ‘unity of time’ is only vague.

But the exposition would suffice for an audience ; it is high time for a revolt⁹ against Cleopatra. Antony is watching the action from Pharos (58) ; he is not fighting—for what reason is not made clear.

The Act opens with Cleopatra and her women. She threatens suicide, struggles with the women who prevent her, and upbraids Alexas with his fatal advice. Alexas still promises to reclaim Antony and is about to seek him at Pharos, when shouts are followed by the announcement of the treachery of the Egyptian fleet. Cleopatra enquires about Antony, he is reported almost mad, believing the treason to be the work of Cleopatra. Alexas offers to go to Caesar, but this proposal Cleopatra rejects with scorn, and insists that he shall face Antony and clear her. Alexas cannot escape ; his extreme terror of death is expressed with good effect, in a short soliloquy intervening between the passionate and rapid scenes before and after :—

O that I less could fear to lose this being,
Which, like a snowball in my coward hand,
The more 'tis grasp'd, the faster melts away.
Poor reason ! what a wretched aid art thou !
For still, in spite of thee,
These two long lovers, soul and body, dread
Their final separation. Let me think.
What can I say, to save myself from death ?
No matter what becomes of Cleopatra. (131 ff.)

But as his ‘gift of lying’s gone, and this court devil,’ which he has so often raised, has now forsaken him, he leaves the scene at the entrance of Antony and Ventidius.

Antony and Ventidius still have the command of three legions, and agree that they will lose their lives well in a last fight. Then Alexas, trembling, presents himself, and is almost killed on the spot by Ventidius. Antony however interferes : 'he is not worth killing,' but changes his mind on catching what he takes for a hint that Cleopatra has fled to Dolabella —

Ingrateful woman !
 Who follow'd me, but as the swallow summer,
 Hatching her young ones in my kindly beams,
 Singing her flatt'ries to my morning wake
 But, now my winter comes, she spreads her wings,
 And seeks the spring of Cæsar. (208 ff.)

Alexas, in agony, flings out a pathetic account of her suicide, to the exultation of Ventidius, but Antony is overwhelmed with grief —

Then art thou innocent, my poor dear love ?
 And art thou dead ?
 O those two words ! their sound should be divided .
 Hadst thou been false and died , or hadst thou liv'd,
 And hadst been true — But innocence and death !
 (256 ff.)

Antony is now resolved to die, and Ventidius refuses to survive him. Antony asks Ventidius to kill him first and then die if he will, and Ventidius deceives Antony, as Eros does in the corresponding scene in *Antony and Cleopatra* (iv 13), by asking him to turn away and then striking himself instead. Antony, after a few farewell words, falls on his sword, but does not die immediately, and as he is

about to make a second attempt, Cleopatra enters with her women and runs to him.—

<i>Ant</i>	Art thou living Or am I dead before I knew, and thou The first kind ghost that meets me?
<i>Cleo.</i>	Help me seat him. Send quickly, send for help!
<i>Ant</i>	I am answer'd. We live both. Sit thee down my Cleopatra. I'll make the most I can of life, to stay A moment more with thee

The whole scene of the farewell is too long to quote; Antony says they have had their time: 'ten years' love, And, not a moment lost', Cleopatra promises to follow quickly, and Antony dies. She sends her women for 'the aspics' and, when she is alone, speaks her farewell.—

'Tis sweet to die, when they would force life on me,
To rush into the dark abode of Death,
And seize him first, if he be like my love,
He is not frightful, sure
We're now alone, in secrecy and silence,
And is not this like lovers? I may kiss
These pale, cold lips, Octavia does not see me.
And O! 'tis better far to have him thus,
Than see him in her arms. (442 ff)

The aspics are brought in, and Cleopatra, after a momentary recoil, applies one to her arm and is soon dead. The women, Iras and Charmion, follow her example. The Egyptians enter with Alexas bound, and the final tableau is much as in Shakespeare's play, but without the presence of Caesar.

In all this 'the Monument' (as Shakespeare calls the Mausoleum which Cleopatra had built for herself and where she had stored her treasure) is apparently the scene, but the indications are very vague and any interior room in the Palace might serve the purpose.

The general course of the fifth Act is thus quite simple and might be anticipated. It is distinguished by the increased and continuous beauty of the verse, which makes representative selection difficult. Some fine passages have been already quoted, but I must not omit Alexas' description of jealousy when, speaking of Antony and Cleopatra, he says —

His heart was never lost, but started off
To jealousy, love's last retreat and covert,
Where it lies hid in shades, watchful in silence,
And list'n'ng for the sound that calls it back,

(49 ff)

nor the lament of Serapion after the treachery of the fleet —

Egypt has been, our latest hour is come.
The queen of nations, from her ancient seat,
Is sunk for ever in the dark abyss
Time has unroll'd her glories to the last,
And now clos'd up the volume, .

(71 ff)

nor the lines spoken by Antony, after he has learnt of Cleopatra's supposed death, when he abandons the idea of farther resistance.—

Vent. Would you be taken?

Ant. Yes, I would be taken,
But, as a Roman ought,—dead, my Ventidius:

For I'll convey my soul from Cæsar's reach,
And lay down life myself 'Tis time the world
Should have a lord, and know whom to obey.
We two have kept its homage in suspense,
And bent the globe on whose each side we trod,
Till it was dinted inwards Let him walk
Alone upon 't I'm weary of my part
My torch is out and the world stands before me,
Like a black desart at th' approach of night
I'll lay me down, and stray no farther on (276 ff.)

It remains to consider several critical questions which arise in connexion with Dryden's play. First, and most obvious, comes the comparison with Shakespeare, a comparison inevitable indeed but not much to the purpose. The imperial machinery and its remorseless crushing is visible in the larger scale of *Antony and Cleopatra* but hardly so in *All for Love*. Nor is the character-drawing of Dryden to be compared with that of Shakespeare. Shakespeare's Cleopatra is really unique, unique in the proper sense of the word.—

I saw her once
Hop forty paces through the public street,
And having lost her breath, she spoke, and panted,
That she did make defect perfection,
And, breathless, power breathe forth

(A and C. II 2.)

So speaks Enobarbus, but no such thing is imaginable of Dryden's Cleopatra. With him the whole story is more ordinary; it is dignified but not gigantic. Nor is this the only difference, much more might be said on these lines.

But there is character-drawing among the lesser personages in *All for Love*, in the futile subtlety of Alexas, in the well-meant blundering of Ventidius, and in the conflict in Dolabella's mind between love and honour, a conflict naturally felt—not conventionally represented, as it had been in *The Conquest of Granada*.

The plot, as I have more than once indicated in the preceding analysis, is well-constructed. Mr Noyes' criticism seems to me very severe. He writes (*Introd.* p. xl ix) 'The action, despite its confinement within a single day, is, as Aristotle would call it, "episodic"; like that of *The Conquest of Granada*, it deals with successive adventures in the life of one man, not with a central crisis.' To discuss here¹ what Aristotle calls 'epeisodic' and what he means by 'Unity of Action' would take us too far afield, the essential thing is that the plot 'must imitate one action and that a whole, the structural union of the parts being such that if any one of them is displaced or removed, the whole will be disjointed and disturbed' (Aristotle's *Poetics*, Ch. viii). In Dryden's play, the sequence of scenes is not perhaps *necessary*, but it is probable, and I do not feel that it can justly be described as 'epeisodic.'

Then we come to Dryden's own objection concerning the introduction of Octavia.—

The greatest error in the contrivance seems to be in the person of Octavia, for, though I might use the privilege of a poet, to introduce her into Alexandria, yet I had not

¹ See above, p. 127

enough considered that the compassion she moved to herself and children was destructive to that which I reserved for Antony and Cleopatra, whose mutual love, being founded upon vice, must lessen the favour of the audience to them, when virtue and innocence were oppressed by it. And, though I justified Antony in some measure, by making Octavia's departure to proceed wholly from herself, yet the force of the first machine still remained, and the dividing of pity, like the cutting of a river into many channels, abated the strength of the natural stream.

No one, he goes on to say, has urged this objection, but the author did not choose to be 'partial to' himself. Dryden likes to take this attitude, which avoids the appearance of submission. But is the objection he makes to the introduction of Octavia well considered? Not all the statements which it suits him to make can be accepted without demur, we may, for instance, doubt whether he expresses his well-considered opinion when he says that he himself, Shakespeare, and 'the greatest wits of our nation' were all attracted to the subject of Antony and Cleopatra by 'the excellency of the moral'—the misfortunes, that is to say, of vice. Nor is his object really to concentrate pity on Antony and Cleopatra, but to show how a long-rooted affection may overpower every consideration on the other side, so as to extinguish even regret for the sacrifice—'the world well lost'. For this purpose Antony's position must be strong and advantageous; Octavia is part of this strength and apparently an essential part: without the introduction of Octavia, the plot must have been wholly reconstructed. The advantageous

position of Antony at the opening of the play is emphasised: Alexandria is not beleaguered, Ventidius still controls twelve legions, and Caesar, notwithstanding some touches at his 'coldness,' is practically assumed to be quite ready for a family reconciliation through the offices of Octavia.

In the meeting of Octavia and Cleopatra there is certainly no 'French decorum.' This Dryden justifies as follows:—

The French poets are strict observers of these punctilioes they would not, for example, have suffered Cleopatra and Octavia to have met, or, if they had met, there must only have passed betwixt them some cold civilities, but no eagerness of repartee, for fear of offending against the greatness of their characters, and the modesty of their sex. This objection I foresaw, and at the same time contemned, for I judged it both natural and probable that Octavia, proud of her new-gained conquest, would search out Cleopatra to triumph over her; and that Cleopatra, thus attacked, was not of a spirit to shun the encounter: and 'tis not unlikely that two exasperated rivals should use such satire as I have put into their mouths, for, after all, though the one were a Roman, and the other a queen, they were both women

Scott however appears to disagree with Dryden on this point, he writes that Octavia's 'scolding scene with Cleopatra seems too coarse to be in character, and is a glaring exception to the general good taste evinced throughout the rest of the piece' I must leave the question open for the reader to determine, to me the scene, though conventional, appears not objectionable.

The scene between Antony and Ventidius in

Act i obtained Dryden's own approval · 'I prefer the scene betwixt Anthony and Ventidius in the first act to anything which I have written in this kind,' and with this approval the critics have agreed. The interview represents the triumph of an old friendship over violent animosity, a hatred really based in love and passing into it. The scene between Sebastian and Dorax, in the later play of *Don Sebastian*, is somewhat analogous, it treats of the revenge of a wronged subject, sought for years, but abandoned, when the opportunity comes, as loyalty and honour prevail. The situation in the scene between Antony and Ventidius suits Dryden's powers, and there is no necessity for fine touches, which were not his forte.

Finally let us set side by side for comparison the description of the barge on Cydnus, in the plays of Shakespeare and of Dryden.

All for Love, Act III 60 ff.

Antony is recalling the scene to Dolabella :—

- Ant.* To clear herself,
For sending him no aid, she came from Egypt.
Her galley down the silver Cydnos row'd,
The tackling silk, the streamers wav'd with gold,
The gentle winds were lodg'd in purple sails:
Her nymphs, like Nereids, round her couch were plac'd,
Where she, another sea-born Venus, lay
- Dol.* No more, I would not hear it.
- Ant.* O, you must!
She lay, and leant her cheek upon her hand,
And cast a look so languishingly sweet,

As if, secure of all beholders' hearts,
 Neglecting she could take 'em boys, like Cupids,
 Stood fanning with their painted wings the winds
 That play'd about her face but if she smil'd,
 A darting glory seem'd to blaze abroad,
 That men's desiring eyes were never wearied,
 But hung upon the object To soft flutes
 The silver oars kept time, and while they play'd,
 The hearing gave new pleasure to the sight,
 And both to thought 'Twas heav'n, or somewhat more:
 For she so charm'd all hearts that gazing crowds . . .
 Stood panting on the shore and wanted breath
 To give their welcome voice.

Antony and Cleopatra, Act II Scene 2.

Enobarbus is describing the scene to Agrippa:—

Eno. I will tell you.
 The barge she sat in, like a burnish'd throne,
 Burn'd on the water the poop was beaten gold,
 Purple the sails, and so perfumed that
 The winds were love-sick with them the oars were silver,
 Which to the tune of flutes kept stroke and made
 The water which they beat to follow faster,
 As amorous of their strokes For her own person,
 It beggar'd all description she did he
 In her pavilion, cloth-of-gold of tissue,
 O'er-picturing that Venus where we see
 The fancy outwork nature on each side her
 Stood pretty dimpled boys, like smiling Cupids,
 With divers-colour'd fans, whose wind did seem
 To glow the delicate cheeks which they did cool,
 And what they undid did.

Agr. O, rare for Antony

Eno. Her gentlewomen, like the Nereides,
 So many mermaids, tended her i' the eyes,

And made their bends adornings at the helm
A seeming mermaid steers th^e silken tackle
Swell with the touches of those flower-soft hands,
That yarely frame the office From the barge
A strange invisible perfume hits the sense
Of the adjacent wharfs The city cast
Her people out upon her, and Antony,
Enthron'd i' the market place, did sit alone,
Whistling to the air, which, but for vacancy,
Had gone to gaze on Cleopatra too,
And made a gap in Nature

In comparing the passages we must remember who is the speaker in each case, Enobarbus is a more impressive witness than Antony, to whom Dryden has transferred the description.

Is either passage a very good specimen of its author? Certainly neither is above criticism. Thus in Shakespeare's lines we may take exception to the oars which make the water follow faster '*as amorous of their strokes*'; and to the air which 'but for vacancy, Had gone to gaze on Cleopatra too, And made a gap in Nature'! I am glad also to be rid of 'yarely frame the office' and of 'did seem,' 'did cool,' 'what they undid did.' But on the other hand we miss the barge 'burn'd on the water'—her person 'beggar'd all description'—'tended her i' the eyes'—and the 'invisible perfume' These touches Dryden seems to have dropped needlessly.

Scott, who compares the two passages, prefers Dryden for 'the easy flow of the verse, which seems to soften with the subject,' and for the rapture 'without hyperbole.' As to the first point, the

softening of the verse with its subject, it should be remembered that Enobarbus is not a lover, whereas Antony is, and so the difference may be justified.

The reader has before him the material for forming a judgement, and I will leave the subject—only noting the characteristic touch in Dryden's description, ‘twas heav'n, *or somewhat more.*’

INDEX

- Abingdon, Countess of 17, 221
Absalom and Achitophel 2, 6, 17,
21, 47-91, 98, 142, 151, 161,
162, 185
— Part II 2, 58, 76, 77
Achilles, see Statius
Aeneid, see Virgil
Aeschylus 121, 123
— *Septem* 131
Akenside 203
Albemarle 58, 99, 109
Albion and Albanus 105
Alexander's Feast 4, 196-202, 204,
207
All for Love 6, 47, 238-266
An Evening's Love 9
Annus Mirabilis 3, 15, 29, 47, 48,
92, 96-116
Antony and Cleopatra, see Shakespeare
Aristotle 32, 117, 118, 118n, 132,
133, 143
— *Poetics* 118, 122, 125, 126-
129, 133, 134, 135, 141, 240, 260
Ashley, Lord 79
Astraea Rediv 20, 57, 69
At a Solemn Musick, see Milton
Aubrey 220

Bacchus 114
Bacon 31
Bard, The, see Gray
Beautiful Lady of the May, The 10
Berkshire, Earl of 14, 15
Bethel 82, 84
Blackmore 44
Boadicea, see Cowper
Boccaccio 4, 172
Bosio 164
Bossu 117
Boyle 31

Buckleigh, Countess of 75
Buckingham 40, 59, 61, 81, 82
— *Rehearsal* 40, 47
Burnet 25, 149, 169, 173, 174
Butcher, Aristotle's *Theory of Poetry and Fine Art* 126 n,
134
Butler, *Hudibras* 81

Calvin 162
Camoens, *The Lusiad* 114
Castlemaine, Lady 5, 15, see also
Epistle to
Catherine of Aragon 168
Cato 35, 36, 37
Cecilia, St 191, 201
Charles I 7, 19, 20, 45, 49, 50, 95,
115, 148, 203
Charles II 6, 20, 38, 53, 56, 57, 68,
71, 73, 78, 108, 156, 157, 186
Charleton, see *Epistle to*
Charlton 15, 107
Chaucer 3, 5, 23, 154, 172
Christ 96, 114, 153, 228
Christ's Nativity, On the Morning of, see Milton
Christie 12, 21, 73n, 111, 150, 164
Cock and the Fox, The 4
Coleman 152
Coleridge 4
— *Ode to France* 214
Collier, Jeremy 42, 191
Collins 4
— *Ode on the Passions* 209-210
Collins, Prof J Churton 71, 72, 87
Compton, Bishop of London 88
Congreve 24, 25, see also *Epistle to*
Conquest of Granada, The 240,
260
Corneille 117

Index

- Coronation of Charles II, Poem on the* 20
Country and the City Mouse, The 160, 169
 Cowley 7, 8, 180-182, 232, 233
 — *Christ's Passion* 182
 — *Ode in Praise of Pindar* 7, 181, 182
 Cowper, *Boadicea* 176
 Cromwell 19, 41, 111
Cromwell, Stanzas to the Memory of Oliver 16, 41, 92-95
- Danby 37, 89
 Dante 38, 237
 Davenant, *Gondibert* 92
 Denham 28
Discourse concerning the Original and Progress of Satire, see Satire
 Dolben, Dean of Westminster 88
 Donne 106
 — *Ascension* 106 n
Don Sebastian 149, 263
 — Preface to 144
 Doiset, Earl of 18, 131
Dramatic Poesy, Defence of an Essay of 117, 131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 138, 139, 141, 142, 143
Dramatic Poesy, Essay of 5, 15, 22, 28, 29, 117-146, 223
 Driden, John 5, see also *Epistle to Eclogues*, see Virgil
 Edward I 207
 Edward IV 50
 Edward VI 50
Eleanora 17, 221
Elegy, see Gray
 Eliot, G., *Middlemarch* 140
 Elizabeth, Queen 50, 111
 Elizabeth, wife of Henry VII, 50
 Ent 31
Epistle to Castlemaine, Lady 33-37, 48
 — *Charleton, Dr* 31-33, 34
 — *Congreve* 42
 — *Driden, John* 16, 20, 26, 43-46
 — *Etherege, Sir George* 26, 40
 — *to Higdon* 39-40
 — *Hodgesdon, John* 26, 27-30
- Epistle to Howard, Sir Robert* 30, 31
 — *Kneller, Sir Godfrey* 41
 — *Lee* 27, 37-38
 — *Motteux* 42-43
 — *Rosconon, the Earl of*, 38-39, 41
Epistle to the Whigs 11, 26
Epistles 26-46, 48
Epithalamion, see Spenser
Etherege, see *Epistle to Euripides* 36, 121, 126, 131, 136, 137
 — *Bacchae* 131
 — *Hippolytus* 128, 129 . . .
 — *Ion* 119
 — *Orestes*, 131
 — *Suppliants, The* 123, 124, 130
Euripides the Rationalist 36
- Fables, Preface to the* 5, 23, 48, 117
Faerie Queene, see Spenser
 Fanshawe 114
 Ferguson 76
 Fielding, *Tom Jones*, 140
 Firth, Mr, see Christie
 Forbes 76
 Fox, Charles 9
- George II 199
Georgics, see Virgil
 Godfrey, Sir Edmund Berry 71, 72
Gondibert, see Davenant
Granada, see *Conquest of Granville* 42
 Gray 1, 4, 6, 200, 203
 — *Bard, The* 204, 207-9, 211, 216
 — *Elegy in a Country Church-yard* 92, 112
 — *Ode on the Spring* 204
 — *Progress of Poesy* 1, 203-7
 Grove 74
- Halifax 53, 89
 Harvey 31
Hastings, upon the Death of the Lord 13
 Hebron 75-77 . . .
 Henri IV 102, 112

- Henry V*, see Shakespeare
Henry VI 208
Henry VII 50
Henry VIII 50, 168
Herbeit 106
— *The Holy Communion* 106 n
— *Whitsunday* 106 n
Higdon, see *Epistle to Hind and The Panther*, *The* 2, 22, 51 n, 64, 68 n, 73 n, 84, 147, 148, 149, 150, 156–175, 219
Hoddesdon 26, 27, 29, 30, see also *Epistle to Ston and Parnassus* 27
Holland, Lord 9
Homer 36, 232
— *Iliad* 24
Horace 26, 59, 60, 61, 108, 176, 180
— *Ars Poetica* 118
Howard, Lady Elizabeth 15
Howard, Sir Robert 14, 15, 30
Howard, *Letter to Sir R* 3, 96, 97, 100, see also *Epistle to Hudibras*, see Butler
Hyde, Lawrence 89
Iliad, see Homer
In Memoriam, see Tennyson
Intimations of Immortality, see Wordsworth
James 11 3, 44, 86, 89, 156, 157, 158, 170, 171, 173, 174, 187
James VI of Scotland 50
James, Duke of York 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 74, 76
Jebb 211
Jebusites 71
Johnson, Dr Samuel 38, 75, 147, 165, 187
— *Life of Roscommon* 38
Junius 11
Juvenal 18, 39, 59, 60, 61
Ker, Professor 117, 119, 138, 142
Killigrew, Anne 187
Killigrew, *Ode to the Pious Memory of Mrs Anne* 186–191
Kneller, see *Epistle to Labiche*, *Le Chapeau de Paille d'Italie* 124
Laud 149
Lee 37, 37, 57, 234, see also *Epistle to The Rival Queens* 27
Louis XIV 8, 71, 112, 243, 244
Lowell, *My Study Windows* 101 n
Lucan 3, 36, 100
— *Pharsalia* 98, 100
Lucretius 195 n, 232
Lusiad, *The*, see Camoens
Lycidas, see Milton
Macaulay 17, 22, 147, 171 n, 174, 244
— Mr G C 112 n.
Macbeth, see Shakespeare
Muc Flecknoe 2
Mary, Princess 37, 51, 53
Mary, Queen 50, 51
Mary, wife of James II 187
Maud, see Tennyson
Medal, *The* 2, 11, 12, 19, 26, 62
Middlemarch, see Eliot
Middleton 40
Milton 1, 3, 18, 22, 23, 55, 61, 70, 185, 194, 220, 225, 226, 227, 230, 231, 234
— *At a Solemn Musick* 183, 184, 193
— *Lycidas* 183
— *On the Morning of Christ's Nativity* 176–178, 184, 185, 193
— *Paradise Lost* 3, 23, 55, 64, 80, 114, 166, 217–219, 226, 227, 228, 239, 230, 233, 234, 235, 236
Monck, see Albemarle
Monmouth, James Duke of 49, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 62, 68, 70, 71
— Duchess of 75
Montague 160
Motteux, see *Epistle to Mufti*, *The* 149
Mulgrave 89, 202
Murray 39
Noyes, Mr G R, *Selected Dramas of John Dryden* 220 n, 239, 240, 242 n, 246, 260
Oates 52, 63, 72, 74, 82, 85
Ode, Development of English 176–216

- Ode in Praise of Pindar*, see Cowley
Oldham, Lines to the Memory of 27
 Orange, see William
 Ormond, Duke of 88
 Ossory, Earl of 88
Othello, see Shakespeare
 Ovid 3, 97, 108
 — *Metamorphoses* 113
Oxford, Prologue to the University of, see Prologue
Palamon and Arcite 4, 142
Paradise Lost, see Milton
Passions, Ode on the, see Collins
 Persius 59
 Petiarch 38
 Petre 171
Pharsalia, see Lucan
 Pickering 74
 — Mary 13
 Pindar 7, 176, 180
 — *Epirikia* 176
Pindar, Ode in Praise of, see Cowley
 Plautus 137
 Plutarch 24
Plutarch, Life of 13
Poetics, see Aristotle
 Pope 5, 28, 38, 39
 — *Epistles* 38, 39
 Prior 160
Progress of Poesy, see Gray
Prologue to the University of Oxford 14
 Purcell 202
Purcell, Ode to the Memory of 202-3
 Racine, *Andromaque* 140, 141
Randolph, Ode to Master Anthony
 — *Stafford* 179
 Rapin 117
Rehearsal, see Buckingham
 Richard II 208
Religio Laici 2, 20, 22, 39, 68 n.,
 84, 147-156, 219
Rival Ladies, The 103
Rosabelle, see Scott
 Roscomon 38, 39, see *Epistle to*
 Roscomon, *Essay on Translated Verse* 38
Roscomon, Life of, see Johnson
 Rupert, Prince 99
 St Cecilia's Day, Odes for 4, 6, 215 ✓ 3
St Cecilia's Day, Song for 23, 185,
 190, 191-196, 205, 209
 Saint-Simon 244 n
 Saintsbury, Professor 12, 84, 147,
 156, 246
 — *Life of Dryden* 12, 84, 222
 Sancroft, Archbishop of Canterbury 88
Satire, Discourse concerning the Original and Progress of 18,
 23, 40, 60, 61, 81, 230
 Scott I, 12, 33, 35, 40, 57, 75, 111,
 147, 188, 189, 225, 233, 246, 262,
 265
 — *Rosabelle* 176
 — *Lay of the Last Minstrel* 75
Session of the Poets 33
 Settle 2, 58
 Seymour, Sir Edward 89
 Shadwell 2, 58
 Shaftesbury, First Earl of 21, 52,
 54, 56, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 74, 78,
 105
 — Second Earl of 79
 — Third Earl of 78
 Shakespeare I, 22, 24, 28, 47, 106,
 136, 142, 207
 — *Antony and Cleopatra* 47,
 238, 240, 248, 256, 257, 258, 259,
 261, 263-266
 — *Henry V* 122
 — *Macbeth* 133, 140, 141
 — *Othello* 133
 — *Tempest, The* 24, 231, 232
 — *Winter's Tale, The* 122, 124,
 140
Sion and Parnassus, see Hoddesdon
 Sophocles 121, 126, 137, 138
 — *Ajax* 125, 129
 — *Trachiniae* 131
Spanish Friar, The 149
 Spenser 61
 — *Epithalamion* 178-179
 — *Faerie Queene, The* 55
Spring, Ode on the, see Gray

- Stafford, Ode to Master A*, see Randolph
State of Innocence, The 27, 37, 57, • 148, 150, 217-237
Status, Achilleus 30
— *Silvae* 98
Stillingfleet 157, 168
Swinburne 210, 216
- Tempest*, see Shakespeare
Tennyson 1, 4
— *Maud* 214-215
— *In Memoriam* 188
— *Ode on the Death of the Duke of Wellington* 214
• *Tefence* 137
Theodore and Honoria 4, 6
Threnodia Augustalis 6, 93, 186-7
Tom Jones, see Fielding
Tonson, 'Key' of 71, 76
Tyrannic Love 150, 219
— *Preface to 102*, 148
- '*Unities*', The 117-141
- Virgil* 3, 30, 44, 97, 104, 108
— *Aeneid* 30, 97, 172, 232, 233
— *Eclogue IV* 91
— *Georgics* 98, 195 n. •
- Waller* 28, 115
Wellington, Ode on the Death of the Duke of, see Tennyson
Whigs, see *Epistle to the Wild Gallant*, The 33
William III 43, 45
William of Orange 38, 51, 174, 244 n
William the Silent 102, 111, 112
Winter's Tale, see Shakespeare
Wordsworth 1, 4
— *Intimations of Immortality, etc.* 211-214, 215
- York, Duchess of* 77, 219-221, 230
— *Duke of*, see James
Young 196-199
— *Ocean* 196-198
— *Ode to the King* 196-199